

Investigating the potential of an autodissemination system for managing populations of vine weevil, *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) with entomopathogenic fungi

by Pope, T.W., Hough, G., Arbona, C., Roberts, H., Bennison, J., Buxton, J., Prince, G. and Chandler, D.

Copyright, Publisher and Additional Information: This is the author accepted manuscript. The final published version (version of record) is available online via Elsevier.

This version is made available under the CC-BY-ND-NC licence:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/legalcode>

Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jip.2018.04.002>



Pope, T.W., Hough, G., Arbona, C., Roberts, H., Bennison, J., Buxton, J., Prince, G. and Chandler, D. 2018. Investigating the potential of an autodissemination system for managing populations of vine weevil, *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) with entomopathogenic fungi. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology*, 154, pp.79-84.

1 **Investigating the potential of an autodissemination system for**
2 **managing populations of vine weevil, *Otiorhynchus sulcatus***
3 **(Coleoptera: Curculionidae) with entomopathogenic fungi**

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 **HIGHLIGHTS**

- 2 1. Simple plastic refuges for vine weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) can be
3 used to disseminate an entomopathogenic fungus through vine weevil
4 populations.
- 5 2. Isolates of *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium brunneum* cause up to
6 100% mortality in vine weevil adults under laboratory conditions.
- 7 3. Conidial powders of a *Metarhizium brunneum* isolate placed in artificial
8 refuges significantly increased vine weevil mortality under polytunnel
9 conditions.

10

11 **ABSTRACT**

12 Vine weevil, also known as black vine weevil, (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) is an
13 economically important pest affecting soft fruit and nursery stock in temperate
14 regions. We used laboratory and polytunnel experiments to investigate a novel
15 control system based on autodissemination of spores of an entomopathogenic
16 fungus to populations of adult vine weevils. The fungus was applied as a
17 conidial powder, used on its own or formulated with talc, to a simple plastic
18 refuge for vine weevils. The potential for adult weevils to disseminate the fungus
19 was investigated first in polytunnel experiments using fluorescent powders
20 applied to the refuge in lieu of fungal conidia. In this system, 88% of adult
21 weevils came in contact with the powder within 48 hours. When the powder
22 was applied to five adult weevils that were then placed within a population of
23 35 potential recipients, it was transmitted on average to 75% of the recipient
24 population within 7 days. Three isolates of entomopathogenic fungi (*Beauveria*
25 *bassiana* isolate codes 433.99 and 1749.11 and *Metarhizium brunneum* isolate

1 code 275.86), selected from a laboratory virulence screen. These three isolates
2 were then investigated for efficacy when applied as conidial powders in artificial
3 refuges placed among populations of adult weevils held in experimental boxes
4 in the laboratory at 20°C. Under this regime, the fungal isolates caused 70 –
5 90% mortality of adult weevils over 28 days. A final polytunnel experiment
6 tested the efficacy of conidial powders of *M. brunneum* 275.86 placed in
7 artificial refuges to increase vine weevil mortality. Overall weevil mortality was
8 relatively low (26-41%) but was significantly higher in cages in which the
9 conidial powders were placed in refuge traps than in cages with control traps.
10 The lower weevil mortality recorded in the polytunnel experiment compared to
11 the laboratory test was most likely a consequence of the greater amounts of
12 inoculum required to kill adult weevils when conditions fluctuate between
13 favourable and unfavourable temperatures e.g. below 15°C. The potential of an
14 autodissemination system for entomopathogenic fungi as a means of
15 controlling vine weevil as part of an integrated pest management programme is
16 discussed.

17

18 Key words: *Beauveria bassiana*; *Metarhizium brunneum*; autodissemination;
19 refuge; aggregation

20

21

22

23 **INTRODUCTION**

24 Vine weevil, also known as black vine weevil, (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) is an
25 economically damaging pest affecting soft fruit and nursery stock crops

1 (Moorhouse *et al.*, 1992; van Tol *et al.*, 2012). It is widely distributed throughout
2 temperate regions including northern Europe and North America (Warner &
3 Negley, 1976; Lundmark, 2010). Damage is caused both by the adults, which
4 feed on leaves, and larvae, which feed on plant roots, corms and tubers (Smith,
5 1932; Moorhouse *et al.*, 1992). As the larvae are root pests and the adult
6 weevils are nocturnal, an infestation may pass unnoticed until leaf notching is
7 evident or plants show signs of wilting, by which time they will have been
8 damaged beyond recovery (van Tol *et al.*, 2012).

9

10 Biological control using entomopathogenic nematodes and fungi is used
11 against vine weevil larvae (e.g. Willmott *et al.*, 2002; Georgis *et al.*, 2006; Shah
12 *et al.*, 2007; Ansari *et al.*, 2008). At present, control of adult vine weevils is
13 based on use of broad spectrum chemical insecticides (van Tol *et al.*, 2012
14 Insecticide sprays are often applied at dusk, when the weevils become active,
15 which makes it difficult to effectively target applications. The broad-spectrum
16 insecticides typically used also have a negative impact on biocontrol agents
17 used against other pests and naturally occurring beneficial insects, such as
18 ground beetles that prey upon vine weevil adults (Cross *et al.*, 2001). Therefore,
19 more sustainable solutions for adult vine weevils are needed.

20

21 For this study, we were interested in the potential for biological control of adult
22 vine weevils using autodissemination of entomopathogenic fungi (EPF) as an
23 addition to existing biological control of the larval stage of this pest. In this
24 context, autodissemination is an application system in which pest insects are
25 attracted to a device containing a reservoir of an entomopathogen, which they

1 then disseminate to other individuals within their environment (Soper, 1978). It
2 has been developed to control a range of insect pests with EPF including
3 emerald ash borer (Lyons *et al.*, 2012), Mediterranean fruit fly (Quesada-
4 Moraga *et al.*, 2008), sweet potato weevil (Yasuda, 1999) and damson-hop
5 aphid (Hartfield *et al.*, 2001). However, there is no mention in the available
6 literature of investigations for use of this approach in the control of vine weevil.
7 Adult vine weevils are known to be susceptible to EPF infection (Moorhouse *et*
8 *al.*, 1992), although they die more slowly than infected weevil larvae
9 (Moorhouse, 1990). They also aggregate in refuges during the day (Smith,
10 1932; Moorhouse *et al.*, 1992; van Tol *et al.*, 2004), which could be used as
11 sources of fungal inoculum. Here we present results from a series of
12 experiments testing the potential efficacy of autodisseminating an EPF through
13 the use of artificial refuges.

14

15 **EXPERIMENTAL METHODS**

16 *Vine weevil culture*

17 Adult vine weevils were collected from commercial soft fruit crops in
18 Staffordshire, UK, and maintained under laboratory conditions at 21°C in
19 groups of 25-30 individuals in ventilated plastic boxes (200 L x 100 W x 95 D
20 mm) lined with damp tissue paper (a source of moisture) and a refuge
21 (corrugated cardboard – 70 x 50 mm). Weevils were fed leaves of yew, *Taxus*
22 *baccata*, *ad libitum*.

23

24 *EPF culture – storage and production*

1 EPF isolates were taken from the Warwick Crop Centre (WCC) collection of
2 entomopathogenic fungal cultures. Isolates were stored on porous plastic
3 beads at minus 80°C (Chandler, 1994). Laboratory cultures were grown from
4 these beads on Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) slopes and maintained in a
5 refrigerator at 4°C for up to six months. To produce conidia for experiments,
6 subcultures were grown from the slope cultures on SDA Petri plates at 23 ±
7 1°C for 10-12 days in the dark.

8

9 *Experiment 1: Acquisition of fluorescent marker powders by adult weevils from*
10 *artificial refuges*

11 Based on results from preliminary experiments, Roguard (BASF plc, Cheadle
12 Hulme, UK) crawling insect bait stations, were selected for use as simple vine
13 weevil refuges. These bait stations have a black plastic construction (80 mm
14 diameter x 15 mm height) with four entrances (20 mm x 5 mm) and were used
15 without the addition of a bait in these experiments. The Roguard bait stations
16 were otherwise not modified for use as vine weevil refuges. Any aggregation by
17 weevils within a bait station was as a result of a strong aggregation behaviour
18 shown by vine weevil adults (e.g. Smith, 1932; Moorhouse *et al.*, 1992). The
19 artificial refuges were tested in gauze 'tent' cages (145 x 145 x 152 cm) placed
20 in a ventilated polytunnel (mean temperatures were 22-26°C (daytime) and 11-
21 13°C (night time). Sixteen *Euonymus fortunei* (cv. Emerald Gaiety) plants
22 grown in 1.5 L pots using John Innes No. 2 compost (William Sinclair
23 Horticulture Ltd., Lincoln, UK) were placed on the floor of the cage. Forty adult
24 weevils were then released into each cage and left to acclimatise for 24 hours,
25 after which 12 Roguard refuges were placed into each cage. Six refuges were

1 spread evenly across the floor of the cage while the other six were placed on
2 the surface of the compost of six pots. Each refuge contained 0.2 g of a
3 hydrophobic fluorescent powder (Swada, Stalybridge, UK) placed in the central
4 well. The fluorescent powder was used to quantify the numbers of weevils
5 entering the refuge. Adult weevils were collected seven days after placing the
6 refuges in the cages and scored for the presence / absence of fluorescent
7 powder by examining them under a UV light (Lighting Ever, Birmingham, UK).
8 There were eight replicate cages.

9

10 *Experiment 2: Dissemination of fluorescent powders among adult weevils*

11 Gauze 'tent' cages were prepared as previously described. Thirty-five weevils
12 were released into each cage and left to acclimatise for 24 hrs, after which 12
13 Roguard refuges were placed into each cage and arranged as previously
14 described but with no fluorescent powder. Five adult vine weevils, marked with
15 water-based paint and then coated in yellow fluorescent powder by placing the
16 weevils into a 20 ml specimen tube containing approximately 1 g of fluorescent
17 powder. The lid of the tube was secured in place before gently rotating the tube
18 for 30 s to ensure that all of the weevils had become coated in the powder.
19 Each group of five powder coated weevils were placed into a ventilated plastic
20 box lined with tissue paper for 30 minutes to allow excess powder to be
21 dislodged before the weevils were released into each cage. All adult weevils
22 were collected seven days after the powder coated weevils were released into
23 the cages. Collected weevils were scored for the presence of fluorescent
24 powder, excluding those that were coated with powder at the start. There were
25 eight replicate cages.

1

2 *Experiment 3: Susceptibility of adult vine weevils to EPF isolates in a laboratory*
3 *bioassay*

4 The susceptibility of adult vine weevils to eight isolates of EPF was measured
5 in a single dose laboratory bioassay. The isolates (Table 1) were selected
6 based on their availability as commercial biopesticides and / or their virulence
7 to vine weevil larvae reported in previous research (Moorhouse,1990). Fungal
8 conidia were applied as an aqueous suspension at a constant volume and
9 concentration to ensure that weevils received a comparable dose, allowing the
10 virulence of different isolates to be compared. Conidia were grown as
11 described previously, harvested from SDA plates in sterile 0.05% Triton X-100
12 and filtered through sintered glass thimbles (40-100 µm pore). Conidia were
13 then enumerated using an improved Neubauer haemocytometer and aliquots
14 (10 ml) were prepared at a concentration of 10^8 conidia ml⁻¹. Groups of five
15 adult weevils were inoculated by immersion in suspensions of conidia for 10
16 seconds. Controls were treated with sterile 0.05% Triton X-100. Excess
17 suspension was removed by filtration through filter paper under vacuum. The
18 weevils were left to air dry on the filter paper for one hour, transferred to a
19 ventilated plastic box (200 L x 100 W x 95 D mm), and maintained at 20°C, 16:8
20 light:dark with yew leaves and damp tissue (to maintain > 90% relative humidity)
21 replaced *ad libitum*. Numbers of living and dead weevils were counted daily for
22 28 days. Dead weevils were removed and incubated on damp filter paper within
23 Petri dishes at 23°C, and the production of fungal conidia on these cadavers
24 was scored. The viabilities of conidia of the fungal isolates were measured
25 following incubation for 24 h on SDA at 23°C (Goettel & Inglis, 1997). All

1 isolates exhibited >87% germination. The experiment was done according to a
2 block design. Each block comprised of the eight fungal isolates plus a control.
3 There were three blocks in total, each done on a separate occasion.

4

5 *Experiment 4: Quantifying efficacy of the autodissemination technique in a*
6 *laboratory bioassay*

7 The susceptibility of adult weevils to EPF applied as a conidial powder within
8 the Roguard refuge was measured in a replicated laboratory bioassay. The
9 isolates (*B. bassiana* isolate 433.99, *B. bassiana* 1749.11, *M. brunneum*
10 275.86; see Table 1) were selected on the basis of their virulence to adult vine
11 weevils in the previous experiment. Conidia were grown on SDA as described
12 previously, harvested as a powder using a spatula, and the number of conidia
13 per g of powder was calculated by counting conidia in suspensions (0.1g
14 conidia in 10ml of 0.05% Triton X-100) using a haemocytometer. The viabilities
15 of conidia of the fungal isolates were measured following incubation for 24 h on
16 SDA at 23°C (Goettel & Inglis, 1997). All isolates exhibited > 91% germination.
17 The conidia powders were added to Roguard refuges (0.4 g to each trap).
18 Groups of five adult weevils were placed in ventilated plastic boxes (200 L x
19 100 W x 95 D mm) together with a single, fungus treated Roguard refuge. Boxes
20 were maintained at 20°C, 16:8 light:dark with yew leaves and damp tissue (to
21 maintain > 90% relative humidity) and numbers of living and dead weevils were
22 counted daily for a total of 28 days. Dead weevils were removed and incubated
23 on damp filter paper in Petri dishes at 23°C, and the production of fungal conidia
24 on these cadavers was again scored as presence or absence. The experiment
25 was done according to a randomised block design. Each block comprised three

1 fungal isolates, with three blocks in total. Each block contained two control
2 chambers (refuge containing talc).

3

4 *Experiment 5: Efficacy evaluation of M. brunneum applied against adult vine*
5 *weevil under polytunnel conditions*

6 This experiment evaluated the efficacy of of *M. brunneum* 275.86 against adult
7 vine weevils when applied in Roguard refuges under polytunnel conditions,
8 similar to those found on commercial ornamental nurseries. Treatments were
9 established within gauze 'tent' cages (see Experiment 1) contained within a
10 ventilated polytunnel. Twelve strawberry plants (cv. Malling Centenary) grown
11 in 1.5 L pots using John Innes No. 2 compost were placed in the centre of each
12 cage. A conidia powder of *M. brunneum* 275.86 was prepared as described in
13 Experiment 4. This was then added to a 50:50 (w/w) mixture of talc (Sigma, UK)
14 and fluorescent powder (see Experiment 1) at a ratio of 0.3 g of conidia powder:
15 0.1g talc / fluorescent powder. Aliquots of 0.4g of this mixture were then placed
16 in the central well of Roguard refuges. Mean conidia germination was 84% (SE
17 = 2.69). Six refuges, each containing the conidia powder of *M. brunneum*
18 275.86, were placed in each cage equally distributed by placing between every
19 other of the 12 plant pots. Controls consisted of 0.4 g of the talc / fluorescent
20 powder mixture added to each Roguard refuge. There were five replicate
21 cages. Groups of 40 adult vine weevils were placed into each cage on the
22 foliage of the plants. The weevils were marked on their backs with bright yellow
23 nail varnish before release so that they were easier to find in subsequent
24 assessments. After five weeks, the numbers of dead and live adult weevils in
25 each cage were counted, including the number of weevils coated in fluorescent

1 powder. The presence of sporulating mycelia on weevil cadavers, visualised by
2 incubating dead weevils on damp filter paper within Petri dishes at 23°C for
3 approximately three weeks, was used as an indication of fungus-induced
4 mortality. Samples of powder were collected from refuge traps to evaluate
5 conidia viability.

6

7 *Analysis*

8 Data from experiments 3 and 4 were analysed using SPSS Statistics Version
9 24.0 (IBM Corp., 2016). A Cox proportional-hazards regression model (Cox,
10 1972) was used for analysing the time-mortality responses (i.e. survival) of vine
11 weevils in all treatments compared to the control over 28 days. The Cox
12 proportional hazard is expressed as the hazard ratio (relative average daily risk
13 of death), which is assumed to remain constant over time. The event was death.
14 Factors were replicate and treatment. The proportional cumulative survival of
15 50% of the population (i.e. median survival time (MST)), of the weevil
16 populations of each treatment and their 95% confidence intervals were
17 calculated and pairwise comparisons were done using a log-rank χ^2 test
18 (Bewick *et al.* 2004). Data from experiment 5 were analysed using a
19 Generalised Linear Model (GLM) with a log link function and negative binomial
20 error distribution for over dispersed count data (R-3.2.2, R Core Team, 2015).
21 Wald tests were used to determine the significance of predictor variables.

22

23 **RESULTS**

24 *Experiment 1: Acquisition of fluorescent marker powders by adult weevils from*
25 *artificial refuges*

1 Seven days after introducing the Roguard refuges containing fluorescent
2 powder, a mean of 37 (range of 32 to 40) of the 40 adult vine weevils were
3 recovered from each cage. Of these, a mean of 88% (range of 83 to 95%) of
4 the recovered weevils had come into contact with fluorescent powder. Weevils
5 that had contacted the fluorescent powder were typically heavily coated in
6 powder, with more than 50% of the body area covered.

7

8 *Experiment 2: Horizontal transmission of fluorescent powders among adult*
9 *weevils*

10 Seven days after introduction of fluorescent powder-coated weevils to a
11 recipient population, a mean of 33 (range of 30 to 35) of the 35 unmarked
12 weevils were recorded from the cages. Of these, a mean of 75% (range of 66
13 to 93%) of the recipient population had fluorescent powder on their cuticle.

14

15 *Experiment 3: Susceptibility of adult vine weevils to EPF isolates in a laboratory*
16 *bioassay*

17 All of the EPF isolates caused significantly greater mortality of adult weevils
18 than controls ($P < 0.001$) (Table 2). After 28 days all except two (*B. bassiana*
19 isolates 342.92 and 432.99) of the eight isolates tested resulted in 100%
20 mortality of adult weevils. The median survival time (MST) of weevils treated
21 with two of the isolates (*B. bassiana* isolates 433.99 and 1749.11) were
22 significantly ($P < 0.05$) less than the other isolates at 7 and 8 days respectively.
23 All of the isolates tested produced conidia on adult cadavers. The majority of
24 sporulation occurred between the body segments and leg joints.

25

1 *Experiment 4: Quantifying efficacy of the autodissemination technique in a*
2 *laboratory bioassay*

3 There was significantly ($P < 0.001$) greater mortality of adult weevils in all of the
4 treatments with refuges containing EPF than in the controls after 28 days (Table
5 3). The refuges inoculated with isolates *M. brunneum* 275.86 and *B. bassiana*
6 433.99 resulted in more than 66% weevil mortality after 28 days. The MST of
7 weevils exposed to *M. brunneum* 275.86 and *B. bassiana* 433.99 inoculated
8 refuges was 15 and 17 days respectively. Weevils had visible amounts of
9 fungal conidia on their cuticles within four hours of starting the experiment and
10 fungal conidia were seen to be carried out of the traps by weevils leaving.
11 *Beauveria bassiana* 1749.11 was not as effective in the refuges as had been
12 expected, based on the data from the previous experiment. There was little
13 evidence that weevils visited refuges containing this isolate, as indicated by the
14 amount of weevil frass in the refuges and the amount of conidial powder on the
15 floor of the bioassay chamber outside the refuge.

16

17 *Experiment 5: Efficacy evaluation of M. brunneum applied against adult vine*
18 *weevil under polytunnel conditions*

19 Daily average temperatures and daily maximum temperatures in the polytunnel
20 remained above 15°C during the experiment, while daily minimum
21 temperatures fell below 15°C on 33 of the 40 days. Results from this
22 experiment are summarised in Table 4. For both the control treatment and the
23 *M. brunneum* treatment, over 95% of the weevils were recovered. Of the
24 recovered weevils, mortality in the *M. brunneum* cages was significantly higher
25 ($z = 3.00$, $P = 0.003$) than in the control cages. None of the dead weevils

1 recovered from control cages were infected with *M. brunneum*, while 34% of
2 the dead weevils recovered from the *M. brunneum* treated cages had fungal
3 mycelium emerging through the cuticle. Similarly numbers of recovered weevils
4 had fluorescent powder on the cuticle in both the control and *M. brunneum*
5 treated cages.

6

7 **DISCUSSION**

8 Simple plastic crawling insect bait stations were readily used as refuges by vine
9 weevil adults and in cage experiments there was effective dissemination of a
10 hydrophobic fluorescent powder. This was apparent even when weevils had
11 access to a range of refuges known to be exploited in crop habitats (e.g. Smith,
12 1932; Moorhouse *et al.*, 1992). This effective dissemination of powders is likely
13 to be due, at least in part, to the strong aggregation behaviour shown by vine
14 weevil adults (e.g. Smith, 1932; Moorhouse *et al.*, 1992). Through this
15 aggregation behaviour weevils are likely to come into contact with the
16 fluorescent powder either by themselves entering one of the artificial refuges or
17 by coming into contact with a weevil that has. Indeed, the experiment
18 investigating the horizontal spread of the fluorescent powder shows that large
19 numbers of weevils using artificial refuges may not be required for spores of an
20 EPF to be spread through the weevil population. Further work is required to
21 investigate the effect of refuge position and density on the spread of EPF
22 spores throughout weevil populations. Finally, there is considerable scope to
23 optimise the design of artificial refuges. Olfactory lures based on sex (Hartfield
24 *et al.*, 2001) and aggregation (Tinzaara *et al.*, 2007) pheromones as well as
25 plant volatiles (Klein & Lacey (1999; Lyons *et al.*, 2012) have, for example,

1 previously been used to promote the autodissemination of an EPF in damson-
2 hop aphid and emerald ash borer populations respectively. For vine weevil, it
3 is already known that responses of weevils to refuges may be enhanced
4 through the addition of plant volatiles such as (Z)-2-pentenol and methyl
5 eugenol (van Tol *et al.*, 2012).

6

7 Several studies have shown *M. brunneum* to be an effective control of vine
8 weevil larvae (e.g. Bruck & Donahue, 2007; Moorhouse *et al.*, 1993; Shah *et al.*,
9 2007). In contrast, few studies have investigated the potential of *B. bassiana*
10 for control of vine weevil (e.g. Prado, 1980; Bruck, 2004) or the use of EPFs to
11 control adults. Moorhouse (1990) does, however, report an LT₅₀ of 13 days for
12 *M. brunneum* isolate 275.86 when weevil adults were maintained at 20°C. In
13 Experiment 3, we tested the same isolate under the same set of conditions as
14 Moorhouse (1990) and recorded a slightly faster, LT₅₀. The LT₅₀ for isolate *M.*
15 *brunneum* 275.86 was comparable to the other *Metarhizium* isolates tested but
16 two *B. bassiana* isolates, 433.99 and 1749.11, killed 50% and 90% of the weevil
17 population significantly ($P < 0.05$) faster than the other isolates tested.

18

19 In Experiment 4, conidia powders of *M. brunneum* isolate 275.86 and *B.*
20 *bassiana* isolate 433.99 placed within refuges significantly increased weevil
21 mortality under laboratory conditions. However, *B. bassiana* isolate 1749.11
22 had no effect on weevil mortality, despite this isolate being virulent to vine
23 weevil adults when applied as a conidia suspension in the bioassay for
24 Experiment 3. There was little evidence (e.g. frass inside the refuge or
25 disturbance of the conidia powder) of weevils entering refuges containing a

1 conidia powder of this isolate. It is, therefore, possible that weevils avoided
2 conidia of isolate 1749.11. Insect avoidance of pathogenic fungi has been
3 described in several other systems e.g. the anthocorid bug, *Anthocoris*
4 *nemorum*, and the ladybird, *Coccinella septempunctata*, avoiding isolates of *B.*
5 *bassiana* (Meyling and Pell, 2006; Ormond *et al.*, 2011) as well as the termite,
6 *Macrotermes michaelseni*, avoiding both *B. bassiana* and *M. brunneum* (Mburu
7 *et al.*, 2009). If this hypothesis is true, it suggests the possibility of developing
8 a fungus-based chemical repellent.

9

10 Under polytunnel conditions, conidia powders of *M. brunneum* isolate 275.86
11 placed within refuges had a small but statistically significant effect on weevil
12 mortality. This result is similar to previous studies e.g. field testing
13 autodissemination as a means of controlling emerald ash borer. In a previous
14 study only 1% of emerald ash borers in the field site area were recorded as
15 being infected with the EPF isolate placed in the inoculation chambers after a
16 six week trapping period (Lyons *et al.*, 2012). However, as inoculation
17 chambers may remain within crops throughout the season they are likely to
18 have a cumulative effective on pest populations.

19

20 A feature of the results from the polytunnel experiment (Experiment 5) - in which
21 we tested the efficacy of conidia powders of *M. brunneum* placed within refuges
22 - was that far more weevils (144 weevils) came into contact with the conidial
23 powder than subsequently died from infection due to this pathogen (26 weevils).
24 This suggests that within the 40 days of this experiment around 18% of weevils
25 that came into contact with the conidial powders placed in the refuges acquired

1 a lethal dose under these experimental conditions. This is much lower than
2 results for the laboratory condition, which resulted in over 66% weevil mortality
3 after 28 days. This may reflect the importance of temperature in determining
4 the efficacy of *M. brunneum* in control of vine weevil (Bruck, 2007), although
5 the time between infection and the end of this experiment was unknown. A
6 minimum temperature of around 15°C is required for effective control of larvae
7 and a similar temperature requirement is likely to apply for control of adults.
8 However, in the present study temperatures fluctuated between being below
9 (night-time) and above (daytime) 15°C. How these temperature fluctuations
10 affect the efficacy of *M. brunneum* is not known but 10-20 times more inoculum
11 is known to be required to maintain the efficacy of *B. bassiana* when conditions
12 fluctuate between unfavourable high and low temperatures (Fargues & Luz,
13 2000).

14

15 Autodissemination of an EPF through the use of artificial refuges as inoculation
16 chambers offers promise for controlling vine weevil as a component of an IPM
17 programme. For example, EPF targeted against adult weevils could be
18 deployed alongside entomopathogenic nematodes and fungi used against vine
19 weevil larvae. This might reduce the need for the use of broad spectrum
20 chemical insecticides to control vine weevil adults (van Tol *et al.*, 2012), which
21 may disrupt biological control programmes for other pests.

22

23 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

24 This study was funded by the Chemicals Regulations Directorate (CRD) on
25 behalf of Defra (project PS2134).

1

2 **REFERENCES**

3 Ansari MA, Shah FA, Butt TM (2008) Combined use of entomopathogenic
4 nematodes and *Metarhizium anisopliae* as a new approach for black vine
5 weevil, *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) control.
6 *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*. 129: 340–347.

7 Bewick V, Cheek L, Ball J (2004) Statistics review 12: Survival analysis.
8 *Critical Care*, 8:389-394.

9 Bruck D. (2004) Natural occurrence of entomopathogens in Pacific Northwest
10 nursery soils and their virulence to the black vine weevil, *Otiorhynchus*
11 *sulcatus* (F.) (Coleoptera : Curculionidae). *Environmental Entomology*.
12 33:1335-1343.

13 Bruck DJ. (2007) Efficacy of *Metarhizium anisopliae* as a curative application
14 for Black Vine Weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) infesting container-grown
15 nursery crops. *Journal of Environmental Horticulture*. 25:150–156.

16 Bruck DJ, Donahue KM (2007) Persistence of *Metarhizium anisopliae*
17 incorporated into soilless potting media for control of the black vine weevil,
18 *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* in container-grown ornamentals. *Journal of*
19 *Invertebrate Pathology*. 95:146-150.

20 Chandler D (1994) Cryopreservation of fungal spores using porous beads.
21 *Mycological Research*. 98:525-526.

- 1 Cox DR (1972) Regression models and life-tables. *Journal of the Royal*
2 *Statistical Society B.* 34:187-220.
- 3 Cross JV, Easterbrook MA, Crook AM, Fitzgerald JD, Innocenzi PJ, Jay CN,
4 Solomon MG (2001) Review: natural enemies and biocontrol of pests of
5 strawberry in northern and central Europe. *Biocontrol Science and*
6 *Technology.* 22:165-216.
- 7 Fargues J, Luz C (2000) Effects of fluctuating moisture and temperature
8 regimes on the infection potential of *Beauveria bassiana* for *Rhodnius*
9 *prolixus*. *Journal of Invertebrate Pathology.* 75:202-211.
- 10 Georgis R, Koppenhöfer AM, Lacey LA, Bélair G, Duncan LW, Grewal PS,
11 Samish M, Tan L, Torr P, van Tol RWHM (2006) Successes and failures in
12 the use of parasitic nematodes for pest control. *Biological Control.* 38:103-
13 123.
- 14 Goettel MS, Inglis GD (1997) Ch. V-3 Fungi: Hyphomycetes. In "Manual of
15 Techniques in Insect Pathology", (L. A. Lacey, Ed.), pp.213-248, Academic
16 Press.
- 17 Hartfield CM, Campbell CAM, Hardie J, Pickett JA, Wadhams LJ (2001)
18 Pheromone traps for the dissemination of an entomopathogen by the
19 damson-hop aphid *Phorodon humuli*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology.*
20 11:401-410.
- 21 Klein MG, Lacey LA (1999) An attractant trap for autodissemination of
22 entomopathogenic fungi into populations of the Japanese beetle *Popillia*

1 *japonica* (Coleoptera:Scarabaeidae). *Biocontrol Science and Technology*.
2 9:151–158.

3 Lundmark M (2010) *Otiorhynchus sulcatus*, an autopolyploid general-purpose
4 genotype species? *Hereditas*. 147:278–282.

5 Lyons DB, Lavallee R, George K-P, van Frankenhuyzen K, Johny S, Guertin
6 C, Francese JA, Jones GC, Blais M (2012) Towards the development of an
7 autocontamination trap system to manage populations of emerald ash borer
8 (Coleoptera: Buprestidae) with the native entomopathogenic fungus,
9 *Beauveria bassiana*. *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 105:1929-1939.

10 Meyling N, Pell JK (2006) Detection and avoidance of an entomopathogenic
11 fungus by a generalist insect predator. *Ecological Entomology*. 31:162–171.

12 Mburu DM, Ochola L, Maniania NK, Njagi PGN, Gitonga LM, Ndung'u MW,
13 Wanjoya AK, Hassanali A (2009) Relationship between virulence and
14 repellency of entomopathogenic isolates of *Metarhizium anisopliae* and
15 *Beauveria bassiana* to the termite *Macrotermes michaelseni*. *Journal of Insect*
16 *Physiology*. 55:774–780.

17 Moorhouse ER (1990) The potential of the entomopathogenic fungus
18 *Metarhizium anisopliae* as a microbial control agent of the black vine weevil
19 *Otiorhynchus sulcatus*. *Phd Thesis, University of Bath*. 533pp.

20 Moorhouse ER, Charnley AK, Gillespie AT (1992) A review of the biology and
21 control of the vine weevil, *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae).
22 *Annals of Applied Biology*. 121:431-454.

- 1 Moorhouse ER, Gillespie AT, Charnley AK (1993) The development of
2 *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) larvae on a
3 range of ornamental pot-plant species and the potential for control using
4 *Metarhizium anisopliae*. *Journal of Horticultural Science*. 68:627-635.
- 5 Ormond EL, Thomas APM, Pell JK, Freeman SN, Roy HE (2011) Avoidance
6 of a generalist entomopathogenic fungus by the ladybird, *Coccinella*
7 *septempunctata*. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. 77:229–237.
- 8 Prado E (1980) Control of black vine weevil larvae (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*)
9 using the insect pathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana*, *Metarrhizium*
10 *anisopliae* and *Metarrhizium flavoviride*. *Vaxtskyddsnotiser*. 44:160-167.
- 11 Quesada-Moraga E, Martin-Carballo I, Garrido-Jurado I, Santiago-Álvarez C
12 (2008) Horizontal transmission of *Metarhizium anisopliae* among laboratory
13 populations of *Ceratitis capitata* (Wiedemann) (Diptera: Tephritidae).
14 *Biological Control*. 47:115-124.
- 15 Shah FA, Ansari MA, Prasas M, Butt TM (2007) Evaluation of black vine
16 weevil (*Otiorhynchus sulcatus*) control strategies using *Metarhizium*
17 *anisopliae* with sublethal doses of insecticides in disparate horticultural
18 growing media. *Biological Control*. 40:246-252.
- 19 Smith FF (1932) Biology and control of the black vine weevil. *U.S. Department*
20 *of Agriculture Technical Bulletin*. 325.
- 21 Soper R (1978) Autodissemination of entomopathogens: fungi. In: Allen GE,
22 Ignoffo CM, Jaques RP (eds) *Future strategies in pest management systems*.

1 Proceedings of the National Science Foundation, United States Department of
2 Agriculture and the University of Florida Workshop on Microbial Control of
3 Insect Pests, Gainesville, FL, pp 63–65.

4 Tinzaara W, Gold CS, Dicke M, Van Huis A, Nankinga CM, Kagezi GH,
5 Ragamaet RE (2007) The use of aggregation pheromone to enhance
6 dissemination of *Beauveria bassiana* for the control of the banana weevil in
7 Uganda. *Biocontrol Science and Technology*. 17:111–124.

8 van Tol RWHM, Visser JH, Sabelis MW (2004) Behavioural responses of the
9 vine weevil, *Otiorhynchus sulcatus*, to semiochemicals from conspecific,
10 *Otiorhynchus salicicola*, and host plants. *Entomologia Experimentalis et*
11 *Applicata*. 110:145-150.

12 van Tol RWHM, Bruck DJ, Griepink FC, De Kogel WJ (2012) Field attraction
13 of the vine weevil *Otiorhynchus sulcatus* to kairomones. *Journal of Economic*
14 *Entomology*. 105:169-175.

15 Warner RE, Negley FB (1976) The genus *Otiorhynchus* in America north of
16 Mexico (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). *Proceedings of the Entomological*
17 *Society of Washington*. 78:240-262.

18 Willmott DM, Hart AJ, Long SJ, Edmondson RN, Richardson PN (2002) Use
19 of a cold-active entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema kraussei* to control
20 overwintering larvae of the black vine weevil *Otiorhynchus sulcatus*
21 (Coleoptera: Cucurlionidae) in outdoor strawberry plants. *Nematology*. 4:925-
22 932.

1 Yasuda K. (1999) Auto-infection system for the sweet potato weevil, *Cylas*
2 *formicarius* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) with entomopathogenic
3 fungi, *Beauveria bassiana* using a modified sex pheromone trap in the field.
4 *Applied Entomology and Zoology*. 34:501-505.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 Table 1. Fungal isolates used in the initial screen.

2

3 Table 2. Survival analysis results of time-mortality responses of adult black
4 vine weevil treated directly with EPF isolates 28 days post inoculation.

5

6 Table 3. Survival analysis results of time-mortality responses of adult black
7 vine weevil to EPF inoculated Roguard refuges 28 days post inoculation.

8

9 Table 4. Results from efficacy evaluation of *M. brunneum* applied against
10 adult vine weevil under polytunnel conditions.

11

12

Species	Isolate[†]	Host/Substrate	Collection site
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	342.92	<i>Otiorhynchus sulcatus</i>	UK
	432.99 ^a (ATCC 74040)	<i>Anthonomus grandis</i>	USA
	433.99 ^b (strain GHA)	<i>Diabrotica undecimpunctata</i>	USA
	1749.11	<i>O. sulcatus</i>	UK
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae s.l.</i>	35.79	<i>O. sulcatus</i>	UK
.	189.83	<i>O. sulcatus</i>	UK
.	276.86	<i>O. sulcatus</i>	Germany
<i>Metarhizium brunneum</i>	275.86 ^c (F52 / BIPESCO5)	<i>Cydia pomonella</i>	Germany

1 [†]Isolate number in the WCC culture collection (isolate number from culture collection of origin)

2 Isolate forms the active ingredient in the proprietary mycopesticide; (a) 'Naturalis' (Troy Biosciences Inc., 113

3 South 47th Ave., Phoenix, AZ 850433, USA). ATCC = American Type Culture Collection; (b) 'Botanigard'

4 (Mycotech Corporation, PO Box 4109, Butte, MT 59702, USA); (c) 'Met52' (Novozymes, Hallas Allé 4400

5 Kalundborg, Denmark).

6

7

8

9

10

11

Species	Isolate [†]	% mortality		Factors	MST ^b (95% CI)	HR ^c (95% CI)	Z (HR)	P (HR)	df	n	
		14 dpi ^a	28 dpi								
				Rep			0.002	0.961	1	2	
				Treatment			72.86	<0.001	8	9	
Control		3	13		-	a				30	
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	342.92	47	67		14 (3.9 - 24.1)	bd	10.45 (3.31 - 32.98)	16.02	<0.001	1	15
	432.99	47	67		22 (1.8 - 42.2)	b	8.74 (2.73 -27.96)	13.35	<0.001	1	15
		100	100				104.74 (31.42 -				
	433.99				7 (6.4 - 7.6)	e	349.09)	57.35	<0.001	1	15
	1749.11	100	100		8 (6.8 - 9.2)	e	79.15 (23.83 - 262.89)	50.95	<0.001	1	15
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	35.79	80	100		12 (10.1 – 13.9)	c	32.01 (9.95 – 103.03)	33.78	<0.001	1	15
	189.83	67	100		10 (8.8 - 11.2)	c	27.54 (8.74 - 86.79)	32.05	<0.001	1	15
		60	100		13 (11.8 - 14.2)						
	276.86				cd		23.29 (7.33 - 73.99)	28.49	<0.001	1	15
<i>Metarhizium brunneum</i>	275.86	87	100		10 (9.1 - 10.9)	c	31.54 (9.78 - 101.76)	33.36	<0.001	1	15

[†]Isolate number in the WCC culture collection

The Hazard ratios (HR) indicate the relative average daily risk of death compared to the 0.05% Triton-X treated control. The median survival time (MST) gives the proportional cumulative survival of 50% of the populations. MST values followed by different lower case letters within the column are significantly different (log rank $\chi^2 \geq 3.841$, $P < 0.05$).

^a dpi = days post inoculation

^b MST = median survival time, given in days

^cHR = hazard ratio, compared to the 0.05% Triton-X treated control

1

2

Species	Isolate [†]	% Mortality		Factors	MST ^b (95% CI)	HR ^c (95% CI)	Z (HR)	P (HR)	df	n	
		14 dpi ^a	28 dpi								
				Rep			0.161	0.689	1	2	
				Treatment			35.82	<0.001	3	4	
Control		0	3		-	a				30	
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i>	433.99	27	67		17 (15.5 - 18.5)	c	17.74 (4.474 - 70.322)	16.74	<0.001	1	15
	1749.11	13	27		-	a	3.81(0.845 - 17.170)	3.03	<0.082	1	15
<i>Metarhizium brunneum</i>	275.86	47	93		15 (8.8 - 21.2)	b	34.3 (9.469 - 124.262)	28.97	<0.001	1	15

1

[†]Isolate number in the WCC culture collection

2

The Hazard ratios (HR) indicate the relative average daily risk of death compared to the 0.05% Triton-X treated control. The median survival time (MST) gives the proportional cumulative survival of

3

50% of the populations. MST values followed by different lower case letters within the column are significantly different (log rank $\chi^2 \geq 3.841$, $P < 0.05$).

4

^a dpi = days post inoculation

5

^b MST = median survival time, given in days

6

^cHR = hazard ratio, compared to the talc control

7

8

9

10

11

12

	Control treatment	<i>Metarhizium brunneum</i> treatment
Mean number of weevils recovered (+/- SE)	38.20 (1.06)	37.80 (0.86)
Mean number of dead weevils recovered (+/- SE)	10.00 (2.10)	15.40 (1.99)
Mean numbers of <i>M. brunneum</i> infected weevils (+/- SE)	0.00 (0.00)	5.20 (1.39)
Mean numbers of weevils coated in fluorescent powder (+/- SE)	27.60 (2.32)	29.00 (2.02)