# Electrocoagulation of food waste digestate and the suitability of recovered solids for application to agricultural land.

by Reilly, M., Cooley, A.P., Richardson, B., Tito, D. and Theodorou, M.K.

**Copyright, publisher and additional Information:** This is the author accepted manuscript. The final published version (version of record) is available online via Elsevier. This version is made available under the <u>CC-BY-ND-NC licence</u>

Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher

DOI link to the version of record on the publisher's website



Reilly, M., Cooley, A.P., Richardson, B., Tito, D. and Theodorou, M.K. 2021. Electrocoagulation of food waste digestate and the suitability of recovered solids for application to agricultural land. *Journal of Water Process Engineering*, 42 (102121).

1	Title: Electrocoagulation of food waste digestate and the suitability of recovered solids for
2	application to agricultural land
3	Authors: Matthew Reilly <sup>*,a, b,</sup> , Andrew P Cooley <sup>c</sup> , Brittany Richardson <sup>a</sup> , Duarte Tito <sup>c</sup> , Michael
4	K Theodorou <sup>a</sup>
5	
6	<sup>a</sup> Agricultural Centre for Sustainable Energy Systems (ACSES), Animal Production, Welfare and
7	Veterinary Sciences, Harper Adams University, Newport, Shropshire, TF10 8NB, United
8	Kingdom (Corresponding authors* affiliation address)
9	
10	<sup>b</sup> Department of Biology, University of York, Wentworth Way, York YO10 5DD, UK
11	(Corresponding authors* present address)
12	
13	<sup>c</sup> Elentec Ltd., 18 Castle street, Beaumaris, LL58 8AP, Anglesey
14	
15	Abstract
16	Digestates from anaerobic digestion (AD) of food waste contain fertiliser nutrients (such as P
17	and N) which are valuable for agricultural purposes and can be environmentally hazardous if
18	disposal is uncontrolled. Here, we applied electrocoagulation (EC) for treatment of digestates,
19	to separate liquids and nutrient-rich solids. Coagulant-dosing electrocoagulation (CDEC) was

20 used to compare AI and steel anodes for treatment of digestate from AD fermenters fed a

21 controlled diet representative of food waste. When applying metal dosing concentrations of

22 0 - 4.66 mM, Fe was found to be up to 29.8% superior to Al in terms of aiding removal of

chemical oxygen demand (COD). To mitigate plate fouling, the digestate was diluted to 0.25x

0.1x concentrations to enable successful treatment by continuous-flow 24 and electrocoagulation (CFEC). The highest recovery of soluble P per Fe added by CFEC was 22.4 25 mg-P g-Fe<sup>-1</sup>. This was achieved when using 2.33 mM Fe to treat a 0.25x dilution of digestate. 26 In comparison to a control, these optimal conditions also caused a reduction of 4.5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (or 27 87.7%) of soluble P and the removal of 254 mg  $L^{-1}$  (33.2%) of COD in the filtrate. The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> 28 concentration in filtrate was not influenced by EC treatment. Analyses of a range of known 29 toxic elements (Cu, Ni, Zn, As, Cd, Cr, Mo and Pb) indicates that the solids recovered by CFEC 30 31 could be suitable for application to land.

32 Keywords: Anaerobic digestion, Electrocoagulation, Nutrient recovery, Phosphorous,
 33 Nitrogen.

#### 34 1. Introduction

35 The use of food waste as feedstock for the production of biomethane by industrial anaerobic digestion (AD) has grown significantly over the last decade (Moult et al., 2018). In addition to 36 gaseous fuel production, a further product of AD are slurries (or digestates) which contain 37 38 residual bio-solid material. Digestates derived from the AD of food waste often contain nutrients such as nitrogen (N) and phosphorous (P) which are conventionally sourced from 39 energy intensive fertiliser production methods for their use in agriculture (Tampio et al., 40 2016). Therefore, the recovery of energy and nutrients from food waste was recently 41 described as economically important and essential for the sustainable development of human 42 43 society (Xu et al., 2018).

Although food waste digestate is rich in valuable fertiliser nutrients, its application in agriculture remains challenging. The nutrients in raw digestates are contained in large amounts of water. The overall volume of water not only has negative implications for the

costs associated with digestate transportation but also increases the possibility of 47 environmental damage via eutrophication or contamination of natural water systems 48 (Tampio et al., 2016). To enable targeted fertiliser application and limit the environmental 49 risk, suitable digestate treatment methods are necessary to extract fertiliser nutrients (such 50 51 as N, P and K) out of solution. Typically, solid and liquid separation methodologies initially use a solids thickening technology (which often consists of settling, filtration or centrifugation) 52 and incorporates the use of a polyelectrolyte or metal chloride coagulants (e.g. ferric chloride) 53 54 (Monfet et al., 2018). The thickening of solids in digestate is usually followed by a dewatering step, which is commonly carried out by using either a filter press or centrifugation (Knocke et 55 56 al., 1993; Wakeman, 2007).

57 Addition of coagulant during separation of solids and liquids is beneficial because solids can be bound together (or coagulated) to increase floc size (Knocke et al., 1993). This increase in 58 floc size facilitates the use of lower-cost dewatering technology by enabling the use of larger 59 60 porosity filters and reduced filtration pressures (Wakeman, 2007). An analogous alternative 61 to the use of polyelectrolyte or metal chloride coagulants for digestate treatment is the application of electrocoagulation (EC) (Al-Qodah et al., 2019). During EC, the dissolution of a 62 sacrificial metal anode is driven electrochemically (corrosion) causing the release of metal ions into 63 the digestate according to the following equations: 64

 65
 At the cathode:

 66
  $2H_2O_{(1)} + 2e^- \rightarrow H_{2(g)} + 2OH^-_{(aq)}$  (1)

 67
 At the anode:

 68
  $AI_{(s)} \rightarrow AI^{3+}_{(aq)} + 3e^-$  (2)

 69
  $Fe_{(s)} \rightarrow Fe^{2+}_{(aq)} + 2e^-$  (3)

The amount of metal ions released from the anode (*w*) into the waste stream can be derived
by using Faraday's law:

72 
$$w = (I t M)/(z F)$$
 (4)

Where *I* is the current (A), *t* is the process time (s), *M* is the molar mass of the electrode metal
(g mol<sup>-1</sup>), *z* is the valence of the anode metal and *F* is Faraday's constant (96,485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>).

Once in the digestate, the metal ions react with OH<sup>-</sup> groups to form hydroxides which can 75 coagulate solids by complexation or electrostatic attraction (Hakizimana et al., 2017). 76 Electrodes are most often constructed out of Fe and Al because these metals have high 77 valence, are cost effective and widely available (Hakizimana et al., 2017; Tirado et al., 2018). 78 Furthermore, the respective hydroxides (e.g. Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> and Fe(OH)<sub>2</sub> are highly insoluble (Ksp 79 3x10<sup>-34</sup> and 8x10<sup>-16</sup>, respectively). Multiple factors influence the cost and performance of EC 80 81 treatment such as the metal ion concentration (Mameri et al., 1998), initial pH (Tezcan Un et al., 2018), retention time (Tezcan Un et al., 2018), electrode material (Devlin et al., 2019), EC 82 83 chamber design (Kabdaşlı et al., 2012) and salt concentration (Yıldız et al., 2008).

EC technology can be operated in various treatment configurations which include 'coagulant 84 dosing' (where the electrodes are used to generate a concentrated solution of coagulant 85 which is then introduced into the effluent stream), 'batch' (where the electrodes are corroded 86 directly into the effluent but treatment is carried out by processing discrete volumes of 87 effluent at a time) (Tezcan Ün et al., 2006; Yıldız et al., 2008) and 'continuous flow' (where 88 electrodes are operating continuously and in direct contact with the effluent as it flows 89 90 through the reactor/cell) (Benazzi et al., 2015; Makwana and Ahammed, 2016). Each configuration has specific advantages. Coagulant dosing limits direct contact of digestate with 91 plates and mitigates electrode fouling. Batch treatment is carried out by submersing the 92

93 anode and cathode into the digestate and requires minimal equipment. Continuous flow EC enables uninterrupted processing of large treatment loads (Benazzi et al., 2015). Previously, 94 EC has been successfully applied to treat a range effluent streams including those from dairies 95 and slaughterhouses (Reilly et al., 2019), the textile industry (Alinsafi et al., 2005), landfill 96 97 leachates (Li et al., 2011) and wastewater (Kuokkanen et al., 2013). EC is potentially advantageous over conventional coagulation methods for digestate treatment as it excludes 98 the addition of synthetic polymers to digestate, which minimises the potential for 99 100 contamination of land during spreading of the recovered solids onto agricultural soils (Lapointe and Barbeau, 2019). Furthermore, metal electrodes occupy less space than their 101 102 corresponding metal chloride coagulants. The reduced footprint is beneficial for both transportation and storage requirements. Additionally, storage of electrodes is significantly 103 safer than that of large volumes of metal chloride solutions. 104

A limited number of studies have successfully investigated the use of EC to treat digestates 105 106 from AD. To date, these studies have mainly focused on effluents from AD plants processing 107 sewage and municipal solid waste (MSW) (Fernandes et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2017; Makwana and Ahammed, 2016; Olvera-Vargas et al., 2019). For example Huang et al. (2017) 108 demonstrated that the use of Fe<sup>2+</sup> EC to recover phosphate from anaerobic sludge worked 109 optimally between pH 6-8 and concluded that the recovery of phosphate by EC using 110 electrodes made of Fe was more efficient than using those made of Al. Makwana and 111 112 Ahammed (2017) and Fernandes et al., (2017) reported that chemical oxygen demand (COD) removal of 71% and 80% could be achieved from digestates derived from urban wastewater 113 and MSW, respectively. Makwana and Ahammed (2016) described a continuous process 114 which they applied to effluent from an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket (UASB) reactor 115 116 treating municipal wastewater. When using a current density of 20 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> the process

removed 67.2% COD and reduced initial phosphate concentrations (ranging between 4.5-6.4
 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) down to 0.57 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

119 However, there is little information in current literature regarding the application of EC to process digestate from anaerobic digesters fed food waste. Furthermore, the majority of EC 120 121 studies have demonstrated batch operation. Liu et al. (2015) successfully performed EC on 122 the effluent from co-digestion of dairy manure and food waste, although that particular study did not include solids dewatering and the analysis focused on the recovered liquids. Hence, 123 124 the objective of this study was to use continuous EC to coagulate digestate from food waste 125 AD. This study included a comparison between using Fe and Al as electrodes, with solid and liquid fractions being chemically analysed following a post-EC dewatering stage. Here, we also 126 report the concentrations of a range of potentially toxic elements (PTE) in the EC-treated 127 128 digestate and for the first time, consider their implications on the suitability of the recovered solids for use as a fertiliser on agricultural soils. 129

130 2. Materials & Methods

131 **2.1 Digestate preparation and characteristics** 

The digestate used in this study was sourced from laboratory-scale anaerobic digesters, which were operated in duplicate. The anaerobic digesters were inoculated with sludge digestate sourced from a mesophilic digester operating at 35°C on Monkmoor sewage treatment works (Shrewsbury, UK). Each laboratory-scale digester was fed a synthetic recipe representing food waste as per Jobling-Purser (2015). Feedstock was prepared weekly and stored at 5°C. Each laboratory anaerobic digester was 26L and had a working volume of 23L. The digesters were fed daily at a rate of 2.5 Kg-VS m<sup>-3</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> of synthetic food waste and using a hydraulic retention

- time (HRT) of 20 days. The digesters were mesophilic (35°C) and stirred at 100 rpm; they were
- 140 operated for 3 HRT to ensure steady-state operation before digestate was used in EC trials.
- 141 Digestate was collected each day, pooled and refrigerated (at 5°C) for no more than 7 days
- $\,$  prior before being passed through a 500  $\mu m$  sieve and used in EC trials. The characteristics of
- 143 the digestate prior to EC are shown in Table 1.

Component	Unit	Mean ± stan	dard	deviation
Total Solids (TS)	% (w.w.)	1.36	±	0.01
Volatile Solids (VS)	% (d.w.)	79.30	±	0.47
Ash Content	% (d.w.)	20.70	±	0.41
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	g L⁻¹	12.30	±	0.64
Conductivity	mS cm⁻¹	5.23	±	0.66
Turbidity	NTU	7,545	±	785
рН		7.36	±	0.12
Total Alkalinity	mg-CaCO₃ L <sup>-1</sup>	650	±	105
COD	mg L <sup>-1</sup>	12,250	±	433
C (Total)	% (d.w.)	46.4	±	0.5
N (Total)	% (d.w.)	8.94	±	0.03
P (Filter Solids)	% (d.w.)	0.735	±	0.111
P (Soluble)	mg L <sup>-1</sup>	21.8	±	1.1
S (Total)	% (d.w.)	0.513	±	0.066
NH4-N (soluble)	mg L <sup>-1</sup>	383	±	4
NO₃-N (soluble)	mg L <sup>-1</sup>	20.6	±	3.1

# Table 1. Characteristics of sieved digestate

144

## 145 **2.2 Electrocoagulation processing**

## 146 **2.2.1 Electrocoagulation equipment**

147	Electrochemical experiments were performed using a benchtop EC system (Elentec Ltd., UK).
148	The system included a circulation pump (Model 630S, Watson Marlow Ltd., UK) and power
149	supply (EA Elektro-Automatik EA-PS 2042-10B, RS Components Ltd., UK). A simplified flow
150	diagram is presented in Figure 1. The EC cell consisted of a tubular cathode in stainless steel
151	(SS 304, ID 19 mm, length 25.8 cm) fitted with ½" BSP fittings which located the anode along

the middle axis of the cell. Anodic material was either mild steel (12mm diam., RS Components Ltd., UK) or aluminium (6082-T6, 12mm diam., RS components). The active surface area of the anode was 97.3 cm<sup>2</sup>.

The EC equipment was operated aerobically in two electrocoagulation modes: coagulantdosing (CDEC) and continuous-flow (CFEC). Both CDEC and CFEC were operated using a pumping flow rate of 20L hr<sup>-1</sup> (through the EC cell). A new anode was used for each experiment.



159

Figure 1. A schematic diagram of electrocoagulation equipment (not to scale). 1 = Sample storage tank; 2 = Circulation pump; 3 = EC cell; 4 = Sampling valve; 5 = Collection beaker; 6 = Magnetic stirrer; 7 = Power supply.

163

164 **2.2.2 Screening experiment: Coagulant-dosing electrocoagulation (CDEC)** 

Screening experiments were completed to examine the feasibility of EC for the treatment of food waste digestate and to select suitable parameters for subsequent dewatering experiments. CDEC was used to create a coagulant solution by releasing Fe and Al ions from the anode into solution, which was then added to digestate. This coagulant was produced as follows; 17.1 mM NaCl (with a conductivity of 2 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>) was pumped through the EC cell. At

constant flow rate, the current input was varied according to Faraday's equation (equation 4) 170 171 to generate concentrations of Fe or Al coagulant solutions. Either 100 ml or 250 ml of digestate were made up to 1L though addition of generated electrolyte solution, resulting in 172 a 0.1 and 0.25 dilution of digestate, respectively. The final coagulant-dosing concentration of 173 Fe and Al in the diluted samples were 0.933, 1.87, 2.80, 3.73, 4.66 mM. Control samples were 174 prepared which contained the volumes of digestate described above but with 17.1 mM NaCl 175 (instead of coagulant solution). Each CDEC condition was repeated in triplicate. Therefore, 176 177 CDEC experimentation included a total sample size of 72 (Supplementary Table A).

After the contents of a CDEC beaker had been made up to 1 L (as described above), it was magnetically stirred for 1 minute at 2000 rpm, prior to undergoing 30 min of paddle-mixing at 40 rpm with a Stuart SW6 Flocculator (Cole-Parmer Ltd., UK). Following flocculation, the samples received an undisturbed period of 15 hours at room temperature. Samples were agitated at 30 rpm and 8 ml of subsample was passed through Aeropress<sup>®</sup> filter paper using a handheld Aerobie press (Aeropress Inc., USA). The filtrate was used for analysis.

## 184 **2.2.3 Continuous-flow electrocoagulation (CFEC)**

Digestate was mixed with DiH<sub>2</sub>O to achieve dilution concentrations of 0.25 or 0.1 185 (digestate:DiH<sub>2</sub>O). The conductivity of digestate dilutions were adjusted with NaCl such that 186 all samples had a conductivity or 2 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>. The diluted digestate was pumped at 20 L hr<sup>-1</sup> 187 through the EC cell which was powered with 0, 2.5 and 5 amps to achieve concentrations of 188 0, 2.33, and 4.66 mM Fe from the steel anode. CFEC control (0 mM Fe) tests were carried out 189 190 by pumping the diluted digestate through the EC cell without an electrical current. For each sample, 1L of effluent was collected in a glass beaker, which were prepared in triplicates, 191 resulting in an overall sample size of 18 for CFEC tests (Supplementary Table B). Stirring, 192

paddle-mixing and settlement of each beaker was completed as previously described (forCDEC) prior to dewatering.

#### 195 **2.3 Dewatering of CFEC samples**

196 A bespoke stainless steel filter-press system was manufactured for dewatering of CFEC samples (Supplementary Figure S1). The filter-press consisted of a piston (with a holder for 197 198 multiple weighted plates and a lifting handle) and a cylindrical upright pipe (with an I.D. of 199 140 mm) for suspending the CFEC sample between filter papers. Samples were loaded into 200 the filter-press using a volume of 125mL or 250mL for digestate samples which had been 201 diluted with H<sub>2</sub>O to concentrations of 0.25 or 0.1 prior to CFEC treatment, respectively. The 202 filter-press was used to apply a pressure of 48.2 kPa for 30 minutes to dewater the sample and create a cake between 2x Fisher Brand QT280 (12-15um) filter papers. Filtrate and 203 204 pressed cake solids were collected for analysis.

#### 205 2.4 Analytical Methods

Total solids (TS) and volatile solids (VS) were measured gravimetrically according to the 206 standard method (APHA, 1989). Total suspended solids in samples were quantified according 207 208 to EPA Method 160.2 using 0.7µm Merck<sup>™</sup> AP4004705 filters (Fisher Scientific, UK) (EPA, 209 1971). Conductivity was measured by using a Hanna HI 86304 conductivity meter equipped 210 with a HI-7632D/1 probe. A Jenway 3510 pH meter was used for pH measurements (Jenway, 211 UK). Alkalinity was quantified by using a KEM AT-710 auto-titrator (Kyoto Electronics Manufacturing Co. Ltd., Japan) and the titration method of Jenkins et al. (1983). Turbidity was 212 analysed by use of a ThermoScientific Eutech TN-100 meter (Fisher Scientific, UK). COD was 213 214 determined using a potassium dichromate method Hach Lange LCI 400 assay kit (Hach Lange, UK) and a Hach Lange DR 1900 spectrophotometer (Hach Lange, UK). Total carbon and 215

sulphur of dried samples were quantified using a Leco SC-144 BR Sulfur/Carbon Analyser 216 (LECO, USA) (Kirby et al., 2018). Total nitrogen of dried samples was determined as per AOAC 217 method 1990 968.06 by using a Leco FP528 Nitrogen/Protein Determinator (LECO, USA) 218 (Helrich, 1990). Ammonium in filtrate was measured according to AOAC method 1990 920.03 219 220 using a Foss Kjeltec 8400 Kjeldahl Analyser (Foss, Denmark) (Helrich, 1990). Nitrate was measured using Merck Millipore MColortest nitrate test kit and a Hach Lange DR 1900 221 spectrophotometer (Hach Lange Ltd., UK) at 520 nm. All other elements were quantified using 222 223 ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer NexION 2000, Perkin Elmer, USA) according to EPA Method 6020B - 2 224 (EPA, 2014).

#### 225 3. Results and discussion

#### 226 **3.1 Screening tests: Comparisons between Al and Fe electrodes**

The overall efficiency of using EC to process wastewaters is highly influenced by the materials 227 used for the construction of the sacrificial anode. In the initial part of this study, we screened 228 the suitability of Fe and Al anodes for EC of food waste digestate due to the relatively low-229 230 cost and wide availability of these metals (Gönder et al., 2017; Hakizimana et al., 2017). During this screening we applied the use of a handheld Aerobie filter press as an inexpensive solution 231 for dewatering small volumes of CDEC treated samples. Figure 3 depicts the influence of CDEC 232 on the reduction of turbidity and COD in the filtrate from the handheld filter press. CDEC was 233 able to remove turbidity for all combinations of digestate dilutions and anode materials 234 tested. The nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) in the recovered filtrate was reduced by up 235 236 to 49.8% and 50.0% after treating the 0.25 and 0.1 digestate dilutions with 4.66 mM Al, respectively. A CDEC Fe coagulant concentration of 4.66 mM reduced the NTU measured in 237 in 0.25 and 0.1 digestate dilutions by up to 41.9% and 91.3%, respectively. The highest % 238

reductions of NTU are similar to those observed in a previous study by Mores et al., (2016) in 239 which Fe anodes were used to remove 91% of turbidity from swine manure digestate from a 240 UASB. During treatment of the 0.25 diluted samples, NTU did not always decrease after higher 241 concentrations of metal ions were added by CDEC (Figure 3a). When adding between 0 and 242 1.86 mM Fe to the 0.25 diluted samples there was no substantial decrease in the mean NTU 243 (Figure 3a). Furthermore, the mean NTU in the filtrate increased from 226 to 258 between 244 1.86 mM and 2.80 mM dosages of Al. One explanation for these non-linear relationships 245 246 between CDEC metal ion concentration and NTU removal is a shift between two zones in the sequence of increasing coagulant concentration outlined by (Bukhari, 2008) as (1) insufficient 247 248 dosing, (2) destabilisation, (3) re-stabilisation and (4) sweep-floc coagulation.

The concentration of COD in filtrate was reduced by Fe and Al during CDEC experiments (Figure 3b). After applying the higher doses of metal cations, Fe outperformed Al in terms of COD removal. The addition of 4.66 mM of Al by CDEC reduced the concentration of COD in the filtrate by 693 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 348 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in 0.25 and 0.1 diluted digestate, respectively. In comparison, the equivalent concentrations of Fe from CDEC reduced the concentration of COD by 1134 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 506 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.



Concentration of metal ions added (mM)

256

255

Figure 3. Total nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) (A) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) (B) in filtrate from 0.25 and 0.1 dilutions of digestate after treatment with Al and Fe coagulant-dosing electrocoagulation (CDEC). Data points represent means of triplicate independent repeats ± standard deviation (error bars). Where error bars are not seen, they were smaller than the symbols used to represent the mean values.

- 262
- 263
- 264
- 265
- 266

#### 267 **3.2 Continuous-flow electrocoagulation and filter-press dewatering**

Preliminary experimental trials to treat undiluted digestate by using CFEC were ineffective and inoperable at bench-scale due to the rapid fouling of Al and Fe anodes. This fouling caused physical blockages to the throughput of digestate in the EC chamber. Therefore, CFEC was operated using digestate diluted to concentrations of 0.1 and 0.25 as per previous CDEC experiments.

273 During CDEC experiments it was determined that Fe anodes were more efficient than Al at 274 removing COD from the digestate. Furthermore, Fe is less expensive than Al and therefore Fe 275 was selected for the CFEC trials (Gatsios et al., 2015).

#### **3.2.1 Soluble COD and turbidity of filtrate**

277 When processing digestate with CFEC, increasing the concentration of Fe addition decreased the concentration of COD in the effluent collected from the filter press (Figure 4a). After 278 dewatering, the filtrate from the 0.25 and 0.1 digestate control samples contained 762 mg L<sup>-</sup> 279 <sup>1</sup> and 430 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of COD, respectively. The filtrate from the dewatered 2.33 mM Fe CFEC 280 treated 0.25 or 0.1 dilutions contained 508 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 115 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of COD, respectively. 281 282 Furthermore, the application of 4.66 mM Fe reduced the concentration to 106 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of COD in the 0.1 diluted digestate and 268 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of COD in the 0.25 diluted digestate samples. 283 Therefore, the highest removal of COD per mM of Fe added was 135 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and this was 284 achieved when treating the 0.1 dilution with 2.33 mM of Fe. The highest absolute COD 285 removal was 64.8% which occurred after applying 4.66 mM Fe to treat the 0.25 diluted 286 digestate. The % of COD removal observed in this study is comparable to other investigation 287 in which EC has been used to treat effluents from olive mills (Tezcan Ün et al., 2006), pistachio 288 processing plants (Ozay et al., 2018), landfill sites (Tezcan Un et al., 2018), municipal 289

290 wastewater plants (Devlin et al., 2019) and potato chip manufacturing waste (Kobya et al.,
291 2006).

292 Above 2.33 mM Fe treatment, there was no substantial decrease in the concentration of COD in the 0.1 diluted digestate. These findings suggest that 2.33 mM Fe was sufficient to 293 294 coagulate the concentration of COD-containing compounds in the 0.1 dilution that are able 295 to bind with Fe. Therefore, the results from treatment of the 0.1 dilution of digestate lead us to hypothesise that, beyond the technological hurdle of plate fouling at bench-scale, 1.3 g of 296 297 Fe would be sufficient to remove all soluble Fe-reactive COD-containing compounds found in 1 L of undiluted digestate. Residual COD found in the 2.33 mM and 4.66 mM Fe CFEC treated 298 0.1 diluted samples can be attributed to organic compounds (e.g. glucose, lactose, sucrose) 299 which are known to occur in digestate but do not react or have limited reactivity with Fe (II) 300 301 or Fe (III) (Moreno-Casillas et al., 2007).

302 A similar trend to COD removal was also observed for the removal of turbidity by Fe CFEC 303 (Figure 3b). NTU in the filtrate effluent from dewatering was reduced by as much as 63.9% 304 and 75.3% in comparison to the 0.25 and 0.1 digestate controls, respectively. The inverse trend between Fe addition and COD concentration in the filtrate is consistent with 305 observations made during the CDEC screening tests. The differences in absolute 306 concentrations of COD between 4.66 mM Fe CDEC and CFEC tests can be attributed to the 307 change in the filter paper type from Aeropress® to Fisher Brand QT280 which had the 308 309 necessary diameter for use in the dewatering filter-press (Figure 2).

310



311



Figure 4. Total chemical oxygen demand (COD) (A) and nephelometric turbidity units (NTU) (B) in filtrate from 0.25 and 0.1 dilutions of digestate after treatment with Fe continuousflow electrocoagulation (CFEC). Data points represent means of triplicate independent repeats ± standard deviation (error bars). Where error bars are not seen, they are smaller than the symbols used to represent the mean values.

318

# 319 **3.2.3 Quantification of solids and filtrate recovery**

320 The application of CFEC reduced the concentrations of TSS and TS in the filtrate recovered

- from both 0.25 and 0.1 dilutions of digestate. CFEC using 4.66 mM of Fe reduced the TS in the
- filtrate by up to 33.3% and 19.5% in the 0.25 and 0.1 dilutions of digestate, respectively. This

reduction of TS in the filtrate was associated with a rise in the mean average TS recovered in the pressed cake mass. The TS recovered in the filter pressed cake increased stepwise with the concentration of Fe applied during CFEC (Tables 2 and 3). The relationship between Fe addition and TSS recovery from the liquid fraction during filtration can be explained by the growth of particles caused by enhanced coagulation of solids when higher concentrations of Fe cations were added.

The majority of previously reported EC studies have applied batch operation to wastewaters. 329 330 Here we applied CFEC. However, fouling of anodes over time (caused by the binding of solids from wastewater to the metal plates) is known to restrict electrode dissolution during CFEC 331 treatment of high-strength effluents (Schulz et al., 2009). To alleviate the bench-scale 332 challenges of EC associated with plate-fouling the digestate was diluted prior to CFEC 333 334 treatment. Even though the dilution of the digestate requires an initial addition of water into the process, the amount of total filtrate recovered from the solids dewatering filter-press 335 336 exceeded the volume of water used for dilution (Tables 2 and 3). Although it was beyond the scope of this proof of concept study, further investigation is now required to test and optimise 337 the potential for recirculation of the filtrate within the treatment process for dilution of 338 339 incoming digestate.

Table 2. Solids and liquids recovery following continuous flow electrocoagulation and dewatering of 0.25 diluted digestate. Individual values represent means of triplicate independent repeats ± standard deviation. Fe treatment Total Solids Total Total **Total Solids** Total filtrate [mM] in filtrate Volatile Suspended in filter Recovery (g L<sup>-1</sup>) (ml  $L^{-1}$  of Solids in Solids in pressed cake filtrate (g L<sup>-1</sup>) filtrate (g L<sup>-1</sup>)  $(g L^{-1} of$ digestate digestate treated) treated) (0) Control  $1.80 \pm 0.26$  $1.27 \pm 0.10$  $0.165 \pm 0.01$  $2.8 \pm 0.1$ 923 ± 8 963 ± 29 2.33  $1.43 \pm 0.09$  $0.90 \pm 0.13$  $0.104 \pm 0.01$  $2.9 \pm 0.1$  $1.21 \pm 0.07$  $0.077 \pm 0.01$ 4.66  $0.68 \pm 0.08$  $3.2 \pm 0.2$ 936 ± 24

independent repeats ± standard deviation.											
Fe treatment	Total Solids	Total	Total	Total Solids	Total filtrate						
[mM]	in filtrate (g L <sup>-1</sup> )	Volatile Solids in filtrate (g L <sup>-1</sup> )	Suspended Solids in filtrate (g L <sup>-1</sup> )	in filter pressed cake (g L <sup>-1</sup> of digestate treated)	Recovery (ml L <sup>-1</sup> of digestate treated)						
0 (Control)	$1.28 \pm 0.02$	0.77 ± 0.03	$0.181 \pm 0.00$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	953 ± 41						
2.33	$1.06 \pm 0.04$	0.52 ± 0.03	$0.108 \pm 0.01$	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	986 ± 11						
4.66	$1.03 \pm 0.06$	$0.58 \pm 0.08$	$0.068 \pm 0.00$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	962 ± 3						

Table 3. Solids and liquids recovery following continuous flow electrocoagulation and dewatering of 0.1 diluted digestate. Individual values represent means of triplicate independent repeats + standard deviation.

340

#### 341 **3.2.4 Composition of cake and filtrate**

#### 342 **3.2.4.1 Recovery of phosphorous and nitrogen**

CFEC successfully increased both the total yield and fraction of P recovered in filter-pressed 343 cake. The average increase in the total yield of P recovered in cake solids, collected per litre 344 of digestate treated, was 1.65 and 1.52 fold compared to the controls for the 0.1 and 0.25 345 dilutions, respectively (Figure 5). Furthermore, the application of 4.66 mM Fe by CFEC 346 increased the proportion of total P in the recovered solids from  $6.8 \pm 0.0 \text{ mg kg-TS}^{-1}$  in the 347 controls to 8.0  $\pm$  0.1 mg kg-TS<sup>-1</sup> and 9.0  $\pm$  0.2 mg kg-TS<sup>-1</sup> when treating the 0.1 and 0.25 348 dilutions of digestate, respectively. Soluble P in the filtrate decreased by up to 92% and 90% 349 for the 0.25 and 0.1 dilutions, respectively. A decrease of total P in the corresponding filtrates 350 confirmed that CFEC caused the precipitation of soluble P-containing compounds and enabled 351 their recovery by filtration (Figure 5). The most efficient recovery of P per Fe added was 26.42 352 g Fe g-P<sup>-1</sup> recovered and this was achieved when 2.33 mM Fe was applied to the 0.25 dilution 353 of digestate. 354

In all CFEC treatments and controls the pH of the filtrate effluent remained between 7.19 and
7.73. These pH values are firmly within the optimum operational pH ranges of 5-9 for Fe EC

(Huang et al., 2017; Moussa et al., 2017). Due to the pH of the samples being above neutral
throughout CFEC treatment and settlement, precipitation of phosphate by Fe<sup>2+</sup> to produce
Fe<sub>3</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> was likely the primary mechanism of P removal from the soluble phase (Omwene et
al., 2018). Soluble P removal of c.90% from food waste digestate (in the current study) is
comparable to previous EC studies on laundry wastewater (Janpoor et al., 2011), dairy
wastewater (Kuokkanen et al., 2015) and dairy manure (Zhang et al., 2016) in which removal
of P from the soluble phase ranged between 90.9-96.7%.



364

Figure 5. Total P in filtrate and cake solids recovered from 0.1 and 0.25 dilutions of digestate after treatment with Fe continuous-flow electrocoagulation (CFEC). Data points represent means of triplicate independent repeats ± standard deviation (error bars). Where error bars are not seen, they are smaller than the symbols used to represent the mean values.

370

The proportion of total N in the recovered pressed cake solids ranged between 7.34% and 371 372 8.94% (d.w) for all samples and therefore was similar between CFEC treatments and controls. Furthermore, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration in the digestate filtrate was unaffected by EC treatment. 373 Previously reported electrochemical treatment has been shown to convert NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and 374 375 N<sub>2</sub> gas via oxidation (Ghimire et al., 2019; Li and Liu, 2009). However, in a previous investigation by Liu and Liu (2016) NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> removal also remained low while using EC to treat 376 anaerobic digestate. Here, EC was not expected to convert NH4<sup>+</sup> to nitrogen gas, as 377 378 parameters were not optimised to generate the hypochlorite required to drive the reaction 379 (Ding et al., 2021).

This NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-containing filtrate has had the majority of soluble P removed which opens the possibility of differentiation between the two nutrients for agricultural purposes. Furthermore, the N could be removed from the filtrate in an additional processing stage using conventional N-removal techniques, such as physicochemical, biological, or electrochemical treatments (Mook et al., 2012).

#### 385 3.2.4.2 Recovery of metals

Digestate can contain potentially toxic elements (PTE) (e.g. Cr, Mo, Pb) which if in high 386 concentrations could be hazardous to animals and plants (DEFRA, 2018). Hence, the amount 387 of digestate which is applied to soils must be controlled to ensure that spreading of PTE isn't 388 environmentally hazardous. Therefore, as part of this study, we used ICP-MS to measure the 389 concentrations of a range of metals in the cake and soluble phases, following EC treatment. 390 391 Fe CFEC was found to cause negligible increases to the concentrations of PTE Cr and As in pressed cake solids of up to 71.1  $\pm$  9.1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.6  $\pm$  0.3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The mean 392 average concentrations of Cu, Ni and Zn were marginally increased after Fe CFEC by up to 4.1 393

 $\pm$  12.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, 26.3  $\pm$  2.8 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and 10.8  $\pm$  20.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Following Fe CFEC treatment, no increases in the concentrations of PTE Cd, Mo or Pb in cake were detected.

N application in UK agriculture is limited to a maximum of 250 kg N per hectare per year and therefore this value was used to calculate the maximum amounts of each digestate cake solids (prepared by CFEC and dewatering) that could be applied to UK farming land (DEFRA, 2015).When using any sets of EC treatments tested in this study, PTE Cu, Ni, Zn, As, Cd, Cr, Mo and Pb would all remain below permitted application limits in a scenario in which recovered pressed cake digestate is applied at the maximum allowed rate of 250 kg N per hectare per year.

403 Although Fe is not listed by the UK government as a PTE, it is notable that all the CFEC treatments caused a substantial increase of Fe in pressed cake solids, compared to the control 404 which contained 0.08 ± 0.00%. The pressed cake solids collected from the 0.1 diluted 405 406 digestate samples contained  $10.57 \pm 0.22\%$  and  $17.95 \pm 0.44\%$  Fe after applying 2.33 mM and 407 4.66 mM Fe CFEC treatment, respectively. A similar trend was observed for the fractions of 408 Fe in the pressed cake solids derived from the 0.25 diluted samples which contained 3.7  $\pm$ 0.32% and 8.12 ± 0.40% following 2.33 mM and 4.66 mM Fe CFEC treatment, respectively. 409 410 These increases in Fe within the filter pressed cake solids can be attributed to the use of steel anodes during CFEC. However, although CFEC increased the Fe concentration in the filter 411 412 pressed cake solids it should be noted that Fe is highly abundant in soils globally (Towett et 413 al., 2015). Therefore, in many locations, the relatively small addition of Fe into pressed cake 414 solids (caused by CFEC) is unlikely to have negative implications when using the cake as an agricultural fertiliser. Furthermore, the application of Fe to land has been reported to be 415 advantageous for agricultural purposes (Brown et al., 2012). In all CFEC treatments the 416

residual concentration of Fe in the recovered filtrate remained below the typical median Fe
concentration of 0.7 mg L<sup>-1</sup> found in river water (WHO, 1996).

#### 419 **4. Estimation of Fe addition costs**

420 The operational cost of CFEC is associated with electrical power consumption and anode materials. Although EC technology continues to evolve and become more efficient, at the time 421 of writing, the cost for the Fe dosing concentrations used in this study are estimated to be 422 \$8.6x10<sup>-4</sup> US g-Fe<sup>-1</sup> and \$1.2x10<sup>-3</sup> US g-Fe<sup>-1</sup> for 2.33 mM and 4.66 mM, respectively. Therefore, 423 it is estimated that treating 10 m<sup>3</sup> of 0.1 or 0.25 diluted digestate with 2.33 mM or 4.66mM 424 of Fe would cost \$1.13 US and \$3.17 US, respectively. These estimations are based on an 425 average cost of \$0.19 US kWh<sup>-1</sup> and values have been provided based on a pilot-scale Elentec 426 Ltd. system in operation at the time of writing. 427

#### 428 **5. Conclusions**

In this study we demonstrated the potential of EC for the recovery of nutrients and other solids from food waste digestate. Fe was found to outperform Al in terms of COD removal from the soluble phase of food waste digestate. CFEC using 2.33 mM Fe and a 0.25 dilution of digestate was the optimal treatment tested. This treatment achieved the highest P recovery from the soluble phase of 22.4 mg-P per g-Fe added, the greatest reduction of solids in the filtrate (2.84 g per g-Fe added), substantial COD removal (of 254 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and was estimated to be the least expensive ratio of Fe addition to digestate.

Our results indicate that, in a scenario whereby recovered cake from EC-treated food waste
digestate is applied to soil at a rate of 250 kg N per hectare per year, Cu, Ni, Zn, As, Cd, Cr, Mo
and Pb would remain below their permitted application limits in the UK. Plant-growth trials

- 439 are now required in further work to examine the quality of the nutrient-enhanced pressed
- 440 cake solids from food waste digestion as a soil fertiliser for agricultural purposes.

## 441 Acknowledgments

- 442 The authors would like to acknowledge research grant funding provided by the EPSRC for the
- 443 *Redivivus* project (grant code: EP/M007359/1). We would also like to thank Elentec Ltd. for
- 444 contributing staff and equipment, and Michael A Cooper for his assistance.
- 445

# 446 **References**

447

- Al-Qodah, Z., Al-Qudah, Y., Assirey, E., 2019. Combined biological wastewater treatment
  with electrocoagulation as a post-polishing process: A review. Sep. Sci. Technol. 1–19.
  https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2019.1626891
- Alinsafi, A., Khemis, M., Pons, M.N., Leclerc, J.P., Yaacoubi, A., Benhammou, A., Nejmeddine,
  A., 2005. Electro-coagulation of reactive textile dyes and textile wastewater. Chem.
  Eng. Process. Process Intensif. 44, 461–470.
- 454 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2004.06.010
- 455 Benazzi, T.L., Di Luccio, M., Dallago, R.M., Steffens, J., Mores, R., Do Nascimento, M.S.,
- 456Krebs, J., Ceni, G., 2015. Continuous flow electrocoagulation in the treatment of457wastewater from dairy industries. Water Sci. Technol. 73, 1418–1425.
- 458 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.620
- Brown, S.L., Clausen, I., Chappell, M.A., Scheckel, K.G., Newville, M., Hettiarachchi, G.M.,
   2012. High-Iron Biosolids Compost–Induced Changes in Lead and Arsenic Speciation
- and Bioaccessibility in Co-contaminated Soils. J. Environ. Qual. 41, 1612–1622.
  https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2011.0297
- Bukhari, A.A., 2008. Investigation of the electro-coagulation treatment process for the
  removal of total suspended solids and turbidity from municipal wastewater. Bioresour.
- Technol. 99, 914–921. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2007.03.015
  DEFRA, 2018. Sewage sludge in agriculture: code of practice for England, Wales and
  Northern Ireland.
- 468 DEFRA, 2015. Using nitrogen fertilisers in nitrate vulnerable zones.
- 469 Devlin, T.R., Kowalski, M.S., Pagaduan, E., Zhang, X., Wei, V., Oleszkiewicz, J.A., 2019.
- 470 Electrocoagulation of wastewater using aluminum, iron, and magnesium electrodes. J.
  471 Hazard. Mater. 368, 862–868.
- 472 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.10.017
- 473 Ding, J., Jiang, M., Zhao, G., Wei, L., Wang, S., Zhao, Q., 2021. Treatment of leachate
  474 concentrate by electrocoagulation coupled with electro-Fenton-like process: Efficacy
  475 and mechanism. Sep. Purif. Technol. 255, 117668.
- 476 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.117668
- 477 EPA, 2014. Method 6020B: Inductively Coupled-Mass Spectrometry, Revision 2. US
- 478 Environemental Prot. Agency.

EPA, 1971. Method 160.2: Residue, Non-Filterable (Gravimetric, Dried at. 103-105°C). US 479 480 Environ. Prot. Agency. Fernandes, A., Jesus, T., Silva, R., Pacheco, M.J., Ciríaco, L., Lopes, A., 2017. Effluents from 481 482 Anaerobic Digestion of Organic Wastes: Treatment by Chemical and Electrochemical Processes. Water, Air, Soil Pollut. 228, 441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-017-3620-483 484 1 Gatsios, E., Hahladakis, J.N., Gidarakos, E., 2015. Optimization of electrocoagulation (EC) 485 process for the purification of a real industrial wastewater from toxic metals. J. Environ. 486 487 Manage. 154, 117–127. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2015.02.018 488 Ghimire, U., Jang, M., Jung, S.P., Park, D., Park, S.J., Yu, H., Oh, S.-E., 2019. Electrochemical 489 Removal of Ammonium Nitrogen and COD of Domestic Wastewater using Platinum 490 Coated Titanium as an Anode Electrode. Energies 12. 491 492 https://doi.org/10.3390/en12050883 493 Gönder, Z.B., Balcıoğlu, G., Vergili, I., Kaya, Y., 2017. Electrochemical treatment of carwash 494 wastewater using Fe and Al electrode: Techno-economic analysis and sludge characterization. J. Environ. Manage. 200, 380-390. 495 496 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.06.005 Hakizimana, J.N., Gourich, B., Chafi, M., Stiriba, Y., Vial, C., Drogui, P., Naja, J., 2017. 497 Electrocoagulation process in water treatment: A review of electrocoagulation 498 modeling approaches. Desalination 404, 1–21. 499 500 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2016.10.011 501 Helrich, K., 1990. Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical 502 Chemists (AOAC), 15th ed. Washington, DC, USA: Association of Official Analytical 503 Chemists. 504 Huang, H., Zhang, D., Zhao, Z., Zhang, P., Gao, F., 2017. Comparison investigation on 505 phosphate recovery from sludge anaerobic supernatant using the electrocoagulation 506 process and chemical precipitation. J. Clean. Prod. 141, 429–438. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.09.127 507 Janpoor, F., Torabian, A., Khatibikamal, V., 2011. Treatment of laundry waste-water by 508 electrocoagulation. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 86, 1113–1120. 509 https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.2625 510 511 Jenkins, S.R., Morgan, J.M., Sawyer, C.L., 1983. Measuring Anaerobic Sludge Digestion and 512 Growth by a Simple Alkalimetric Titration. J. (Water Pollut. Control Fed. 55, 448–453. 513 Jobling-Purser, B., 2015. Improving volatile fatty acid, hydrogen and methane production 514 from food waste substrates for optimised resource recovery. Kabdaşlı, I., Arslan-Alaton, I., Ölmez-Hancı, T., Tünay, O., 2012. Electrocoagulation 515 applications for industrial wastewaters: a critical review. Environ. Technol. Rev. 1, 2–45. 516 https://doi.org/10.1080/21622515.2012.715390 517 Kirby, M.E., Theodorou, M.K., Brizuela, C.M., Huntington, J.A., Powles, J., Wilkinson, R.G., 518 2018. The anaerobic digestion of pig carcase with or without sugar beet pulp, as a 519 520 novel on-farm disposal method. Waste Manag. 75, 251–260. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.02.022 521 Knocke, W.R., Dishman, C.M., Miller, G.F., 1993. Measurement of Chemical Sludge Floc 522 523 Density and Implications Related to Sludge Dewatering. Water Environ. Res. 65, 735-524 743. 525 Kobya, M., Hiz, H., Senturk, E., Aydiner, C., Demirbas, E., 2006. Treatment of potato chips

manufacturing wastewater by electrocoagulation. Desalination 190, 201–211. 526 527 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2005.10.006 Kuokkanen, V., Kuokkanen, T., Rämö, J., Lassi, U., 2013. Recent applications of 528 529 electrocoagulation in treatment of water and wastewater treview. Green Sustain. 530 Chem. 3, 89. 531 Kuokkanen, V., Kuokkanen, T., Rämö, J., Lassi, U., Roininen, J., 2015. Removal of phosphate 532 from wastewaters for further utilization using electrocoagulation with hybrid electrodes – Techno-economic studies. J. Water Process Eng. 8, e50–e57. 533 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2014.11.008 534 Lapointe, M., Barbeau, B., 2019. Substituting polyacrylamide with an activated starch 535 polymer during ballasted flocculation. J. Water Process Eng. 28, 129–134. 536 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwpe.2019.01.011 537 538 Li, L., Liu, Y., 2009. Ammonia removal in electrochemical oxidation: mechanism and pseudo-539 kinetics. J. Hazard. Mater. 161, 1010-1016. 540 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.04.047 541 Li, X., Song, J., Guo, J., Wang, Z., Feng, Q., 2011. Landfill leachate treatment using electrocoagulation. Procedia Environ. Sci. 10, 1159–1164. 542 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proenv.2011.09.185 543 544 Liu, Z., Liu, Y., 2016. Synergistic integration of electrocoagulation and algal cultivation to treat liquid anaerobic digestion effluent and accumulate algal biomass. Process 545 Biochem. 51, 89–94. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2015.11.003 546 Liu, Z., Stromberg, D., Liu, X., Liao, W., Liu, Y., 2015. A new multiple-stage electrocoagulation 547 548 process on anaerobic digestion effluent to simultaneously reclaim water and clean up biogas. J. Hazard. Mater. 285, 483-490. 549 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.10.009 550 551 Makwana, A.R., Ahammed, M.M., 2017. Electrocoagulation process for the post-treatment 552 of anaerobically treated urban wastewater. Sep. Sci. Technol. 52, 1412–1422. https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2017.1288139 553 Makwana, A.R., Ahammed, M.M., 2016. Continuous electrocoagulation process for the post-554 555 treatment of anaerobically treated municipal wastewater. Process Saf. Environ. Prot. 102, 724–733. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2016.06.005 556 Mameri, N., Yeddou, A.R., Lounici, H., Belhocine, D., Grib, H., Bariou, B., 1998. 557 Defluoridation of septentrional Sahara water of north Africa by electrocoagulation 558 process using bipolar aluminium electrodes. Water Res. 32, 1604–1612. 559 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(97)00357-6 560 Monfet, E., Aubry, G., Ramirez, A.A., 2018. Nutrient removal and recovery from digestate: a 561 562 review of the technology. Biofuels 9, 247–262. 563 https://doi.org/10.1080/17597269.2017.1336348 564 Mook, W.T., Chakrabarti, M.H., Aroua, M.K., Khan, G.M.A., Ali, B.S., Islam, M.S., Abu Hassan, M.A., 2012. Removal of total ammonia nitrogen (TAN), nitrate and total organic carbon 565 (TOC) from aquaculture wastewater using electrochemical technology: A review. 566 Desalination 285, 1–13. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2011.09.029 567 Moreno-Casillas, H.A., Cocke, D.L., Gomes, J.A.G., Morkovsky, P., Parga, J.R., Peterson, E., 568 2007. Electrocoagulation mechanism for COD removal. Sep. Purif. Technol. 56, 204-569 570 211. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2007.01.031 Mores, R., Treichel, H., Zakrzevski, C.A., Kunz, A., Steffens, J., Dallago, R.M., 2016. Remove of 571 phosphorous and turbidity of swine wastewater using electrocoagulation under 572

continuous flow. Sep. Purif. Technol. 171, 112–117. 573 574 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2016.07.016 575 Moult, J.A., Allan, S.R., Hewitt, C.N., Berners-Lee, M., 2018. Greenhouse gas emissions of 576 food waste disposal options for UK retailers. Food Policy 77, 50–58. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2018.04.003 577 Moussa, D.T., El-Naas, M.H., Nasser, M., Al-Marri, M.J., 2017. A comprehensive review of 578 579 electrocoagulation for water treatment: Potentials and challenges. J. Environ. Manage. 186, 24–41. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.10.032 580 581 Olvera-Vargas, H., Zheng, X., Garcia-Rodriguez, O., Lefebvre, O., 2019. Sequential "electrochemical peroxidation – Electro-Fenton" process for anaerobic sludge 582 treatment. Water Res. 154, 277-286. 583 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.01.063 584 Omwene, P.I., Kobya, M., Can, O.T., 2018. Phosphorus removal from domestic wastewater 585 586 in electrocoagulation reactor using aluminium and iron plate hybrid anodes. Ecol. Eng. 587 123, 65–73. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.08.025 588 Ozay, Y., Ünşar, E.K., Işık, Z., Yılmaz, F., Dizge, N., Perendeci, N.A., Mazmanci, M.A., Yalvac, M., 2018. Optimization of electrocoagulation process and combination of anaerobic 589 590 digestion for the treatment of pistachio processing wastewater. J. Clean. Prod. 196, 42-50. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.05.242 591 Reilly, M., Cooley, A.P., Tito, D., Tassou, S.A., Theodorou, M.K., 2019. Electrocoagulation 592 treatment of dairy processing and slaughterhouse wastewaters. Energy Procedia 161, 593 594 343-351. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2019.02.106 595 Schulz, M.C., Baygents, J.C., Farrell, J., 2009. Laboratory and pilot testing of 596 electrocoagulation for removing scale-forming species from industrial process waters. 597 Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 6, 521–526. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03326091 598 Tampio, E., Marttinen, S., Rintala, J., 2016. Liquid fertilizer products from anaerobic 599 digestion of food waste: mass, nutrient and energy balance of four digestate liquid 600 treatment systems. J. Clean. Prod. 125, 22-32. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.03.127 601 Tezcan Un, U., Filik Iscen, C., Oduncu, E., Akcal Comoglu, B., Ilhan, S., 2018. Treatment of 602 landfill leachate using integrated continuous electrocoagulation and the anaerobic 603 604 treatment technique. Environ. Prog. Sustain. Energy 37, 1668–1676. 605 https://doi.org/10.1002/ep.12850 606 Tezcan Ün, Ü., Uğur, S., Koparal, A.S., Bakır Öğütveren, Ü., 2006. Electrocoagulation of olive 607 mill wastewaters. Sep. Purif. Technol. 52, 136-141. 608 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2006.03.029 609 Tirado, L., Gökkuş, Ö., Brillas, E., Sirés, I., 2018. Treatment of cheese whey wastewater by combined electrochemical processes. J. Appl. Electrochem. 610 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10800-018-1218-y 611 Towett, E.K., Shepherd, K.D., Tondoh, J.E., Winowiecki, L.A., Lulseged, T., Nyambura, M., 612 Sila, A., Vågen, T.-G., Cadisch, G., 2015. Total elemental composition of soils in Sub-613 Saharan Africa and relationship with soil forming factors. Geoderma Reg. 5, 157–168. 614 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geodrs.2015.06.002 615 Wakeman, R.J., 2007. Separation technologies for sludge dewatering. J. Hazard. Mater. 144, 616 614–619. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.01.084 617 618 WHO, 1996. Iron in Drinking-water, in: Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality. World Health 619 Organization, Geneva.

- Xu, F., Li, Y., Ge, X., Yang, L., Li, Y., 2018. Anaerobic digestion of food waste Challenges and
   opportunities. Bioresour. Technol. 247, 1047–1058.
- 622 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.09.020
- Yıldız, Y.Ş., Koparal, A.S., Keskinler, B., 2008. Effect of initial pH and supporting electrolyte on
   the treatment of water containing high concentration of humic substances by
- electrocoagulation. Chem. Eng. J. 138, 63–72.
- 626 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2007.05.029
- <sup>627</sup> Zhang, X., Lin, H., Hu, B., 2016. Phosphorus removal and recovery from dairy manure by
- electrocoagulation. RSC Adv. 6, 57960–57968. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6RA06568F
- 629