

# Habitat type and altitude work in tandem to drive the community structure of dung beetles in Afromontane forest

by Stanbrook, R., Wheeler, C.P., Harris, W.E. and Jones, M.

**Copyright, publisher and additional information:** .This is the authors' accepted manuscript. The published version is available via Springer.

Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher

[DOI link to the version of record on the publisher's site](#)



Stanbrook, R., Wheeler, C.P., Harris, W.E. and Jones, M. 2021. Habitat type and altitude work in tandem to drive the community structure of dung beetles in Afromontane forest. *Journal of Insect Conservation*, pp.1-15.

1 **Title:** Habitat type and altitude work in tandem to drive the community structure of dung beetles in  
2 Afromontane forest

3

4

5 Roisin Stanbrook<sup>1,2\*</sup>, C. Philip Wheeler<sup>2</sup>, W. Edwin Harris<sup>2,3</sup> and Martin Jones<sup>2</sup>

6

7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, University of Central Florida, Orlando, USA, 32816-2368

8

9 <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Science and Engineering, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK, M1 5GD

10

11 <sup>3</sup>Crop and Environment Sciences, Harper Adams University, Edgmond, UK, TF10 8HY

12

13 \*Corresponding author. E-mail: [roisin.stanbrook@ucf.edu](mailto:roisin.stanbrook@ucf.edu)

14 Orchid iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6753-9751>

15

## 16 ***Abstract***

### 17 **Introduction**

18 Dung beetles are a species group highly recognized for their sensitivity to anthropogenically induced  
19 change. There are few studies of the dung beetle communities found in Afromontane forests despite these  
20 forests forming part of a global hotspot of biodiversity.

### 21 **Methods**

22 We used comparisons of diversity to investigate the effect of habitat and altitude on the species composition  
23 of Scarabaeidae dung beetles in six Afromontane habitat types and identified indicator species associated  
24 with each forest type.

### 25 **Results**

26 A total of 8020 individuals from 34 species belonging to 16 genera were captured at twelve transect  
27 locations across a 1.5 km altitudinal gradient. All indices measuring diversity and dominance showed  
28 significant differences between forest types with little overlap in the species composition detected in each.

29 Altitude was negatively correlated with dung beetle abundance, species richness, and diversity (abundance:  
30  $R^2 = -0.392$ ,  $P = <0.001$ , richness:  $R^2 = -0.779$ ,  $P = <0.001$ , diversity:  $R^2 = -0.735$ ,  $P = <0.001$ ), and there  
31 were also significant differences in abundance, species richness and diversity between altitude zones. Eight  
32 indicator species were identified for potential use in future conservation management or monitoring  
33 programs.

#### 34 **Discussion**

35 This study found no significant relationship between species richness and forest type but an interaction  
36 between the two factors. Altitude in conjunction with forest type are the main factors in shaping dung beetle  
37 species composition in this Afromontane forest. Our results suggest the most important characteristic for  
38 determining community structure was mean canopy cover *per* forest type. Results from a previous short  
39 study conducted in 1974 indicate while there was significant overlap between dung beetle species caught  
40 40 years ago and the present day there were also seven species which were absent. All seven of these species  
41 have climatic distributions centered around the wet highlands of East Africa and further work is urgently  
42 required to understand why these species are no longer detectable.

#### 43 **Implications for insect conservation**

44 Our results have important conservation implications as they underscore the importance of preserving forest  
45 heterogeneity to maintain maximum dung beetle diversity within mountain ecosystems. The identified  
46 differences in dung beetle diversity between forest types and the high turnover of dung beetle species  
47 between altitude zones should heighten the importance for instigating future Scarabaeidae conservation  
48 initiatives in Afromontane regions.

49  
50 **Keywords:** Afromontane forest, Altitude gradient, Beta diversity, Dung beetles, Indicator species

51

52

#### 53 **Declarations**

54

#### 55 **Funding**

56 The authors received no funding for this work

57

#### 58 **Conflicts of interest/Competing interests**

59 The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

60

61 **Availability of data and material (data transparency)**

62 The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article  
63 (and/or) its supplementary materials.

64 **Acknowledgements**

65 Data were collected with the authorization and help of the Kenya Wildlife Service under research permit  
66 number NACOSTI/P/15/0573/3206. We thank Laban Njoroge at the National Museums Kenya for the use  
67 of their facilities for storage and identification. We also thank Darren Mann, Oxford University Museum  
68 of Natural History and Phillipe Moretto for their assistance with species identifications.

69

70

71 ***Introduction***

72

73 The high species richness and diversity found in tropical montane habitats are the result of three main  
74 factors: (1) the impact of climatic and geological history on biotic evolution; (2) species adaptations to  
75 environmental constraints; and (3) the continuous dispersal of fauna and flora over time. The alteration of  
76 very cold and wet and then warm and dry periods in the Pleistocene epoch encouraged the formation of  
77 refuges (Fjeldsa and Lovett 1997), which served as shelters for a rich diversity of species, and also sources  
78 for dispersion of biota to neighboring areas as warming of the climate allowed species ranges to expand. In  
79 East Africa these refuges are now mostly confined to the forest archipelago of mountains dotted from  
80 southern Tanzania to the Bale mountains in Ethiopia (Hedburg 1969). Altitudinal differences within  
81 mountain forests have also made for very varied climates and this wide diversity of climates combined with  
82 local differences owing to geomorphological, edaphic and plant cover have given rise to a range of  
83 microclimates which help generate a wide range of specific niches (Coe 1969).

84

85 The refugia hypothesis (Fjeldsa & Lovett, 1997) suggests that differences in species diversity and  
86 occurrence between isolated patches are the result of allopatric speciation. Changes in species distribution

87 across environmental gradients may reflect habitat specialization by constituent species (Laurance et al.  
88 2011) and limiters such as thermal tolerance and resource availability restrict niche space and determine  
89 the spatial extent of species distributions and successional patterns. One well-studied aspect of patterns in  
90 spatial biodiversity is the species turnover that occurs along altitudinal gradients, especially those which  
91 occur in tropical montane habitats (Escobar et al. 2005). These changes may arise over small spatial extents  
92 due to the close proximity of different habitats and, as a consequence, communities may differ dramatically  
93 in species composition over small distances, for example between valleys or mountain peaks (Jankowski et  
94 al. 2009).

95  
96 Tropical montane forests constitute one of the biologically richest environments on earth (Aldrich et al.  
97 1997) and often contain a large number of endemic species (Merckx et al. 2015). However, African montane  
98 (Afromontane) forests and their associated biota are poorly studied despite being important hotspots of  
99 terrestrial biodiversity (Mittermeier et al. 2011; CEPF 2012). In Kenya, Afromontane ecosystems are  
100 estimated to cover 7.4% (58 Mha) of the terrestrial area and montane forests represent 57% (740,000 ha)  
101 of forest cover (Paron et al. 2013). The majority of the studies that assess Afromontane biotic distributions  
102 in East Africa pertain to vertebrates (Martin et al. 2015; Rovero et al. 2016; Stanley and Kihaule 2016) and  
103 plants (Nyundo et al. 2006; Eisenring et al. 2016). Little is known about insect diversity of African forests  
104 or the factors which may explain their distribution. Invertebrates constitute the bulk of known biodiversity  
105 on Earth and dominate virtually every terrestrial ecosystem in terms of species richness, animal biomass,  
106 and the provision of essential ecosystem services (Samways 2015). Thus, understanding of the factors  
107 influencing invertebrate taxonomic richness and diversity at both local and regional scales is important for  
108 conserving biodiversity and for maintaining ecosystem services. Dung beetles are known to represent an  
109 important functional component of the animal fauna found in tropical forests (Krell-Westerwalbesloh et al.  
110 2004; Banks et al. 2010) as they provide several key ecological functions such as seed dispersal, parasite  
111 suppression and dung removal (Nichols et al. 2008).

112

113 A recent global meta-analysis (Nichols et al. 2007) of 26 studies of dung beetle community responses to  
114 tropical forest modification and fragmentation showed that dung beetle species richness, abundance, and  
115 biomass undergo a gradual decline across a modification gradient from intact tropical forest to clear-cut  
116 areas, and as forest fragments became smaller and/or more isolated. Dung beetle communities are very  
117 sensitive to changes in abundance of food resources, microclimatic variables and soil characteristics  
118 (Hanski et al. 1979; Hanski and Cambefort 1991; Osberg et al. 1994; Pryke et al. 2013). Changes in  
119 community organization of dung beetles include alterations in species richness, composition, abundance  
120 and guild structure. The physical structure of habitats can be an important determining factor in the  
121 composition and distribution of dung beetle assemblages (Davis and Sutton 1998) with a complete species  
122 turnover observed across a natural ecotone spanning as little as 100m (Spector and Ayzama 2003).  
123 Consequently, dung beetles are a useful indicator group because they reflect structural differences between  
124 biotope types (Carpio et al., 2009; Nichols et al., 2013). From savannahs to tropical forests, dung beetles  
125 are highly habitat specific and there are distinct communities of beetles associated with forests, edges and  
126 pasture habitats. Although some species can utilize more than a single habitat type, certain species may  
127 never be found outside their preferred habitat (Scheffler 2005).

128  
129 A number of factors are thought to influence the spatial pattern of dung beetle species occurrence. Species  
130 may have their range restricted by lack of suitable habitat or the decline in quality of existing habitats (Raine  
131 and Slade 2019). To date there have been few published studies describing dung beetles in montane regions  
132 globally, and their ecology and response to biotic and abiotic factors in African montane forests has seldom  
133 been analyzed. The only previous studies assessing dung beetle species across an altitudinal gradient in  
134 African mountains are those by Davis et al. (1999) from the Drakensburg mountain range in South Africa  
135 and more recently from Mt. Kilimanjaro in Tanzania (Gebert et al. 2019). It is generally accepted that in  
136 most invertebrate taxa, species diversity and richness generally decline with increasing altitude (Wolda  
137 1987). However, other studies have also reported mid-elevation peaks in dung beetle species richness  
138 (Escobar et al. 2006; Lobo et al. 2007; Herzog et al. 2013; Nunes et al. 2016). Both the African and the

139 Neotropical studies unravel specific and general patterns that help explain the mechanisms driving the  
140 distribution of dung beetles along elevation gradients and with significant implications for biogeographic  
141 analysis and conservation priorities. Understanding these patterns is important as there is growing evidence  
142 that the effects of climate change are amplified with elevation (Mayor et al. 2017).

143  
144 In this study, we investigate the factors influencing the spatial pattern of dung beetle diversity in  
145 Afromontane vegetation. We sampled dung beetles associated with six different forest habitats which are  
146 characterized by differences in vegetation types and are located along an altitudinal gradient. We test the  
147 hypothesis that altitude and forest type underpin the variation of dung beetle diversity and species  
148 composition of dung beetles in Afromontane forests. Our objectives are: 1) to describe dung beetle alpha  
149 diversity ( $\alpha$ ) at the habitat scale; 2) to estimate beta diversity ( $\beta$ ) between elevation zones; and 3) to estimate  
150 gamma diversity ( $\gamma$ ) for the whole mountain range. We expected a decrease in species richness with  
151 increasing altitude but less species attenuation between forest types. Additionally, we hypothesize that  
152 different forest types will have distinct species assemblages from which indicator species can be described.  
153 We discuss the implications of these findings for future conservation planning and climate change  
154 monitoring within Afromontane forests.

155

## 156 ***Methods***

157

### 158 **Study area**

159

160 The study was performed at six locations in the Aberdare National Park (ANP) which is located in the  
161 Aberdare mountain range in central Kenya (Figure 1). The mountain range belongs to the northern volcanic  
162 sub-region of the eastern Afromontane (EAM) biodiversity hotspot. The hotspot is well known for regional  
163 variation in vegetation, rainfall patterns, topography and high levels of endemism across its range (Taylor  
164 2015). The ANP lies to the east of the Gregory Rift Valley and is about 80 km north of Nairobi and 17 km

165 from Nyeri town (0.4167° S, 36.9500° E). It is an elongated massif, running approximately north south,  
166 parallel to the direction of the Rift Valley, 60 km to the west of Mt. Kenya. The Aberdare Forest Reserve,  
167 a protected area, surrounds the Park. The highest peaks are Oldonyo Lesatima (4000m) in the north and Il  
168 Kinangop (3906m) in the south. Between these peaks is an extensive moorland region distributed between  
169 3300m and 3700m asl. Like many East African mountains, the Aberdare range was formed by volcanic  
170 activity during the Pleiocene and the elongate form of the range is a result of the lava spillage. As a result,  
171 the main peaks and ravines of the ANP run in almost straight lines linked by a series of ridges. Topographic  
172 and edaphic elements modify the distribution of the six main vegetation types (Bushland, *Juniperus*  
173 dominated forest, *Podocarpus* dominated forest, *Hagenia* dominated forest, Bamboo, and Ericaceous  
174 moorland) found within the park. The vegetation consists mainly of bamboo, high heath moorland with  
175 large areas of open and closed canopy forest. Bushland is prevalent at lower elevations occurring  
176 particularly in the Salient sector that extends eastwards from the main peaks.

177

#### 178 **Bushland Thicket**

179 Bushlands are open stands of bushes (usually between 3 and 7 m tall) with a canopy cover of 40 percent or  
180 more. Thickets are closed stands of bushes (usually between 3 and 7 m tall) where the bushes are so densely  
181 interlaced that they are impenetrable except along tracks made by animals. Bushlands and thickets are taller  
182 than shrublands defined as open or closed stands of shrubs up to 2 m tall. Dominant vegetation  
183 includes *Hypoestes forskalii* and *Ocimum suave*. The grass *Cynodon dactylon* is common along game-  
184 trails (Schmitt 1992).

#### 185 **Juniperus dominated forest**

186 Afromontane single-dominant *Juniperus procera* forest mostly occurs on the drier slopes of mountains  
187 between 1800 and 2900 m, although it sometimes descends to 1000 m (Bussmann 2006). Dominant species  
188 include *Juniperus procera*, *Halleria lucida*, *Ilex mitis*, *Kiggelaria africana* and *Nuxia congesta*.

#### 189 **Podocarpus dominated forest**



190 This forest type is very similar in structure to certain types of Guineo-Congolian rain forest (Kindt et al.  
191 2014) but contains conifer species (*Podocarpus*) which are absent from this type of rain forest. These forests  
192 occur mainly between 1200 and 2500 m on the slopes of East African mountains. In the ANP this forest  
193 type is predominantly found in altitude zone Dominant species include *Podocarpus latifolia*, *Ocotea*  
194 *usambarensis*, and *Olea capensis*.

#### 195 **Afromontane Bamboo**

196 In East Africa, *Sinarundinaria alpina* bamboo is mostly found between 2400 and 3000 m, although it  
197 ascends to 3500 m on Mt. Kenya and to 1630 m Uluguru Mts. (Tanzania). It grows most vigorously on  
198 deep volcanic soils and gently slopes where the annual rainfall exceeds 1250 mm. Afromontane bamboo  
199 covers almost 65000 ha in the Aberdare mountain Range (Kindt et al. 2014).

#### 200 **Hagenia dominated forest**

201 Characteristically, *Hagenia abyssinica* forms almost pure stands of 9 to 15 m tall in a narrow and often  
202 interrupted zone between the montane Ericaceous belt and taller types of Afromontane rain forest. *Hagenia*  
203 *abyssinica* forest is a climax vegetation type where low night temperatures exclude other trees (Schmitt  
204 1992).

#### 205 **Ericaceous grassland**

206 Ericaceous vegetation includes well-developed cloud forest of *Erica excelsa*, and scrub with *E. trimera*,  
207 *Stoebe kilimanscharica* and *Cliffortia nitidula*. The altotropical grasslands are dominated by *Festuca*  
208 *pilgeri*, *Koeleria capensis*, the C4 grass *Andropogon lima* (White 1981).

209

#### 210 **Experimental design**

211 Dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae and Aphodiidae) were collected with pitfall traps baited with  
212 elephant dung during three sampling periods; two periods during the wet season during June and August  
213 2015, and one during the dry season in late February and early March 2016. Samples collected in both the  
214 wet and dry seasons were pooled for analyses. Two 1 km transects were established in each forest type  
215 (Figure 2). We used two transects *per* forest type as logistics and sampling time were limited by the

216 availability of Kenya Wildlife Services security personnel. Eight pitfall traps were used per transect and  
217 were baited with 50g of elephant dung wrapped in fine gauge mesh netting and left open for four days at  
218 each site. Pitfall traps followed the design of Larsen (2005) and spaced 125 m apart. Traps were emptied  
219 and re-baited every 24 hours over a four-day period to provide a total sample of 64 samples *per* forest type  
220 and a total of 384 collections. Once collected, the dung beetles were transferred into a 70% ethanol solution  
221 for preservation and identification. Dung beetles were identified with the help of Darren Mann and Phillippe  
222 Moretto using the following keys: Ferreira (1972), Cambefort (1984), D'Orbigny (1911), Zidek & Pokorný  
223 (2004) and Cambefort & Nguyen-Phung, (1996).

224

### 225 **Environmental variables**

226 An elevation raster layer was created using the banding toolset in ArcMap 10.4. Digital elevation zones at  
227 500m intervals were created for the land area within the ANP boundary (Figure 1). Supervised classification  
228 using Landsat7 data was then used to create a vegetation layer for the ANP (Figure 2). These layers were  
229 used to guide transect placement to ensure transects fell into each forest type and altitude zone. To collect  
230 data attributes at each pitfall trap location, a modified version of the Standard International Forestry  
231 Resources and Institutions method for vegetation sampling (Ostrom 2008) was used to collect the following  
232 data: tree species richness, abundance, herbaceous ground cover, and canopy cover surrounding each trap.  
233 Two concentric circles (1 m, and 10 m radius) were established around each trap to record these data. Tree  
234 seedlings and saplings (2.5–10 cm diameter) were counted in the 1 m radius circle, and mature trees (>10  
235 cm diam.) in the 10 m radius circle. Tree diameter at breast height (dbh) was measured at 1.3 m height.  
236 Ground vegetation and canopy cover were determined in the 1 m radius circles. Estimates of percentage  
237 ground cover were taken for herbs when individuals were impractical to count. For each trap, the ground  
238 vegetation cover was visually scored on five scales as follows: (1) 0-5 percent of the total area of the 1 m  
239 radius circle; (2) 5–25 percent of the total area of the circle; (3) 26–50 percent of the total area of the circle;  
240 (4) 51–75 percent of the total area of the circle; and (5) >76 percent of the total area of the circle. Canopy

241 cover was measured at three randomly selected points within the 1 m radius of every trap using a spherical  
242 densitometer.

243

#### 244 **Data Analyses**

245 Species richness was estimated for each vegetation type using the non-parametric estimator ‘Chao1’ (Chao  
246 1984). Chao1 gives an estimate of the absolute number of species in an assemblage based on the number  
247 of rare species (singletons and doubletons) in a sample. A Chao1 estimate of species richness is  
248 recommended for inventory completeness values, completeness being the ratio between observed and  
249 estimated richness (Cao and Epifanio 2010; Buddle et al. 2012). Alpha diversity ( $\alpha$ -diversity) is the  
250 biodiversity within an area, community or ecosystem, and is usually expressed as the species richness of  
251 the area. This can be measured by counting the number of taxa (distinct groups of organisms) within the  
252 ecosystem (e.g., families, genera, and species). The Shannon Index ( $H'$ ) was used to measure diversity; the  
253 index is increased either by having additional unique species, or by having greater species evenness.  
254 Pielou’s  $J'$  was used to estimate species evenness (based on the Shannon Index) that is the relative  
255 abundance or proportion of individuals among the species.

256

#### 257 **Comparison of species richness, diversity and evenness between habitat types**

258 To test for differences in species richness, abundance and diversity between habitat types and altitude zones  
259 we used a Kruskal Wallis test with a post hoc Nemenyi test for multiple comparisons (Zar 2010). We used  
260 GLM with Poisson errors and the log link function with Habitat type and Altitude as factors. The  $\chi^2$  statistic  
261 was used to test for significant changes in deviance. We used a Mantel test to evaluate association patterns  
262 between distance matrices (Jankowski et al. 2009) using Bray-Curtis dissimilarities for dung beetle species  
263 composition, and Euclidean distance matrices for altitudinal distance, canopy cover and ground cover with  
264 999 permutations.

265

266 The Beta.SOR function within the R package Betapart (Baselga 2013) was used to establish the percentage  
267 nestedness and turnover between altitude zones and between habitat types. Data were converted from  
268 relative abundance data into a presence/absence matrix for use with Sørensen's index. Data were further  
269 explored using the beta.core function within Betapart which evaluates the differences in shared species  
270 richness between samples.

271  
272 The Bray-Curtis similarity coefficient was used to determine site similarities based on species abundances.  
273 Bray-Curtis cluster analysis (single link) was performed to identify the clustering of habitats into distinct  
274 groups. Hierarchical single link clustering takes the similarity matrix as the starting point and successively  
275 fuses the samples into groups and the groups into larger clusters, starting with the highest mutual similarities  
276 then gradually reducing the similarity level at which groups are formed resulting in a tree diagram or  
277 dendrogram plot. Where data conformed to parametric assumptions, such tests were used, otherwise GLMs  
278 with appropriate error structure were used. We used R version 3.3.1 (Development Core Team, 2017) to  
279 perform all statistical analyses. Mantel tests and calculations of Euclidean distances between altitude pairs  
280 were carried out using the 'vegan' (Oksanen 2015) and 'ecodist' (Goslee and Urban 2007) packages.

281  
282 CLAM analysis (Multinomial Species Classification Method (Chazdon et al. 2011) was used to determine  
283 which species are indicators of Afromontane habitat types in the Aberdare mountain range. CLAM is a  
284 multinomial model which uses relative abundance of species in two distinguishable habitats. One advantage  
285 of CLAM is that the procedure explicitly considers a threshold for rarity, meaning that species that are too  
286 rare cannot be classified, and distinguishes species that are generalists from those that demonstrate  
287 specificity to one habitat type (Chazdon et al., 2011). An important parameter of the multinomial model is  
288  $K$ , which refers to the cut-off point for classifying species according to their habitat type. CLAM was used  
289 to classify generalists and specialists into open and closed vegetation types by setting a specialization  
290 threshold of  $K = 0.667$ ,  $P = <0.05$  (Bicknell et al. 2014). The model classifies species into one of four  
291 groups: (1) Generalist; (2) Habitat A specialist (specialist of open vegetation); (3) Habitat B specialist

292 (specialist of closed canopy vegetation); and (4) too rare to classify with confidence. Dung beetles were  
293 classified as an indicator of a particular habitat type if  $\geq 66\%$  of their occurrences were within that habitat  
294 during the sample period.

295  
296 Habitat associations of individual species and functional guilds were ascertained using Pearson Chi-squared  
297 residuals and plotted using the hclust function in the Vegan package (Oksanen 2015) to visualize both  
298 positive and negative associations and also split dung beetle species into community groups. To see which  
299 species were indicative of the six forest types in the ANP, indicator species analysis in the form of  
300 association analysis was undertaken using these Pearson residuals. This analysis details which species have  
301 significant associations (either positive or negative) for each habitat type based on the co-occurrence of  
302 species and uses the probability that the observed frequency of co-occurrence is significantly large and  
303 greater than expected (positive association), significantly small and less than expected (negative  
304 association), or not significantly different and approximately equal to expected (random association)  
305 (Veech 2014).

306

### 307 ***Results***

308 A total of 8020 individuals from 34 species belonging to 16 genera were captured at twelve transect  
309 locations across a 1.5 km altitudinal gradient that spanned from 1901 - 3972 m asl (Figure 1). Eleven species  
310 from a previous study conducted by (Davis and Dewhurst, 1993) were recorded plus a further 23 species,  
311 three of which were new records for Kenya; *Catharsius gibbicollis*, *Catharsius sesostris*, and *Hetronitis*  
312 *ragazzi*, plus one new species *Epidrepanus kenyensis* (Roggero et al. 2017). Tunnelling dung beetles  
313 constituted the majority of species collected with 82% of the total versus 15% for dwellers and just 3% of  
314 rollers. The non-parametric estimate of total species richness was 43 species, indicating that most of the  
315 species pool was collected (around 80%). The most abundant species across all habitats were,  
316 *Neocolobopterus kivuanus* (1362, 17%), *Onthophagus proteus* (935, 11.6%), *Onthophagus sp 2*, (875,  
317 10.9%), *Onthophagus spurcatus* (792, 9.8%), and *Milichus picticollis* (587, 7.3%). These five species

318 accounted for 57% of all individuals collected, demonstrating that habitats were dominated by a few  
319 abundant species. An overview of the dung beetle assemblages found in each habitat type is found in  
320 Appendix S1.

321

### 322 **Variation of dung beetle assemblages in altitude between habitat types and altitude gradients**

323

324 Each habitat type was described in terms of its diversity, evenness, and estimated richness (Table 1).  
325 Significant positive correlations were found between mean ground cover and dung beetle abundance ( $\rho$   
326 = 0.40,  $df = 95$ ,  $<0.001$ ) and species richness ( $\rho = 0.42$ ,  $df = 95$ ,  $<0.001$ ). Significant negative correlations  
327 were found between mean canopy cover and dung beetle abundance ( $\rho = -0.24$ ,  $df = 95$ ,  $<0.01$ ) and  
328 species richness ( $\rho = -0.23$ ,  $df = 95$ ,  $0.05$ ). The overall abundance of individuals, species richness and  
329 diversity between habitats did not differ significantly when altitude was also accounted for (Table 2).

330

331 Altitude was negatively correlated with dung beetle abundance, species richness, and diversity (abundance:  
332  $R^2 = -0.392$ ,  $P = <0.001$ , richness:  $R^2 = -0.779$ ,  $P = <0.001$ , diversity:  $R^2 = -0.735$ ,  $P = <0.001$ ), and there  
333 were also significant differences in abundance, species richness and diversity between altitude zones  
334 (Figure 3). However, dung beetle abundance, species richness, and diversity did not significantly differ  
335 among habitat types (Table 2).

336

### 337 **Species composition and habitat specificity**

338 Of the 34-species found in this study, eight (23%) were deemed indicator species by the Pearson residuals  
339 in Chi-squared tests of association - *Diastellopalpus johnsonii*, *Milichus picticollis*, *Neocolobopterus*  
340 *kivanus*, *Oniticellus planatus*, *Onitis anthracinus*, *Onitis meyeri*, *Onthophagus miricornis*, and  
341 *Onthophagus proteus*. Most habitats had more than one indicator species. The strongest positive  
342 associations for Bushland thicket were *Milichus picticollis*, followed by *Diastellopalpus johnsonii* and  
343 *Onitis meyeri* (Figure 4) all of which have also been indicated as either generalist or open vegetation

344 specialists (Table 3). Bamboo habitat had four indicator species, with *Neocolobopterus kivunaus* belonging  
345 to the Aphodiine having the strongest positive association followed by *Oniticellus planatus*, *Onitis*  
346 *anthracinus*, and *Onthophagus miricornis*. *Onthophagus proteus* was positively associated with Ericaceous  
347 moorland along with *Neocolobopterus kivunaus*. Hagenia Forest had four indicator species but was most  
348 positively associated with *Onthophagus miricornis*. Two species were positively associated with Juniper  
349 forest; the large tunneller *Onitis anthracinus* and *Milichus picticollis*, while Podocarpus dominated forest  
350 had associated two species; *Oniticellus planatus* and *Onitis anthracinus*.

351  
352 CLAM analysis (Chazdon et al., 2011) further identified which species could be considered forest and open  
353 vegetation specialists. Fourteen species (41%) were classified as open vegetation specialists, ten species  
354 (32%) were classified as forest specialists and five species (15%) were found in both open and closed  
355 vegetation. Five species (*Epidrepanus kenyensis*, *Catharsisus gibbicollis*, *Catharsisus setostris*, *Heteronitis*  
356 *ragazzii*, and *Heliocopriss stroehli*) were categorised as too rare to classify as either a generalist or specialist.

### 357 358 **Diversity Patterns**

359 Overall beta diversity was high between all habitat types showing very little species overlap (Table 1). The  
360 dendrograms in Figure 5 illustrate the differences in community composition between habitats and altitude  
361 zones by measuring the degree of community overlap between habitat types. The axis height of the  
362 dendrogram signifies  $\beta$  values per habitat type or altitude band. The scale ranges between zero (no overlap)  
363 and one (perfect overlap). The Bray Curtis index grouped the species communities in *Juniperus* and  
364 *Podocarpus* lowland forest types together along with the mid altitude *Hagenia* dominated forest (Figure  
365 5(B)) demonstrating that there are more shared species between these three sites in comparison to bushland  
366 thicket and bamboo habitat types. The upland Ericaceous moorland is the most dissimilar in terms of species  
367 composition between habitat types. The pattern is replicated with the analysis of dissimilarity between  
368 altitude zones with two branches separating zones above and below 2500m asl (Figure 5 A-C).

369

370 Analysis of  $\beta$ -diversity patterns revealed a significant positive correlation between dung beetle pairwise  
371 community dissimilarity ( $\beta$ SOR) and the Euclidean distance between transects (Mantel  $r^2 = 0.65$ ,  $P < 0.05$ )  
372 demonstrating that variation in communities was strongly and significantly related to their spatial distance.  
373  $\beta$ -diversity partitioning between altitude zones showed that effects were mostly due to species turnover,  
374 which accounted for 91% of total variation compared to 4% for nestedness.  $\beta$ -diversity partitioning between  
375 forest types showed that effects were split between species turnover, which accounted for 64% of total  
376 variation and 87% for species nestedness indicating that species replacement (turnover) occurs at a much  
377 higher rate between altitude zones than between forest types.

378

## 379 ***Discussion***

380 This study addressed the effects of landscape heterogeneity and altitude on dung beetle communities by  
381 comparing community dissimilarity, species diversity and species abundance among sites that varied in  
382 forest type and altitude. This study found no significant relationship between species richness and forest  
383 type but an interaction between the two factors plus a significant negative relationship between species  
384 richness and altitude which demonstrates g that altitude in conjunction with forest type are the main factors  
385 in shaping dung beetle species composition in this Afromontane forest.

### 386 **Variation in community structure between habitat types on an altitudinal gradient**

387 Our results suggest the most important characteristic for determining community structure was mean  
388 canopy cover *per* forest type. A decrease in species richness was observed with increasing canopy cover  
389 but an increase in dung beetle abundance was detected in forest habitats with increased ground cover. The  
390 structure and complexity of forest canopies and understory vegetation can enact changes on local  
391 microclimatic conditions by causing changes in levels of radiant heat (Jay-Robert et al. 1997), light intensity  
392 and air and soil temperature. Many forest dung beetles are extremely sensitive to light intensity (Davis  
393 2002) and as such may be restricted to either shaded or unshaded habitats. These differences may also be  
394 related to the temperature requirements of developing larvae. Halffter and Matthews (1966) suggested that



395 the larvae of some species can only develop in shady forest habitats, whereas others will thrive in warm  
396 open pastures. Our results contrast those from previous studies conducted in the Neotropics (Pineda et al.  
397 2005; Halffter et al. 2007; França et al. 2017). We found a greater number of species considered open  
398 vegetation specialists in comparison to forest specialists (Table 3).

399  
400 Interestingly, comparisons may be drawn to the similarity in composition between temperate European  
401 dung beetle communities and those of the ANP. Both temperate and high-altitude dung beetle communities  
402 have a greater association with open vegetation and have demonstrated decreases in species richness as  
403 vegetation cover increases. The high proportion of tunnellers, the large number of individuals belonging to  
404 the genus *Neocolobopterus* in the Aphodiidae family, plus the paucity of roller species is more akin to a  
405 community found in a temperate ecosystem rather than an Afrotropical one. This undoubtedly is an  
406 altitudinal effect related to decreases in temperature and increases in rainfall found in high altitude montane  
407 areas (Byk and Piętka 2018). Similar findings in altitudinal replacement between the two main groups of  
408 dung beetles Aphodiini and Scarabaeinae (Lobo and Halffter 2000), have been reported from the  
409 mountainous areas of the Neotropics and the Afrotropics (Lobo and Davis 1999; Davis et al. 1999) and are  
410 similar to that which takes place along higher latitudes (Lobo, 2000). The cool-adapted species of  
411 Aphodiidae dominate the high altitude and species-poor temperate communities, while the warm-adapted  
412 Scarabaeinae species often dominate the low altitude and species-rich tropical communities (Escobar et al.  
413 2005). We detected an almost complete absence of roller (telocoprid) species. This absence may be  
414 explained by telecoprid reproductive strategy, small body size (Hanski & Cambefort 1991) and inability to  
415 maneuver dung resources within densely forested habitats. A weak, but significant, correlation between  
416 beetle abundance and ground cover suggests that dung beetle distribution may be affected by another factor  
417 namely soil type (Osberg et al. 1994; Davis 1997), with the degree of vegetative cover being of lesser  
418 importance. However, as soil identification in each habitat type was not undertaken for this study, this  
419 cannot be verified.

## 420 **Indicator species**

421 Two species captured in open vegetation were not found in any of the *Juniperus*, *Hagenia* or *Podocarpus*  
422 dominated forests, (*Sisyphus spl* and *Copris algol*), whilst one species, *Catharsius sesostris* was found to  
423 be unique to forested sites. These three beetle species were found in low abundance (<8 individuals) and  
424 were below the abundance threshold required by CLAM to conduct analyses. Of the 28 species used in  
425 CLAM, the majority of the dung beetles in the ANP are either restricted to closed canopy forest, or open  
426 vegetation that is located on the edges of forests and in glades, with few species inhabiting both (Table 3).  
427 Six of the eight species indicated as having strong associations with the habitat types studied are tunneling  
428 (paracoprid) dung beetles, with the remaining two, *Neocolopterus kivuanus* and *Oniticellus planatus*  
429 dweller (endocoprid). All eight species identified as indicators adhere to Caro's (2010) criteria for ecological  
430 disturbance indicator species in that their inventory, life history, and population forms a measurable  
431 temporal response to environmental change (Siddig et al. 2016). *Onthophagus proteus* and *O. miricornis*  
432 are considered regional endemics with a distribution likely centered around the Aberdare and Mount Kenya  
433 National Parks (D'Orbigny 1913). It is recommended that these species in particular be regarded as an  
434 ecological disturbance indicator and be used to monitor change in the health and distribution of habitats in  
435 the Aberdare National Park. However, very little is known about their dung preference (Stanbrook 2018)  
436 or the dispersal ability for these species and information on these traits would need to be collected to form  
437 part of a comprehensive future planning process.

## 438 **Biogeographical drivers of Afromontane dung beetle community composition**

439 Distinct differences in beetle abundance and species richness between open habitats and forested habitats  
440 have been found repeatedly throughout the Neotropics (Estrada and Coates-Estrada 2002; Vulinec 2002).  
441 Half of the dung beetle species which were found to be resident in forested habitats in the ANP belong to  
442 the genus *Onthophagus*. Onthophagine dung beetles are ubiquitous across all habitats in Afrotropical forests  
443 (Cambefort and Hanski 1991) and therefore their presence in large numbers in forested habitats in the ANP

444 was expected. Onthophaginae are generally smaller-bodied tunneller beetles that dig comparatively shallow  
445 nests and exist on a wide range of dung types (Davis et al. 2008). Over two thousand species of  
446 Onthophaginae are currently described worldwide and the genus was determined to have diversified during  
447 the Oligocene, a diversification that coincided with the expansion of grasslands and the dispersal of  
448 mammals (Davis et al. 2002). Onthophaginae were abundant in all habitats and across all elevation zones  
449 in the ANP up to 3800m asl. However, in South America this genus is mostly restricted to habitats below  
450 2000m asl, with few species found at higher altitude on mountains (Zunino and Halffter 2007). The pattern  
451 of dung beetle species distribution clearly showed that the attenuation of dung beetle species richness at  
452 higher altitude zones is accompanied by species turnover, as species composition at low and high-altitude  
453 zones was largely different from that of mid-altitude zones. Numerous dung beetle species ‘dropped out’  
454 with increasing elevation and were replaced by higher elevation specialists (e.g. *Onthophagus proteus* and  
455 species of the *Neocolobopterus* genera), resulting in little nestedness but high turnover. This type of high  
456 species compositional turnover along elevation gradients has been related to temperature and resource  
457 availability (Whittaker, 1956; MacArthur, 1972). High rates of turnover, as demonstrated here, have been  
458 documented for Central and South American (Alvarado et al. 2014) and African montane ecosystems  
459 (Gebert, Steffan-Dewenter, Moretto, & Peters, 2019). The rate at which species are replaced on an  
460 altitudinal gradient is related to a number of factors acting at landscape scales down to the availability of  
461 microhabitats along the gradient. The ANP forms part of the Aberdare range which when formed during  
462 the Miocene (23-11 mya) was an isolated uplift (Scoon 2018b) and was almost entirely covered by savannah  
463 grassland (Pound et al. 2012). Many geologically older mountain tops exhibit a filtered, less diverse set of  
464 species which are phylogenetically related to those inhabiting lower elevations (Lobo and Halffter, 2000)  
465 and this may account for the high degree of nestedness between forest types on older mountain tops where  
466 the species composition of species-poor sites is a subset of the species-rich sites.

467  
468 Mountain peaks experience widely contrasting ecological conditions in comparison to their surrounding  
469 lowlands. In their comprehensive global study of altitudinal variation in dung beetle assemblages on

470 different mountains, Lobo and Halffter (2000) proposed two separate biological processes to explain the  
471 conformation of montane biota, the patterns of species richness and variations in community composition.  
472 Firstly, vertical colonization defined as upland assemblages composed by species phylogenetically related  
473 with those inhabiting lowlands; and secondly, horizontal colonization defined as colonization of highland  
474 assemblages by lineages with a different evolutionary history and origin than those occupying lowlands.  
475 These processes as well as speciation are described as the drivers of mountain diversity (Lobo and Halffter,  
476 2000b; Escobar et al., 2006; Arriaga-Jiménez et al., 2018).

477 Biogeography is important in terms of ascertaining how dung beetle colonization occurred in the ANP.  
478 When the Aberdare mountain range was formed it was an isolated uplift, with its nearest neighbor being  
479 Mount Elgon, also newly formed, 350 km away (Scoon 2018a). Mount Kenya, its current closest highland  
480 block was not formed until the Pliocene Epoch, two million years later (Scoon 2018b). This makes it  
481 unlikely that horizontal colonization occurred due to the source population of Mount Elgon being at a  
482 greater distance than dung beetle dispersal capabilities allow, meaning that it is likely dung beetle species  
483 originally colonized the Aberdare range vertically, from the lowlands upwards. Lobo and Halffter (2000)  
484 outline two criteria that govern vertical colonization of dung beetles from lowlands: (1) vertically colonized  
485 mountain tops exhibit a filtered, less diverse set of species which are phylogenetically related to those  
486 inhabiting lower elevations; and (2) species richness decreases with increasing altitude as consequence of  
487 the environmental restrictions imposed on the fauna from warmer altitudes, especially in tropical regions  
488 (Janzen 1983). The most recent published dung beetle survey in the lowlands of the Aberdare range  
489 occurred at Mpala Ranch approximately 75 km away in 2002 (Gordon and Barbero 2008). A comparison  
490 of the species found at Mpala and those in the ANP reveal a limited overlap with seven species present in  
491 both locations, accounting for 23.5% of the species present in the ANP. These common species have an  
492 East African or Central West African distribution and are widespread in savannah habitat. With this in  
493 mind, and by meeting the criteria described above, it is likely that vertical colonization of the Aberdare  
494 range occurred as there is a significant overlap between lowland species and those found in the ANP. Also,

495 the ANP dung beetle fauna does exhibit a notable decrease in species richness with altitude dropping from  
496 29 species found at ~2000m to just 18 residents at elevations greater 3500m asl (Appendix S2).

497

#### 498 **Conservation implications**

499 Mountains are key environments for conservation of biodiversity during climatic change, providing refugia  
500 for species during postglacial cycles. Montane communities of dung beetles are important not only as  
501 historical and biographical reference points, and suppliers of values ecosystem services, but also as potential  
502 barometers of environmental change due to global warming. Global warming threatens montane dung  
503 beetle diversity by forcing species upslope, and reducing the space occupied by species specifically adapted  
504 to the cold or those that have limited thermal tolerance (Birkett et al. 2017). Any environmental change will  
505 cause local native populations to either adapt or migrate to avoid extirpation. Ectotherms are predicted to  
506 shift more rapidly in response to climate change than mammals (Paaajmans et al. 2013), due to having  
507 limited control of their body temperature compared to endothermic animals (Sheldon et al. 2011) and the  
508 unlinking of dung beetles and the food resources they depend upon may lead to declines and extinctions of  
509 Afromontane dung beetles in the future. Increased monitoring of dung beetle populations is urgently  
510 needed. Currently just 224 out of an estimated 7,500 species of sub-Saharan Scarabaeidae dung beetle have  
511 been assessed for inclusion in the Red List by the IUCN ([www.iucn.org](http://www.iucn.org)). We suggest those species whose  
512 distributions include montane ecosystems should take priority for future assessment for inclusion in future  
513 threatened species assessments. The increased conversion of available lowland habitats into commercial  
514 and agricultural small holdings and the upland shifting of these degraded habitats into montane lowland  
515 forest is expected. These impacts will effect montane species disproportionately as they become threatened  
516 with a loss of climate space and be pushed to the edge of their fundamental niche (Elsen and Tingley 2015).

517

518 Due to their biogeographical history and specialisms the dung beetles in Afromontane forest may be  
519 particularly negatively affected by temperature increases associated with global warming. Our results  
520 indicate that there is both intra- and interspecific variation in elevational placement and habitat preference

521 of species and individuals. The upward shift in plant species, resulting in changes to habitat structure and  
522 even habitat loss is a well-documented occurrence in climate change literature (e.g. Thomas et al. 2004;  
523 Dirnböck et al. 2011); and will likely affect the dung beetle species in the ANP by pushing stenotypic  
524 species upwards into unsuitable habitat. Kohler and Maselli (2012) refer to mountains as early warning  
525 systems as they may provide an indication of the changes in species composition that lowland ecosystems  
526 can expect in the future due to climate change. Changes in the distribution of biota between lowlands and  
527 mountains in response to climate change are already widely documented (Gottfried et al. 2012; Menendez  
528 et al. 2014) and the use of dung beetles as indicators of environmental changes due to climate change is  
529 now growing (Menéndez and Gutiérrez 2004; Birkett et al. 2017). Results from a previous short study  
530 conducted in 1974 (Davis and Dewhurst, 1993) indicate while there was significant overlap between dung  
531 beetle species caught 40 years ago and the present day there were also seven species which were absent;  
532 *Euoniticellus inequalis*, *Euoniticellus triangulates*, *Copris nepos*, *Onitis vanderkellini*, two species in the  
533 genus *Caccobius* and *Liatongus spathulatus*. All of these species have climatic distributions centered  
534 around the wet highlands of East Africa (Davis and Dewhurst, 1993) and further work is urgently required  
535 to understand why these species are no longer detectable in the ANP.

536  
537 The indicator species highlighted in this study should form a strong starting point for the instigation of a  
538 concerted management plan involving dung beetle distributional change in Afromontane ecosystems.  
539 Research exclusively focused on diversity patterns on tropical mountains at elevations higher than 2500m  
540 asl are rare (Mastretta-Yanes et al. 2015) and rarer still for African mountains. This study specifically  
541 concentrates on the dung beetle fauna found in Afromontane forests and the compositional changes which  
542 occur along an altitudinal gradient. Our results have important conservation implications as they underscore  
543 the importance of preserving forest heterogeneity to maintain dung beetle diversity within mountain  
544 ecosystems.

545

546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564

**References**

Aldrich M, Billington C, Edwards M, Laidlaw R (1997) Tropical montane cloud forests: an urgent priority for conservation. *World Conserv Monit Cent* 2:17.

Alvarado F, Escobar F, Montero-Muñoz J (2014) Diversity and biogeographical makeup of the dung beetle communities inhabiting two mountains in the Mexican Transition Zone. *Org Divers Evol* 14:105–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13127-013-0148-0>

Arriaga-Jiménez A, Rös M, Halffter G (2018) High variability of dung beetle diversity patterns at four mountains of the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt. *PeerJ* 6:e4468. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4468>

Banks JE, Jackson C, Hannon LM, et al (2010) The cascading effects of elephant presence/absence on arthropods and an Afrotropical thrush in Arabuko-Sokoke Forest, Kenya. *Afr J Ecol* 48:1030–1038. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.2010.01211.x>

Baselga A (2013) Separating the two components of abundance-based dissimilarity: Balanced changes in

565 abundance vs. abundance gradients. *Methods Ecol Evol* 4:. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12029>

566 Bicknell JE, Phelps SP, Davies RG, et al (2014) Dung beetles as indicators for rapid impact assessments:  
567 Evaluating best practice forestry in the neotropics. *Ecol Indic* 43:154–161.  
568 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.02.030>

569 Birkett AJ, Blackburn GA, Menendez R (2017) Linking species thermal tolerance to elevational range shifts  
570 in upland dung beetles. *Ecography (Cop)* 12:314–329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.03458>

571 Buddle CM, Beguin J, Bolduc E, et al (2012) The importance and use of taxon sampling curves for  
572 comparative biodiversity research with forest arthropod assemblages. *Can Entomol* 137:120–127.  
573 <https://doi.org/10.4039/n04-040>

574 Bussmann RW (2006) Vegetation zonation and nomenclature of African mountains—an overview. *Lyonia*  
575 11:41–66

576 Byk A, Piętka J (2018) Dung beetles and their role in the nature. *Eduk Biol i Środowiskowa* 1:17–26.  
577 <https://doi.org/10.24131/3247.180103>

578 Cambefort Y, Hanski I (1991) *Dung Beetle Ecology*. Princeton University Press.

579 Cao Y, Epifanio J (2010) Quantifying the responses of macroinvertebrate assemblages to simulated stress:  
580 are more accurate similarity indices less useful? *Methods Ecol Evol* 1:380–388.  
581 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2041-210X.2010.00040.x>

582 Caro T (2010) *Conservation by Proxy*. Island Press.

583 Carpio C, Donoso D a., Ramón G, Dangles O (2009) Short term response of dung beetle communities to  
584 disturbance by road construction in the Ecuadorian Amazon. *Ann la Société Entomol Fr* 45:455–469.  
585 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00379271.2009.10697629>



586 CEPF (2012) Eastern Afromontane Biodiversity Hotspot. Critical Ecosystem partnership Fund. BirdLife  
587 International.

588 Chao A (1984) On the Estimation of the Number of Classes in a Population. *Board Found Scand J Stat*  
589 11:265–270. <https://doi.org/10.1214/aoms/1177729949>

590 Chazdon RL, Chao A, Colwell RK, et al (2011) A novel statistical method for classifying habitat generalists  
591 and specialists generalists and specialists. *Ecology* 92:1332–1343. <https://doi.org/10.2307/23035004>

592 Coe MJ (1969) Microclimate and animal life in the equatorial mountains. *Zool Africana* 4:101–128.  
593 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00445096.1969.11447368>

594 D’Orbigny H (1913) Synopsis des Onthophagides D’Afrique. *Ann la Société Entomol Fr* 82:1–743

595 Davis A (1997) Climatic and biogeographical associations of southern African dung beetles (Coleoptera:  
596 Scarabaeidae s. str.). *Afr J Ecol* 35:10–38. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.1997.051-89051.x>

597 Davis AJ, Sutton SL (1998) The effects of rainforest canopy loss on arboreal; dung beetles in Borneo:  
598 implications for the measurement of biodiversity in derived tropical ecosystems. *Divers Distrib*  
599 4:167–173

600 Davis AL., Frolov A V, Scholtz C (2008) *The African Dung Beetle Genera, First Edit.* Protea Brook House,  
601 Pretoria

602 Davis ALV, Dewhurst CF (1993a) Climatic and biogeographical associations of Kenyan and northern  
603 Tanzanian dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Afr J Ecol* 31:290–305.  
604 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.1993.tb00543.x>

605 Davis AL V. (2002) Dung beetle diversity in South Africa: Influential factors, conservation status, data  
606 inadequacies and survey design. *African Entomol* 10:53–65

- 607 Davis AL V., Scholtz CH (2001) Historical vs. ecological factors influencing global patterns of scarabaeine  
608 dung beetle diversity. *Diversity & Distributions*. 7:161–174. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2001.00102.x)  
609 [4642.2001.00102.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2001.00102.x)
- 610 Davis AL V., Scholtz CH, Chown SL (1999) Species turnover, community boundaries and biogeographical  
611 composition of dung beetle assemblages across an altitudinal gradient in South Africa. *J Biogeogr*  
612 26:1039–1055. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.1999.00335.x>
- 613 Davis AL V., Scholtz CH, Philips TK (2002) Historical biogeography of scarabaeine dung beetles. *J*  
614 *Biogeogr* 29:1217–1256. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2002.00776.x>
- 615 Davis AL V, Dewhurst CF (1993b) Climatic and Biogeographical associations of Kenyan and Tanzanian  
616 dung beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Afr J Ecol* 31:290–305
- 617 Dirnböck T, Essl F, Rabitsch W (2011) Disproportional risk for habitat loss of high-altitude endemic species  
618 under climate change. *Glob Chang Biol* 17:990–996. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02266.x)  
619 [2486.2010.02266.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02266.x)
- 620 Eisenring M, Beck J, Agwanda B, et al (2016) Effects of habitat age and disturbance intensity on the  
621 biodiversity of three trophic levels in Central Kenya. *Afr J Ecol* 54:225–234.  
622 <https://doi.org/10.1111/aje.12292>
- 623 Elsen PR, Tingley MW (2015) Global mountain topography and the fate of montane species under climate  
624 change. *Nat Clim Chang* 5:772
- 625 Escobar F, Lobo JM, Halfpter G (2005) Altitudinal variation of dung beetle (Scarabaeidae: Scarabaeinae)  
626 assemblages in the Colombian Andes. *Glob Ecol Biogeogr* 14:327–337.  
627 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-822X.2005.00161.x>
- 628 Escobar F, Lobo JM, Halfpter G (2006) Assessing the origin of Neotropical mountain dung beetle

629 assemblages (Scarabaeidae: Scarabaeinae): the comparative influence of vertical and horizontal  
630 colonization. *J Biogeogr* 33:1793–1803. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2006.01528.x>

631 Estrada A, Coates-estrada R (2002) Dung beetles in continuous forest , forest fragments and in an  
632 agricultural mosaic habitat island at Los Tuxtlas , Mexico

633 Fjeldsa J, Lovett JC (1997) Geographical patterns of old and young species in African forest biota: the  
634 significance of specific montane areas as evolutionary centres. *Biodivers Conserv* 6:325–346.  
635 <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1018356506390>

636 França FM, Frazão FS, Korasaki V, et al (2017) Identifying thresholds of logging intensity on dung beetle  
637 communities to improve the sustainable management of Amazonian tropical forests. *Biol Conserv*  
638 216:115–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.10.014>

639 Gebert F, Steffan-Dewenter I, Moretto P, Peters MK (2019) Climate rather than dung resources predict  
640 dung beetle abundance and diversity along elevational and land use gradients on Mt. Kilimanjaro. *J*  
641 *Biogeogr* 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.13710>

642 Gordon RD, Barbero E (2008) Dung Beetles ( Coleoptera : Scarabaeidae : Scarabaeinae ) Of The Mpala  
643 Research Centre And Environs , Laikipia District , Kenya. *J East African Nat Hist* 97:135–164

644 Goslee S., Urban D. (2007) The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of ecological data. *J Stat*  
645 *Softw* 22:1–19

646 Gottfried M, Pauli H, Futschik A, et al (2012) Continent-wide response of mountain vegetation to climate  
647 change. *Nat Clim Chang* 2:111

648 Halffter G, Matthews E. (1966) The natural history of dung beetles of the subfamily Scarabaeinae. *Folia*  
649 *Entomol Mex* 12:1–312

650 Halffter G, Pineda E, Arellano L, Escobar F (2007) Instability of Copronecrophagous Beetle Assemblages  
651 ( Coleoptera : Scarabaeinae ) in a Mountainous Tropical Landscape of Mexico. Environmental  
652 Entomology 36: 1397-1407. 10.1603/0046-225X(2007)

653 Hanski I, Cambefort Y (1991) Dung Beetle Ecology. Princeton University Press

654 Hanski I, Koskela H (1979) Resource partitioning in six guilds of dung-inhabiting beetles (Coleoptera).  
655 Annales Entomologici Fennici. 45:1-12.

656 Hedburg O (1969) Evolution and speciation in a tropical high mountain flora. Biol J Linn Soc 1:135–148.  
657 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1969.tb01816.x>

658 Jankowski JE, Ciecka AL, Meyer NY, Rabenold KN (2009) Beta diversity along environmental gradients:  
659 implications of habitat specialization in tropical montane landscapes. J Anim Ecol 78:315–327.  
660 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01487.x>

661 Janzen DH (1983) Seasonal Change in Abundance of Large Nocturnal Dung Beetles (Scarabaeidae) in a  
662 Costa Rican Deciduous Forest and Adjacent Horse Pasture. Oikos 41:274.  
663 <https://doi.org/10.2307/3544274>

664 Jay-Robert P, Lobo JM, Lumaret J (1997) Altitudinal Turnover and Species Richness Variation in European  
665 Montane Dung Beetle Assemblages. Arctic and Alpine Research 29:196–205

666 Kindt R, Breugel P van, Lillesø JPB, et al (2014) Potential natural vegetation of eastern Africa (Ethiopia,  
667 Kenya, Malawi, Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda and Zambia): Volume 3: Description and tree species  
668 composition for woodland and wooded grassland potential natural vegetation types. For Landsc Work  
669 Pap 3:194pp

670 Kohler T, Maselli D (2012) Mountains and Climate Change - From Understanding to  
671 Action [www.mountainpartnership.org](http://www.mountainpartnership.org)

672 Krell-Westerwalbesloh S, Krell FT, Linsenmair KE (2004) Diel separation of Afrotropical dung beetle  
673 guilds - Avoiding competition and neglecting resources (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea). *J Nat Hist*  
674 38:2225–2249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00222930310001618921>

675 Larsen TH, Forsyth A (2005) Trap spacing and transect design for dung beetle biodiversity studies.  
676 *Biotropica* 37:322–325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2005.00042.x>

677 Laurance WF, Carolina Useche D, Shoo LP, et al (2011) Global warming, elevational ranges and the  
678 vulnerability of tropical biota. *Biol Conserv* 144:548–557.  
679 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.10.010>

680 Lobo JM, Davis AL V (1999) An intercontinental comparison of dung beetle diversity between two  
681 mediterranean-climatic regions: local versus regional and historical influence. *Divers Distrib* 5:91–  
682 103

683 Lobo JM, Halffter G (2000) Biogeographical and Ecological Factors Affecting the Altitudinal Variation of  
684 Mountainous Communities of Coprophagous Beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea): a Comparative  
685 Study. *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 93:115–126. <https://doi.org/10.1603/0013->

686 Martin EH, Cavada N, Ndibalema VG, Rovero F (2015) Modelling fine-scale habitat associations of  
687 medium-to-large forest mammals in the Udzungwa Mountains of Tanzania using camera trapping.  
688 *Trop Zool* 6975:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03946975.2015.1078568>

689 Mastretta-Yanes A, Moreno-Letelier A, Piñero D, et al (2015) Biodiversity in the Mexican highlands and  
690 the interaction of geology, geography and climate within the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt. *J*  
691 *Biogeogr* 42:1586–1600. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12546>

692 Mayor JR, Sanders NJ, Classen AT, et al (2017) Elevation alters ecosystem properties across temperate  
693 treelines globally. *Nature* 542:91–95

- 694 Menéndez R, Gonzalez-Megas A, Jay-Robert P, Marquez-Ferrando R (2014) Climate change and  
695 elevational range shifts: Evidence from dung beetles in two European mountain ranges. *Glob Ecol*  
696 *Biogeogr* 23:646–657. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12142>
- 697 Menéndez R, Gutiérrez D (2004) Shifts in habitat associations of dung beetles in northern Spain: Climate  
698 change implications. *Ecoscience* 11:329–337. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11956860.2004.11682840>
- 699 Merckx VSFT, Hendriks KP, Beentjes KK, et al (2015) Evolution of endemism on a young tropical  
700 mountain. *Nature*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14949>
- 701 Mittermeier RA, Turner WR, Larsen FW, et al (2011) Biodiversity Hotspots. In: Zachos FE, Habel JC (eds)  
702 *Global Biodiversity Conservation: The Critical Role of Hotspots*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin,  
703 Heidelberg, pp 3–22
- 704 Nichols E, Larsen T, Spector S, et al (2007) Global dung beetle response to tropical forest modification and  
705 fragmentation: A quantitative literature review and meta-analysis. *Biol Conserv* 137:1–19.  
706 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2007.01.023>
- 707 Nichols E, Spector S, Louzada J, et al (2008) Ecological functions and ecosystem services provided by  
708 Scarabaeinae dung beetles. *Biol Conserv* 141:1461–1474.  
709 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2008.04.011>
- 710 Nichols E, Uriarte M, Bunker DE, et al (2013) Trait-dependent response of dung beetle populations to  
711 tropical forest conversion at local and regional scales. *Ecology* 94:180–189
- 712 Nyundo BA, Mtui A, Kissaka H (2006) An assessment of ecological and social-economic impacts caused  
713 by collection of deadwood, medicinal plants and cutting of grass for thatching in Udzungwa  
714 Mountains National Park. UMNP/WWF-TPO.
- 715 Oksanen J (2015) *Vegan: an introduction to ordination*. 1:1–10. <https://doi.org/intro-vegan.Rnw> 1260 2010-

716 08-17 12:11:04Z 1.17-6.

717 Osberg DC, Doube BM, Hanrahan SA (1994a) Habitat specificity in African dung beetles: the effect of soil  
718 type on the survival of dung beetle immatures (Coleoptera Scarabaeidae). *Trop Zool* 7:1–10.  
719 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03946975.1994.10539236>

720 Ostrom E (2008) *International Forestry Resources and Institutions (IFRI)*.

721 Paaijmans KP, Heinig RL, Seliga RA, et al (2013) Temperature variation makes ectotherms more sensitive  
722 to climate change. *Glob Chang Biol* 19:2373–2380. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12240>

723 Paron P, Olago DO, Omuto CT (2013) *Kenya: A Natural Outlook: Developments in Earth Surface*  
724 *Processes*, 1st Edition. Elsevier B.V., Oxford

725 Pineda E, Moreno C, Escobar F, Halfpter G (2005) Frog , Bat , and Dung Beetle Diversity in the Cloud  
726 Forest and Coffee Agroecosystems of Veracruz . *Conservation Biology* 19:400–410

727 Pound MJ, Haywood AM, Salzmann U, Riding JB (2012) Global vegetation dynamics and latitudinal  
728 temperature gradients during the Mid to Late Miocene (15.97-5.33Ma). *Earth-Science Rev* 112:1–22.  
729 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.02.005>

730 Pryke JS, Roets F, Samways MJ (2013) Importance of habitat heterogeneity in remnant patches for  
731 conserving dung beetles. *Biodivers Conserv* 22:2857–2873. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-013-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-013-0559-4)  
732 [0559-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-013-0559-4)

733 Raine EH, Slade EM (2019) Dung beetle-mammal associations: Methods, research trends and future  
734 directions. *Proc R Soc B Biol Sci* 286:. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2002>

735 Roggero A, Stanbrook R, Josso J (2017) Phylogenetic relationships of *Epidrepanus* within the subtribe  
736 *Drepanocerina* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Scarabaeinae: Oniticellini), with the description of two

737 new species. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4320.1.1>

738 Rovero F, Owen N, Jones T, et al (2016) Camera trapping surveys of forest mammal communities in the  
739 Eastern Arc Mountains reveal generalized habitat and human disturbance responses. *Biodivers*  
740 *Conserv* 50–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-016-1288-2>

741 Samways MJ (2015) Future-proofing insect diversity. *Curr Opin Insect Sci* 12:71–78.  
742 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cois.2015.09.008>

743 Scheffler PY (2005) Dung beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) diversity and community structure across  
744 three disturbance regimes in eastern Amazonia. *J Trop Ecol* 21:9–19.  
745 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266467404001683>

746 Schmitt K (1992) Anthropo-zoogenic impact on the structure and regeneration of a submontane forest in  
747 Kenya. *Tropical Forests* 105–126. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-7256-0\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-7256-0_7)

748 Scoon R (2018a) The Gregory Rift. In: *Geology of National Parks of Central/Southern Kenya and Northern*  
749 *Tanzania*,. Springer International Publishing, pp 39–57

750 Scoon R (2018b) Aberdare and Mount Kenya National Parks. In: *Geology of National Parks of*  
751 *Central/Southern Kenya and Northern Tanzania*,. Springer International Publishing, pp 91–101

752 Siddig AAH, Ellison AM, Ochs A, et al (2016) How do ecologists select and use indicator species to  
753 monitor ecological change ? Insights from 14 years of publication in *Ecological Indicators*. *Ecol Indic*  
754 60:223–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.06.036>

755 Spector S, Ayzama S (2003) Rapid Turnover and Edge Effects in Dung Beetle Assemblages (Scarabaeidae)  
756 at a Bolivian Neotropical Forest-Savanna Ecotone1. *Biotropica* 35:394–404.  
757 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2003.tb00593.x>



- 758 Stanbrook R (2018) The Scarabaeidae Dung Beetles of the Aberdare National Park, Republic Of Kenya:  
759 Ecosystem Services And Factors Affecting Diversity And Abundance. PhD thesis. Manchester  
760 Metropolitan University
- 761 Stanley WT, Kihale PM (2016) Elevational distribution and ecology of small mammals on Tanzania's  
762 second highest mountain. PLoS One 11:1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162009>
- 763 Taylor SJ (2015) African biodiversity hotspots and other important conservation designations for unique  
764 areas which may include mountains African biodiversity hotspots and other important conservation  
765 designations for unique areas which may include mountains. Afromont. University of Pretoria
- 766 Thomas CD, Cameron A, Green RE, et al (2004) Extinction risk from climate change. Nature. 427:145–  
767 148
- 768 Veech JA (2014) The pairwise approach to analysing species co-occurrence. J Biogeogr 41:.  
769 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12318>
- 770 Vulinec K (2002) Dung Beetle Communities and Seed Dispersal in Primary Forest and Disturbed Land in  
771 Amazonia. Biotropica 34:297–309
- 772 White F (1981) The history of the Afromontane archipelago and the scientific need for its conservation.  
773 Afr J Ecol 19:33–54. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.1981.tb00651.x>
- 774 Wolda H (1987) Altitude, habitat and tropical insect diversity. Biol J Linn Soc 30:313–323.  
775 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1987.tb00305.x>
- 776 Zar J. (2010) Biostatistical Analysis, 5th Edition
- 777 Zunino M, Halffter G (2007) The association of Onthophagus Latreille, 1802 beetles (Coleoptera:  
778 Scarabaeinae) with vertebrate burrows and caves. Elytron 21:17–55

779 **Tables**

780 **Table 1** Alpha, Beta and Gamma diversity, Simpsons ( $H'$ ); Simpsons ( $\lambda$ ) and Evenness ( $J'$ ) and Species  
 781 Richness estimators for each habitat type in the Aberdare National Park, Kenya

782

Habitat type	Diversity			Indices			Richness estimator
	$\gamma$	$\beta$	$\alpha$	$H'$	$\lambda$	$J'$	Chao1†
Bushland Thicket	32	1.63	21.43	2.94	0.922	0.828	36.87
Juniper dominated forest	31	1.95	17.37	2.86	0.923	0.811	34.15
Podocarpus dominated forest	32	2.05	15.56	2.85	0.925	0.823	32.11
Bamboo	26	2.53	10.25	2.36	0.837	0.727	31.62
Hagenia dominated forest	26	2.63	9.87	2.20	0.833	0.677	26.93
Ericaceous grassland	19	3.14	5.56	2.00	0.808	0.68	22.75

†(Chao,1984)

783

784

785

786

787

788

789 **Table 2** Results of a generalized linear model assessing dung beetle community Richness(A); Abundance  
790 (B); and Shannon Index (C), for six habitats in the Aberdare National Park and five Altitude Zones (Z1-  
791 Z5).

792

(A)Richness

Source	Sum of Squares	DF	Mean Squares	F	P
Habitat	2609.39	3	652.35	35.56	0.083
Altitude Zones	126.02	4	42.01	2.290	<0.001
Habitat*Altitude Zones	3314.06	88	22.156	16.14	<0.001

793

(B) Abundance

Source	Sum of Squares	DF	Mean Squares	F	P
Habitat	19793	3	6598	0.180	0.909
Altitude Zones	619846	4	154962	4.229	<0.05
Habitat*Altitude Zones	119546	88	95214	2.291	<0.01

794

(C) Shannon (H')

Source	Sum of Squares	DF	Mean Squares	F	P
Habitat	0.9123	3	0.3041	2.1892	0.09
Altitude Zones	15.2140	4	3.8035	27.381	<0.001
Habitat*Altitude Zones	9.3184	88	1.9414	16.58	<0.001

795

796

797

798 **Table 3** The results of CLAM analysis which assigned specialist or generalist status on the proportion of  
 799 individuals found in each vegetation type.

800

Generalist species	Open Vegetation species	Forest Specialist Species
<i>Copris atropolis</i>	<i>Catharsius setostris</i>	<i>Caccobius sp</i>
<i>Helicopris neptunus</i>	<i>Copris morphaeus</i>	<i>Copris algol</i>
<i>Ixodina abyssinica</i>	<i>Copris sp2</i>	<i>Euoniticellus intermedius</i>
<i>Onitis meyeri</i>	<i>Copris typhoeus</i>	<i>Neocolobterus kivuanus</i>
<i>Onitis parvulus</i>	<i>Diastellopalpus johnstonii</i>	<i>Onitis planatus</i>
	<i>Helicopris hunteri</i>	<i>Onthophagus nigriventris</i>
	<i>Liatongus arrowi</i>	<i>Onthophagus dochertyi</i>
	<i>Milichus picticollis</i>	<i>Onthophagus miricornis</i>
	<i>Neocolobopterus</i>	<i>Onthophagus sp2</i>
	<i>maculicollis</i>	
	<i>Onthophagus filicornis</i>	<i>Onthophagus spurcatus</i>
	<i>Onthophagus proteus</i>	
	<i>Onthophagus spp1</i>	
	<i>Proagoderus sexcornutus</i>	
	<i>Sisysphus sp1</i>	

801

802

803

804

805

806 **Figure legends**

807 **Fig 1** The location of the National Park in Kenya (a,b) and (c) the locations of vegetation transects and  
808 vegetation types within the Aberdare National Park. The altitude of each transect is indicated by red labels.

809 **Fig 2** The study area showing boundary of the Aberdare National Park, Kenya and the distribution of the  
810 altitudinal zones found within the Aberdare NP. SRTM data was separated into 500m equidistant intervals  
811 to divide the Aberdare National Park into five elevation zones. Red dots represent transect locations. Yellow  
812 zone =Z1, Light Green zone = Z2, Dark Green zone =Z3, Blue zone = Z4, Purple zone =Z5.

813 **Fig 3** Altitude as a predictor of dung beetle (a) abundance, (b) richness, and (c)  
814 diversity. The regression line is indicated in red, with the standard error of the mean plotted in grey.

815

816 **Fig 4** Indicator species for each habitat using Pearson residuals derived from Chi Squared test of  
817 association. Positive residuals relate to positive associations, negative residuals relate to negative  
818 associations. Diasjohn: *Diastellopalpus johnstonii*; Milipict: *Milichus picticollis*; Neokivu:  
819 *Neocolobopterus kivuanus*; Onitplan: *Oniticellus planatus*; Onitanth: *Onitis anthracinus*; Onitmeyeri:  
820 *Onitis meyeri*; Onthmiri: *Onthophagus miricornis*; Onthprot: *Onthophagus proteus*.

821

822 **Fig 5** Dendrograms showing the degree of dissimilarity in dung beetle beta diversity among (A) turnover  
823 (species replacement) in altitude zones B1 (1888-2000m asl), B2 (2001-2500m asl), B3 (2501-3000m  
824 asl), B4 (3001-3500m asl), and B5 (3501-4000m asl) and (B) habitats based on a cluster analyses using  
825 the Sørensen index. Nestedness (species subsets) between altitude bands is displayed in (C) and between  
826 habitat types in (D). The axis height of the dendrogram is  $\beta$  values per habitat type or altitude band.

827

828

829

830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848

## Appendices

**Appendix S1:** The number of Dung beetle species recorded *per* forest type in Aberdare NP, Kenya.

Habitat	Bushland	Juniperus	Podocarpus	Hagenia	Bamboo	Ericaceous
Species	thicket	dominated	dominated	dominated		grassland
		Forest	Forest	Forest		
<i>Caccobius Spp1</i>	30	173	67	15	1	0
<i>Catharsius gibbicollis</i>	1	2	0	1	0	0
<i>Catharsius setosis gp</i>	0	2	3	0	0	0
<i>Copris algol</i>	2	0	1	0	1	0
<i>Copris atropolitus</i>	21	30	25	22	0	0
<i>Copris morphaeus</i>	92	44	31	26	1	0
<i>Copris Spp2</i>	2	5	4	0	0	0
<i>Copris typhoeus</i>	22	19	21	13	0	0

*Diastellopalpus*

*johnstoni* 7 4 2 0 0 0

*Epidrepanus keniensis* 1 4 0 0 0 0

*Euoniticellus*

*intermedius* 54 116 45 77 11 0

*Heliocopris hunteri* 6 2 0 0 0 0

*Heliocopris neptunus* 3 1 0 0 0 0

*Heliocopris stroehli* 23 2 0 0 0 0

*Hetronitis ragazzi* 1 5 2 0 0 0

*Ixodina abysinnicus* 125 6 0 2 0 0

*Liatongus arrowi* 34 74 35 35 11 0

*Milichus picticollis* 52 444 89 0 0 2

*Neocolobopterus*

*kivuanus* 232 287 259 379 173 32

*Neocolobopterus*

*macaucollis* 20 103 46 11 5 0



<i>Oniticellus planatus</i>	63	105	39	3	8	0
<i>Onitis anthracinus</i>	15	30	37	0	1	0
<i>Onitis meyeri</i>	95	75	38	49	8	0
<i>Onitis parvulus</i>	41	63	46	9	2	0
<i>Onthophagus</i>						
<i>nigriventis</i>	11	30	13	22	3	3
<i>Onthophagus proteus</i>	179	308	204	21	112	111
<i>Onthophagus</i>						
<i>dochertyi</i>	40	143	61	3	4	26
<i>Onthophagus filicornis</i>	67	46	0	51	51	29
<i>Onthophagus</i>						
<i>fimetarius</i>	4	22	8	0	9	1
<i>Onthophagus</i>						
<i>miricornis</i>	45	102	8	61	101	99
<i>Onthophagus spp1</i>	28	17	17	0	6	1
<i>Onthophagus spp2</i>	129	446	235	55	1	9

*Onthophagus*

<i>spurcatus</i>	180	297	129	165	21	0
------------------	-----	-----	-----	-----	----	---

*Proagoderus*

<i>sexcornutus</i>	40	3	9	3	0	0
--------------------	----	---	---	---	---	---

<i>Sisyphus sp1</i>	5	0	0	0	0	0
---------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---

---

**Appendix S2:** Dung beetle abundance, species richness and diversity recorded *per* Altitude zone in Aberdare NP, Kenya

	<b>Zone 1</b>	<b>Zone 2</b>	<b>Zone 3</b>	<b>Zone 4</b>	<b>Zone 5</b>
<b>Altitude Band</b>	<b>1888-2000m</b>	<b>2001-2500m</b>	<b>2501-3000m</b>	<b>3001-3500m</b>	<b>3500-4000m</b>
<b>Species</b>					
<i>Caccobius n.sp</i>	73	543	15	32	0
<i>Catharsius gibbicollis</i>	11	9	0	1	0
<i>Catharsius setosis gp</i>	5	7	0	0	0
<i>Copris algol</i>	6	152	6	8	13
<i>Copris atropolitus</i>	14	101	10	25	9
<i>Copris morphaeus</i>	19	189	10	33	1
<i>Copris Spp2</i>	18	99	13	50	1
<i>Copris typhoeus</i>	14	99	15	16	9
<i>Diastellopalpus johnstoni</i>	13	187	0	6	0
<i>Epidrepanus keniensis n.sp</i>	1	4	0	0	0
<i>Euoniticellus intermedius</i>	65	718	97	165	22
<i>Heliocopris hunteri</i>	31	24	0	0	0

<i>Heliocopris</i> <i>neptunus</i>	4	25	0	0	0
<i>Heliocopris</i> <i>stroehli</i>	9	31	0	0	0
<i>Hetronitis</i> <i>ragazzi</i>	0	5	0	0	0
<i>Ixodina</i> <i>abysinnicus</i>	16	66	2	3	0
<i>Liatongus</i> <i>arrowi</i>	38	185	13	10	0
<i>Milichus</i> <i>picticollis</i>	465	794	0	3	0
<i>Neocolobopterus</i> <i>kivuanus</i>	209	1450	51	1140	227
<i>Neocolobopterus</i> <i>macaucollis</i>	70	208	15	39	30
<i>Oniticellus</i> <i>planatus</i>	43	428	146	150	3
<i>Onitis</i> <i>anthracinus</i>	13	179	50	29	1
<i>Onitis</i> <i>meyeri</i>	28	77	28	8	0
<i>Onitis</i> <i>parvvulus</i>	33	213	6	20	4
<i>Onthophagus</i> <i>nigriventis</i>	9	92	8	19	5
<i>Onthophagus</i> <i>proteus</i>	72	422	77	40	2
<i>Onthophagus</i> <i>dochertyi</i>	22	235	50	154	86

<i>Onthophagus</i>					
<i>  filicornis</i>	17	93	8	62	72
<i>Onthophagus</i>					
<i>  fimetarius</i>	173	1096	193	703	43
<i>Onthophagus</i>					
<i>  miricornis</i>	54	835	34	273	188
<i>Onthophagus sp1</i>	50	144	13	19	13
<i>Onthophagus sp2</i>	201	802	38	49	0
<i>Onthophagus</i>					
<i>  spurcatus</i>	58	598	31	90	0
<i>Proagoderus</i>					
<i>  sexcornutus</i>	23	43	0	3	0
<i>Sisyphus sp1</i>	4	1	0	0	0
<hr/>					
Abundance	1881	10154	929	3150	727
Species Richness	33	34	24	26	18
Diversity (H')	2.69	2.64	2.71	2.19	1.54
<hr/>					