## Towards a functional classification of poorly known tropical insects: The case of rhinoceros beetles (Coleoptera, Dynastinae) in Panama

by Basset, Y., Blažek, P., Souto-Vilarós, D., Vargas, G., Ramírez Silva, J.A., Barrios, H., Perez, F., Bobadilla, R., Lopez, Y., Ctvrtecka, R., Šípek, P., Solís, A., Segar, S.T. and Lamarre, G.P.A.

**Copyright, publisher and additional information:** This is the peer reviewed version of the above article which has been published in final form at the DOI below. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Use of Self-Archived Versions. This article may not be enhanced, enriched or otherwise transformed into a derivative work, without express permission from Wiley or by statutory rights under applicable legislation. Copyright notices must not be removed, obscured or modified. The article must be linked to Wiley's version of record on Wiley Online Library and any embedding, framing or otherwise making available the article or pages thereof by third parties from platforms, services and websites other than Wiley Online Library must be prohibited.

Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher

DOI link to the version of record on the publisher's site



Basset, Y., Blažek, P., Souto-Vilarós, D., Vargas, G., Ramírez Silva, J.A., Barrios, H., Perez, F., Bobadilla, R., Lopez, Y., Ctvrtecka, R., Šípek, P., Solís, A., Segar, S.T. and Lamarre, G.P.A. (2022). 'Towards a functional classification of poorly known tropical insects: The case of rhinoceros beetles (Coleoptera, Dynastinae) in Panama', *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 16 (1), pp.147-163.

1	Towards a functional classification of poorly known tropical insects:
2	The case of rhinoceros beetles (Coleoptera, Dynastinae) in Panama
3	
4	Yves Basset <sup>1,2,3,4*</sup> , Petr Blažek <sup>2,3</sup> , Daniel Souto-Vilarós <sup>3</sup> , Gersey Vargas <sup>1</sup> , José Alejandro Ramírez Silva <sup>1,4</sup> ,
5	Héctor Barrios <sup>4</sup> , Filonila Perez <sup>1</sup> , Ricardo Bobadilla <sup>1</sup> , Yacksecari Lopez <sup>1</sup> , Richard Ctvrtecka <sup>2,3</sup> , Petr Šípek <sup>5</sup> ,
6	Angel Solís <sup>6</sup> , Simon T. Segar <sup>7</sup> & Greg P. A. Lamarre <sup>1,3</sup>
7	
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> ForestGEO, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Apartado 0843-03092, Balboa, Ancon, Panamá.
10	<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, 370 05 Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic.
11	<sup>3</sup> Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Institute of Entomology, 370 05 Ceske Budejovice, Czech
12	Republic.
13	<sup>4</sup> Maestria de Entomologia, Universidad de Panamá, 080814 Panama City, Republic of Panama.
14	<sup>5</sup> Department of Zoology, Faculty of Science, Charles University, 128 00 Praha 2, Czech Republic.
15	<sup>6</sup> BioAlfa Barcoding Project, Santo Domingo de Heredia, Heredia, Costa Rica.
16	<sup>7</sup> Agriculture & Environment Department, Harper Adams University, Newport, Shropshire, TF10 8NB, UK.
17	
18	*Correspondence: Yves Basset, ForestGEO, Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute, Apartado 0843-03092,
19	Balboa, Ancon, Panamá. E-mail: bassety@si.edu.
20	
21	Running title: Rhinoceros beetles in Panama
22	
23	ORCID numbers:
24	
25	Yves Basset: 0000-0002-1942-5717
26	Petr Blažek: 0000-0002-0901-4578
27	Gersey Vargas: 0000-0002-5625-8284
28	José Alejandro Ramírez Silva: 0000-0001-6799-5207
29	Daniel Souto-Vilarós: 0000-0002-8803-5173
30	Héctor Barrios: 0000-0002-0542-1731

- 31 Filonila Perez: NA
- Ricardo Bobadilla: NA
- Yacksecari Lopez: NA
- **34** Richard Ctvrtecka: 0000-0002-0599-6850
- Petr Šipek: 0000-0002-2769-2217
- Angel Solís: 0000-0001-8622-4555
- Simon Segar: 0000-0001-6621-9409
- **38** Greg P.A. Lamarre: 0000-0002-7645-985X

- 41 Abstract

43	1.	The population dynamics of most tropical insects are unknown and long-term monitoring programs are
44		urgently needed to evaluate a possible insect decline in the tropics. In this context, functional groups
45		can be used effectively to summarize time-series for species-rich taxa. Neotropical dung beetles have
46		often been catalogued into functional groups, but close relatives also of ecological significance, the
47		Dynastinae, are awaiting such a classification.
48	2.	Here we examine the functional groups of Dynastinae at the regional (Panama: 147 species) and local
49		(Barro Colorado Island, BCI: 56 species) scales. Our optimum classification of Panamanian species
50		distinguished five groups, one of which is probably artificial and accounts for species ecologically
51		poorly known.
52	3.	Ecological attributes or species traits mainly influencing the delineation of groups were geographical
53		distribution, body length, seasonal aggregation, larval food and whether the adult may be present in
54		decaying wood.
55	4.	Our analyses indicated that (1) missing trait values and the high percentage of "cryptic" species (25%)
56		influenced the delineation of groups; (2) the dendrogram similarity of functional groups vs.
57		phylogenetic tree was low, although some traits were phylogenetically conserved; and (4) the overall
58		structure of functional groups was conserved when comparing regional and local data, suggesting no
59		drastic loss of functional groups locally.
60	5.	To proceed with the functional classification of poorly known tropical insects, we recommend a
61		cautious selection of traits a priori, inclusion of "cryptic" species recognized by DNA barcoding, and
62		building phylogenies which may allow a careful taxonomic imputation to complete species-traits
63		matrices.
64		
65	Key wo	rds: Barro Colorado Island, cryptic species, DNA barcoding, pollinator, species traits.
66		
67		
68		
69		

71 Introduction

73 In recent years there have been alarming reports concerning insect decline, particularly in temperate countries 74 (Hallmann et al., 2017; Sánchez-Bayo & Wyckhuys, 2019; Wagner et al., 2021). This attrition has been 75 attributed mainly to habitat loss, pesticide use and climate change (Sánchez-Bayo & Wyckhuys, 2019). In this 76 context, Basset and Lamarre (2019) stressed that the population dynamics of most tropical insects were 77 unknown and that we urgently need accurate long-term monitoring programs to evaluate a possible insect 78 decline in the tropics. The situation is potentially of great concern because ectothermic organisms such as 79 insects are at great risk of extinction in the tropics due to their limited tolerance to a warmer world (Deutsch et 80 al., 2008; Kaspari et al., 2014). To compound the challenge, the taxonomy of most tropical insects is poorly 81 known, and local species richness can easily be an order of magnitude higher than in temperate countries. 82 83 In these conditions, summarizing insect population dynamics for that many species represents a challenge. 84 Moreover, the public and decision makers are often little interested in insects (Basset & Lamarre, 2019). 85 Climate change may generate similar responses among species with similar attributes (species traits), but not 86 necessarily sharing a common phylogeny. Thus, interpreting species response to climate change may be easier 87 when considering functional groups, characterized by species traits, than a more traditional species-specific 88 approach (Violle et al., 2007; Pau et al., 2011). In this study, we seek to delineate functional groups for a local 89 assemblage of tropical insects with the subsequent aim of forecasting their population dynamics. 90 91 Functional traits are defined as measurable characteristics associated with species fitness and ecological function 92 (Wong et al., 2019). This represents a powerful approach for plants (e.g., Körner, 1994) and vertebrates (e.g., 93 Luck et al., 2012). For invertebrates, the use of functional groups is well established in marine and aquatic 94 communities (Usseglio-Polatera et al., 2000) and in soil assemblages (Pey et al., 2014), but the concept is 95 relatively new for other terrestrial invertebrates (Májeková et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2019). For insects the 96 concept of functional groups is particularly popular for taxa whose ecology is relatively well documented, such 97 as butterflies (Eskildsen et al., 2015), some moths (Slade et al., 2013), ants (Parr et al., 2017), and beetles such 98 as carabids (Pakeman et al., 2014) or dung beetles (Audino et al., 2014). However, since the ecology of tropical 99 insects is not as well-known when compared to temperate taxa, discerning functional groups of tropical insects 100 is often challenging (Basset et al., 2017). Many species traits may be missing for tropical species thus limiting

101 the delineation of functional groups or estimation of functional diversity (Májeková *et al.*, 2016). Another

102 challenge is the high occurrence of cryptic species in tropical assemblages, which may complicate analyses as

103 many traits may not be available for these species (Hebert *et al.*, 2004). In the tropics, dung beetles

104 (Scarabaeinae and related taxa) represent good examples of well-studied insect assemblages using the concept

105 of functional groups (e.g., Slade et al., 2007; Audino et al., 2014; Gagic et al., 2015; Raine et al., 2018). In

106 comparison, their close relatives, the Dynastinae, have been comparatively far less studied. Here, we examine

107 the functional groups of Dynastinae within a tropical country, Panama. To the best of our knowledge, this

108 represents the first attempt towards a functional classification of rhinoceros beetles.

109

110 Rhinoceros beetles (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Dynastinae) include ca. 800 species in the Neotropics (Ratcliffe, 111 2003). The taxonomy of the subfamily is reasonably well-known, and many species are rather popular as adults 112 are sizeable and bear cephalic horns. These characteristics make them ideal subjects for ecological and 113 conservation studies. Adults of nearly all species are nocturnal or crepuscular, and most are readily attracted to 114 lights at night, further making them ideal target taxa for long-term monitoring. Larvae are primarily 115 saprophagous or phytophagous and live in the soil or in decaying logs where they are crucial in nutrient cycling 116 by fragmenting large amounts of wood (Ratcliffe & Cave, 2008). Other species may be plague of crops, at the 117 larval or adult stage (Moore & Jameson, 2013). Adults of the species-rich genus Cyclocephala are floral visitors 118 of some plant families in the core eudicots (such as Araceae and Annonaceae) and can have a destructive impact 119 by damaging flowers or a beneficial impact by providing pollination (Moore & Jameson, 2013; Moore et al., 120 2018). Some of these relationships are mutualistic, where the visitor in the floral cavity in exchange of 121 pollination services is rewarded with mating site, food, and floral heat, allowing beetles to remain active during 122 longer periods of time and pre-flight warm-up (Seymour et al., 2009). Since floral heat appears to be an 123 important reward, increasing ambient temperatures due to global warming may disrupt visiting patterns of these 124 beetles, thus preventing the effective pollination of associated plant species (Seymour et al., 2009). 125 126 The Forest Global Earth Observatory (ForestGEO) is a network of 72 permanent vegetation plots (mostly in the 127 tropics) and dedicated mainly to monitor forest dynamics over time (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2015). In 2009,

128 the ForestGEO Arthropod Initiative started long-term monitoring of selected insect taxa, including Dynastinae,

129 at the ForestGEO site of Barro Colorado Island (BCI) in Panama (Lamarre *et al.*, 2020). This program aims at

130	detecting long-term changes in the abundance and composition of focal assemblages representing a variety of						
131	phyloge	enies and life histories.					
132							
133	In this o	contribution we take advantage of (a) an exceptional taxonomic and ecological coverage of all					
134	Dynastinae species in Panama (Ratcliffe, 2003), a compilation rarely equaled for any insect group in the tropics;						
135	and (b)	ten years of monitoring data for BCI Dynastinae to delineate and discuss functional groups of this taxon					
136	in Panama and on BCI. This represents the first step of an analytical approach analyzing the long-term						
137	population dynamics of Dynastinae on BCI, summarizing the information either by clades and/or functional						
138	groups	(Eskildsen et al., 2015; Basset et al, 2017). Here, our sets of specific questions are mostly					
139	methodological (1-4 below), but also have ecological implications (5):						
140							
141	1.	Which of the species traits are most important (and easy to measure or estimate) to explain the					
142		delineation of functional groups in Panamanian Dynastinae? Which traits should be captured if limited					
143		time and funding are available?					
144	2.	Once traits are selected, what is the influence of missing traits in the species trait matrix for the					
145		Dynastinae assemblage in Panama? In particular, is the delineation of functional groups very different					
146		when considering many species with many missing traits or a few species with all traits well					
147		documented?					
148	3.	Does the occurrence of cryptic or unidentified species have any impact on the delineation of functional					
149		groups locally (Barro Colorado Island) or can we safely ignore these species for this purpose?					
150	4.	Are functional groups relatively independent from phylogeny? Could we use phylogeny as a surrogate					
151		for functional groups? Are species traits measured phylogenetically conserved? If yes, could these traits					
152		help in refining matrices of species traits?					
153	5.	Which functional groups may be missing or underrepresented when we compare Dynastinae					
154		assemblages between the whole country of Panama and a local subset of this regional dataset, such as					
155		BCI? Can we explain the possible deficit of functionality at the local scale?					
156							
157	Materi	al and methods					
158							

159 *Study site and acquisition of data* 

7

161 Local Dynastinae assemblages were surveyed on Barro Colorado Island (9.15°N, 79.85°W; 120-160 m asl) in Panama, which receives an average annual rainfall of 2,662 mm, with an annual average daily maximum and 162 minimum air temperatures of 31.0 °C and 23.6 °C, respectively (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2015). The 1,542 ha 163 164 island is covered with lowland tropical forest and was created around 1910, when the Chagres River was 165 dammed to fill the Panama Canal. Dynastinae were collected within and near the 50 ha ForestGEO plot, which is thoroughly described in Anderson-Teixeira et al. (2015). Compilation of species for the whole country of 166 167 Panama was obtained from Ratcliffe (2003; 37,716 specimens recorded). To compile species occurring on BCI 168 we considered again Ratcliffe (2003), with additions from the database Symbiota (Symbiota, 2021; 444 records 169 for BCI) and the data from the ForestGEO Arthropod Initiative (3.231 records for BCI). Most specimens (89%) 170 collected on BCI by the ForestGEO Arthropod Initiative were obtained at 10W black light traps during 2009-171 2018 (Appendices S1, S2), as nearly all adult Dynastinae are nocturnal (Ratcliffe, 2003). The rest of specimens 172 were manually collected on BCI in various situations. 173 174 Specimens were identified with keys provided by Ratcliffe (2003). Additionally, DNA Cytochrome c oxidase 175 subunit I (COI, "DNA barcode") sequences were obtained from ForestGEO specimens collected in Panama and

176 INBio specimens collected in Costa Rica by AS and colleagues. Specimens were sequenced at the Biodiversity

177 Institute of Ontario, University of Guelph, using methods described in Wilson (2012). In total, we obtained 209

178 sequences from 292 Panamanian specimens, and 459 sequences from 526 Costa Rican specimens, which were

179 deposited in project BCIDY and dataset DS-CRPADYNA of the database of the Barcode of Life Data System

180 (http://www.barcodinglife.org/index.php). Molecular data were used to confirm identifications based on

181 morphology and to examine the possible occurrence of cryptic species. All species delineated by molecular data

182 were referred to by their Barcode Index Number (BIN), which can be used as a proxy taxonomic unit

183 (Ratnasingham & Hebert, 2013). Morphospecies not yet formally described (notably in Ratcliffe, 2003, 2011)

184 but with distinct BINs are termed "cryptic" species, even if in some cases they can be distinguished

185 morphologically from other species. For sake of brevity, we extend the definition of "cryptic species" in this

186 study to all morphospecies that do not have a proper Latin binomial (i.e., morphospecies that could not be

187 identified using Ratcliffe, 2003, 2011 and lacking sequences). Specimens collected on BCI were vouchered in

188 the collection of the ForestGEO Arthropod Initiative at the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute in Panama.

190 Species traits

191



207

208 We followed the rationale of Petchey and Gaston (2006) and Villéger et al. (2008) who argue that species traits 209 should be selected a priori for the delineation of functional groups. The need to describe each function or 210 ecological preference should lead the selection of the traits, while avoiding redundancy between traits (i.e., 211 trivial correlations). If traits are carefully selected, then any correlation between traits in the species-trait matrix 212 may be considered a relevant aspect of species distribution in functional trait space (Villéger et al., 2008). Thus, 213 we selected traits so that relevant ecological aspects were represented and trivial correlations were avoided. We 214 retained at least one variable in each of our six trait categories, with different rationale for initial selection 215 (Table 1). Further, trait distributions were visually checked, and highly positively skewed variables were  $\log_{10^{-1}}$ 216 transformed to reduce the leverage of outliers, following the recommendation of Májeková et al. (2016) (Table 217 1). Then, the relationships between all variables were explored using a matrix of Pearson correlations 218 (categorical variables were converted to dummy variables to enable this comparison). We removed variables

either if the variable was difficult to measure and/or when it was highly correlated (r > 0.7) with other variables.
The final selection of traits is further explained in Appendix S3.

221

## 222 Delineation of functional groups

223

224 We considered different matrices of species-traits, as indicated below (methods to answer Questions 1-5). For 225 each matrix, we first calculated a species dissimilarity matrix using the Gower metric, which handles a mixture 226 of numeric and categorical traits (Gower 1971). Missing values did not require any special treatment as 227 distances were calculated based on available trait values in each species pair. Variables were weighted to 228 balance their influence on the dissimilarity matrix, accounting for different distributions, using an iterative 229 approach (Pavoine et al., 2009; de Bello et al., 2021). Resulting weights, calculated with all Panamanian 230 species, are indicated in Table S1, and were used with all matrices. 231 232 Then, hierarchical agglomerative clustering using Ward's method (function agnes; Murtagh & Legendre, 2014) 233 was performed on the species dissimilarity matrix. The functional tree obtained was pruned to form distinct 234 clusters according to three methods: (a) the Kelley-Gardner-Sutcliffe penalty (lower penalties are better; Kelley

*et al.*, 1996); (b) plots of silhouette width (larger silhouette widths are better; Rousseeuw, 1987); and (c) matrix

236 (Mantel) correlations between the distance matrix and binary matrices representing partitions (higher

237 correlations are better; Borcard et al., 2011). The same number of clusters identified as optimal for the complete

dataset was used also in subsequent functional trees. Functional groups were interpreted by (1) graphical

summary of trait values in each group; (2) an ordination diagram of a distance-based redundancy analysis

240 (dbRDA) to visualize the main axes of trait variation and the distinction of the functional groups in the

241 multivariate space; and (3) species assignment to the clusters. A multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA)

was also computed to get an overall  $r^2$  characterizing how much trait variation was captured by the functional

groups (permutation test with 999 permutations). All analyses were carried out in R 3.6.2 (R Core Team, 2020),

using the packages 'cluster' (Maechler et al., 2019), 'maptree' (White and Gramacy, 2012), 'vegan' (Oksanen et

245 *al.*, 2020) and 'corrplot' (Wei and Simko, 2017).

246 *Question 1: importance of traits* 

To answer this question, we used the full matrix of species present in Panama (n=147) with the 11 traits ultimately selected (see results). To evaluate the importance of each trait we considered (1) the graphical summary of trait values in each group and Kruskal-Wallis tests and Chi-square test testing for differences in numerical and categorical variables, respectively, among groups; and (2) the squared correlation coefficients between trait values and constrained axes of the redundancy analysis (dbRDA), as obtained with the function *envfit* of 'vegan' (Oksanen *et al.*, 2020).

254

255 Question 2: missing trait values

256

257 We first plotted a histogram of the number of available trait values per species (Fig. S1). Out of the 11 traits 258 eventually selected (see results), less than half of the Panamanian species (61 species) had between 8 and 11 259 trait values measured (11 species with 11 trait values measured, 7.5% of the total number of species), whereas 260 most species had only 3 to 7 trait values measured. We selected species that had more trait values available than 261 the mode of the distribution in Fig. S1 (7 trait values) as our first matrix. We compared the delineation of 262 functional groups obtained with this matrix (n=61, 85.0% of available values) with a second matrix represented 263 by all species occurring in Panama (n=147, 68.9% of available values). This comparison tested whether results 264 obtained with data including missing values were similar to results obtained with fewer but "cleaner" data.

265

266 The resulting dendrograms obtained with the two matrices were compared using several methods. (1) Visually, 267 we drew tanglegrams with the R package 'dendextend' (Galili, 2015). (2) With the same R package, we 268 calculated the cophenetic correlation between the two hierarchical classifications (trees). This index measures 269 how good the pairwise distances are preserved between dendrograms and compares complete trees with their 270 hierarchy and fine structure. The value can range between -1 to 1. Negative values indicate negative association 271 of trees (-1 is absolute mismatch), 0 no association (random match) and positive values indicate positive 272 association (+1 is perfect match; Galili, 2015). (3) We also calculated Cramer's V (Cramer, 1946) to compare 273 the final classification of species. Unlike cophenetic correlation, Cramer's V compares only the resulting 274 functional groups with no hierarchy, using contingency table of two factors. It is a measure of association 275 between two nominal variables, ranging between 0 and +1. A Cramer's V in the range of [0, 0.3] is considered 276 as weak, [0.3,0.7] as medium and > 0.7 as strong (Signorell *et al.*, 2021). For these various comparisons, trees or 277 matrices were pruned to include only species present in both trees, thus testing the congruence between the

283 *Question 3: influence of cryptic species* 

284

We compared the following species-traits matrices: (1) all species present on BCI (n=56) and (2) all species present on BCI excluding cryptic species (listed in Appendix S2; n=46). To compare the congruence between the resulting classifications, we used the same methods as described in Question 2.

288

289 *Question 4: comparison of functional groups and phylogeny* 

290

291 Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I (COI) sequences from the BOLD dataset DS-CRPADYNA were manually 292 inspected to include only specimens with BIN. To increase coverage and resolution of our phylogeny, we 293 included sequences from Costa Rican species, available in the GenBank database. A phylogenetic tree was built 294 with these data as explained in Appendix S1. To evaluate whether some species traits were phylogenetically 295 conserved, the phylogeny was pruned to include only Panamanian species with available traits (104 species), 296 using the *match.phylo.comm* command implemented in the 'picante' package in R (Kembel et al., 2010). We 297 then used the 'phylosignal' R package (Keck et al., 2016) to test for phylogenetic signal on continuous traits 298 based on a variety of indices within the phyloSignal function of the same package (such as Abouheif's Cmean and 299 Pagel's  $\lambda$ ), as well as calculated on randomly simulated values along the phylogeny and trait simulation under a 300 Brownian motion model of evolution. Although Pagel's  $\lambda$  is based on autocorrelation, sensitivity analyses have 301 suggested both these indices to be appropriate methods for testing for phylogenetic signal (Münkenmüller et al., 302 2012). We calculated the Local Indicator of Phylogenetic Association (LIPA; based on local Moran's I) to 303 identify regions of the phylogeny where the signal was located. To test for phylogenetic clustering in categorical 304 traits, we calculated the standardized effect size of mean pairwise phylogenetic distance and the standardized 305 effect size of the mean nearest taxon based on cophenetic distance matrices using the functions ses.mpd and 306 ses.mntd, respectively, implemented in the R package 'picante' (Kembel et al. 2010; see Appendix S1). 307 Eventually, we compared the functional dendrogram based on the trait matrix of all Panamanian species to the

308	phylogenetic tree of Panamanian species, using a tanglegram and cophenetic correlation. Since one functional
309	group was recognized as being artificial (see results), we drew the tanglegram with and without the artificial
310	group.
311	
312	Question 5: functional groups in Panama and BCI
313	
314	Using the methods indicated in Question 2, we contrasted the matrix species-traits including all species present
315	in Panama (n=147) with that including all species present on BCI (n=56). Eventually, to appreciate the relative
316	importance of issues encapsulated in Questions 2-5 for delineating functional groups, we rank the four
317	tanglegram pairs according to their similarity.
318	
319	Results
320	
321	Our compilation indicated that at least 147 species are present in Panama and 56 species on BCI (38.1% of the
322	Panamanian fauna). Out of the BCI species, 32 were collected by light traps of the ForestGEO Arthropod
323	Initiative during the period 2009-2018. Appendix S2 details all species, along with their species traits. This
324	includes 133 and 46 species with identification at the species level in Panama and on BCI, respectively. We
325	recorded 14 cryptic species, including 10 with BINs. In total we obtained 105 BINs from Panama, representing
326	71.4% of the Panamanian fauna and 78.5% of the local fauna on BCI. The most common species in Panama as
327	recorded by Ratcliffe (2003) were Cyclocephala lunata, Aspidolea singularis and C. melanocephala, whereas
328	on BCI light trap records indicated that C. fulgurata, C. brevis and C. carbonaria were most common.
329	
330	Selection of species traits
331	
332	Out of the 31 traits that we initially considered, 11 traits were retained for final analyses (Fig. S2). The rationale
333	for excluding or retaining each variable is detailed in Appendix S3. The variables retained were: elevational
334	range, spatial aggregation and number of provinces in the category spatial distribution; seasonal aggregation in
335	the category temporal distribution; host-plant specialization at the family level and whether associated with
336	decaying wood in the category adult ecology; larval food in the category larval ecology; body length (from
337	Ratcliffe, 2003), variation in body surface and wing loading in the category morphometry; and variation in

lightness in the category lightness (Table 1). The correlation matrices between all variables and variablesretained for the analyses are presented in Fig. S2.

340

341 Importance of traits and functional groups

342

343 When considering all Panamanian species, our analyses indicated that the optimal number of clusters was five 344 (Fig. S3). As often happens, analyses may select a low number of clusters as being optimal (k < 5), but this 345 trivial solution is not interesting in ecological terms (Borcard et al., 2011). Conversely, a high number of 346 clusters (k > 13) is also difficult to interpret. The Kelley–Gardner–Sutcliffe penalty and Mantel correlations 347 designated five as the optimal cluster number. The silhouette plots also suggested that this was a good solution 348 when low numbers of clusters were dismissed (Fig. S3). The assignment of species to functional groups is 349 detailed in Appendix 2 and the overall dendrogram for all Panamanian species is drawn in Fig. 1. To reveal 350 which traits underlie this classification (Question 1), the distributions of traits per functional groups are 351 indicated in Fig. 2 and 3. All selected traits were significantly different among functional groups (Kruskal-352 Wallis or Chi-square tests, usually P < 0.001), apart from wing loading and CV of lightness (Fig. 2). This 353 suggest that all but the last two traits are important for the delineation of functional groups. The MANOVA captured 53.1% of the variation in the original matrix of selected traits (adjusted  $r^2 = 0.518$ ). The traits with the 354 355 highest squared correlation coefficients with dbRDA axes were, for the whole model: number of provinces, 356 body length, seasonal aggregation and larval food; for Axis 1: body length, larval food, adult presence in decaying wood; for Axis 2: number of provinces; for Axis 3: seasonal aggregation; and for Axis 4: adult 357 358 presence in decaying wood and larval food (Fig. 3, Table S2).

359

360 These results suggest the following interpretation of Functional Groups 1-5 (Figs 2, 3). Functional Group 1 361 includes mostly small species with a wide distribution (number of provinces), often aggregated seasonally and 362 spatially with a mixed diet as larval food. They recruit mostly from Cyclocephalini (genus Cyclocephala) and 363 Pentodontini. Group 2 also often includes small species of Cyclocephala, often widely distributed (number of 364 provinces, elevational range) with a high wing loading and mixed diet, but with low seasonal aggregation. The 365 larval habitat for most species in Groups 1 and 2 is soil. Group 3 include large species with adults present in 366 decaying wood, often with larvae feeding on rotting wood, not so widely distributed (number of provinces) and 367 often recruiting from Phileurini. Group 4 includes large species recruiting form tribes Dynastini, Oryctini and

368 Phileurini, with many species feeding of rotting wood or dead organic debris. Group 5 include medium-sized 369 species from a variety of tribes but often from Cyclocephalini, often with high seasonal aggregation and high 370 endemicity (number of provinces = 1). The proportion of species with low number of traits measured is very 371 high in this group (83.3%, compared to 43-53% in the other groups) and 11 out of 14 cryptic species belong to 372 this group. Hence Group 5 may combine mainly species that are ecologically poorly known. The percentages of 373 species included in each group to the total number of species in Panama were 29.9%, 14.3%, 11.6%, 11.6% and 374 32.7% for Groups 1-5, respectively. Adult pollinators (only genera Cyclocephala and Aspidolea; 68 confirmed 375 species) recruited from functional groups 1 (44.1% of species within that group), 2 (20.6%) and 5 (35.3%; 376 Appendix S2). 377 378 Questions 2-5: missing traits, cryptic species, relation with phylogeny and comparison Panama-BCI

379

380 The four tanglegram pairs relevant to Questions 2-5 are drawn in Fig. 4. Although perfect match did not occur, 381 correlations between tanglegram pairs were reasonably strong, except for the comparison involving functional 382 groups and phylogenetic tree (Fig. 4c; in this case only the cophenetic correlation could be calculated). The 383 strongest correlations between dendrogram pairs in terms of correlation structure and congruence of the 384 classification of species could be ranked as follows: comparison Panamanian species vs. BCI species (r 385 cophenetic / Cramer = 0.80 / (0.70) > comparison Panamanian species vs. species with most traits ( $0.62 / (0.75) \approx$ 386 comparison BCI species vs. BCI species without cryptic species (0.57 / 0.80) > comparison functional groups all 387 Panamanian species vs. their phylogenetic tree (0.40 / NA). Regarding the later comparison, removing the 388 artificial functional Group 5 improved the cophenetic correlation between functional groups and phylogeny 389 (compare Fig. 4c, without Group 5, with Fig. S4, including Group 5, which has a cophenetic correlation of 390 0.22).

391

392 The distribution of the number of species with most traits measured within functional groups was not

significantly different from the original distribution of all Panamanian species within functional groups (G test,

G = 9.32, P = 0.053). The only slight difference was the different proportion of species in Group 5, as indicated

395 previously. On BCI, the distribution of the number of species within functional groups that were not cryptic was

not significantly different from that of all BCI species (G = 2.71, P = 0.609).

398	The COI phylogeny is indicated in Fig. 5 and a pruned phylogeny displaying only Panamanian species for
399	which traits were measured is presented in Fig. S5. Phylogenetic signal was present in some of the analyzed
400	traits. Body length and variation in body surface showed significant phylogenetic signal when calculated with
401	Abouheif's $C_{mean}$ and Pagel's $\lambda$ , while spatial aggregation showed significant signal when calculated with
402	Abouheif's C <sub>mean</sub> (Tables S3, S4). The LIPA analysis, based on local Moran's <i>I</i> , indicated the location of the
403	signal along the phylogeny (Fig. S6). Phylogenetic signals were present for a few categorical variables and their
404	states, notably whether the larva lives in soil or insect nests, whether it feeds on roots or has a mixed regime,
405	and whether the adult is pollinator or is absent in decaying wood (Table S4).
406	
407	The distribution of the number of species within functional groups was not significantly different when
408	comparing all Panamanian species with BCI species ( $G = 1.57$ , $P = 0.814$ ), although there was a relatively low
409	proportion of species included in functional Group 4 (7.1%) on BCI when compared with the original
410	distribution of all Panamanian species (11.6%). The proportion of pollinator species to the total number of
411	species was also similar on BCI to that for the whole country of Panama (Fisher exact test, $P = 0.422$ ).
412	
413	Discussion
414	
415	We determined 31 traits for the 147 species of Dynastinae known to occur in Panama. Local species richness on

416 BCI, as collected with light traps (32 species), appears similar to local faunas surveyed with the same method in

417 the Neotropics (e.g., Andreazze, 2001; García-López et al., 2012). However, we note a high local alpha

418 diversity when comparing the 1,500 ha BCI to the whole country of Panama (38% of Panamanian species

419 present on BCI). High local diversity has been noted for various insect groups in the tropics, such as butterflies

420 (33%, Borneo, Orr & Haeuser, 1996; 40%, Panama, Basset et al., 2015), Flatidae (22%, Papua New Guinea,

421 Novotny & Missa, 2000), or ants (48%, Costa Rica, Longino *et al.*, 2002).

422

After careful selection, we used 11 traits to delineate five functional groups among the Panamanian species. The important traits that influenced the delineation of these groups were number of provinces, body length, seasonal aggregation, larval food and whether the adult may be present in decaying wood. The five functional groups were interpreted as indicated in the results section (section "Importance of traits and functional groups"). We can tentatively link the four first groups to the following ecosystem services: **Group 1**: mostly saprophagous

- 428 (more rarely rhizophagous) in soil as larvae (soil formation), often pollinators as adults; Group 2: mostly
  429 saprophagous in soil as larvae (soil formation); Group 3: mostly saproxylic; Group 4: decomposers of a variety
- 430 of substrates. The last group may represent more a convenient category than a formal functional group and we
- 431 will refrain giving much weight to the ecological interpretation of Group 5.
- 432

433 Only a handful of insect groups are sufficiently well known in Panama so that reasonable matrices of species-434 traits can be collated, for example Odonata (Donnelly, 1992), Tettigoniidae (Nickle, 1992), Passalidae 435 (Schuster, 2006), Euglossini (Roubik & Hanson, 2004), Formicidae (Antweb, 2021) and Dynastinae (Ratcliffe, 436 2003), the subject of this study. Despite the information available on Dynastinae in Panama (Ratcliffe, 2003), 437 the matrix of 11 selected traits included 31% of missing cells and only 7.5% of Panamanian species had all 11 438 trait values. Thus, a relevant completely filled trait matrix does not exist and it is difficult to discuss cogently the 439 effect of missing traits. Imputation of traits to fill the matrix is possible (Penone et al., 2014), but with unknown 440 effects on the delineation of functional groups in the absence of a reference matrix (but see below the discussion 441 on the relation between functional groups and phylogeny). Measuring intra-specific trait variation (e.g., Didham 442 et al. 2016; Raine et al., 2018) represented another difficulty in our study, since many species were rare (a third 443 of species collected at light on BCI included only 5 specimens or less). We deliberately did not include local 444 abundance as trait in our input matrices because we want to summarize elsewhere patterns of Dynastinae 445 population dynamics with specific reference to functional groups and therefore want to avoid circular reasoning. 446 This is a distinct situation than comparing the distribution of functional groups among locations. In this case, it 447 is advisable to include abundance as weight for functional groups (Májeková et al., 2016).

448

449 To answer Question 1, our analyses suggest that variables accounting for geographical distribution (number of 450 Panamanian provinces), body length, seasonal aggregation, larval food and whether the adult may be 451 encountered in decaying wood may represent traits (or ecological preferences) that are important to assign 452 species of Panamanian Dynastinae into functional groups. This is supported by (a) Kruskall-Wallis tests of traits 453 among functional groups; (b) squared correlation coefficients between trait values and constrained axes of the 454 redundancy analysis; and (c) the relatively high proportion of variation in the raw species-trait matrix (> 50%) 455 which is explained by the functional groups delineated by these traits. The first three variables are easy to 456 determine whereas the last two are often missing for many species. Geographical distribution (regional 457 occupancy) represents a surrogate for ecological valence, dispersal ability and commonness (Gaston, 2011). The 458 number of provinces in which the species was collected proved to be an interesting variable, relevant to country-459 level. A larger and finer scale, encompassing the Neotropics, would be even more informative, but is currently 460 unavailable for Dynastinae, because of poor sampling effort (Ratcliffe, 2003). Insect body size represents a 461 crucial trait, linked to dispersal ability, life span, and the amount and composition of resources used (e.g., 462 Greenleaf et al., 2007; Stevens et al., 2012; Fountain-Jones et al., 2015; Philpott et al., 2019). Therefore, it is 463 not surprising to observe that body size was important in delineating functional groups, given that there was a 464 huge variation in this trait (e.g., the largest species, Megasoma elephas, is 8.3 times larger than the smallest 465 species, Cvclocephala ovulum; Appendix S2). Seasonal aggregation is a surrogate for adult seasonal range and 466 seasonality, which are crucial variables affecting adult insect populations in the tropics (Wolda, 1992). Further, 467 many Cyclocephala species have evolved flight periods aligned with the flowering periods of their host plants 468 (Maia et al., 2010). Since most monitoring programs need seasonal and spatial replicates to assess the 469 detectability of species (Kéry & Royle, 2008), seasonal aggregation maybe easy to assess. Larval food and 470 whether the adult may be encountered in decaying wood were surprisingly not well correlated (Fig. S2) and 471 were amongst the most difficult variables to determine in this study. We note that the following traits would be 472 interesting for delineating better functional groups in Dynastinae (Fountain-Jones et al., 2015; Moretti et al., 473 2017; Brousseau et al., 2018): clutch size, life span, voltinism and thermal tolerance. However, none of these 474 variables are likely to be quantified for more than a handful of species, emphasizing the poor ecological 475 knowledge of most tropical insects.

476

477 Regarding Question 2, a comparison of dendrograms of functional groups for all Panamanian species (with 478 many missing traits) against species ecologically better known (with most traits determined) indicated that this 479 analysis could be ranked second or third in terms of similarity between all the dendrogram pairs considered. 480 Missing traits do influence the delineation of functional groups, as evidenced by the tanglegram (Fig. 4a). Still, 481 species misclassification was not as severe as in other comparisons (see below). In other words, traits from 482 ecologically well-known and widely distributed species may influence the delineation of functional groups, 483 resulting in a rather dissimilar classification from that obtained with a matrix of many species with only a few 484 traits measured. Which strategy may be best? Inclusion of many or few species? Simply arguing that data should 485 be as representative as possible of the study system, we advise to (a) include as many species as possible 486 (Májeková et al., 2016); (b) use the Gower metric, which handles missing values without the need for 487 imputations (Gower, 1971), to compute the dissimilarity matrix; and (c) identify potential artificial clusters of

488 poorly known species, such as in this study Group 5. These clusters can later be ignored or down weighted when 489 interpreting the results. A variable accounting for the level of ecological knowledge of each species may help 490 identifying artificial clusters. This variable may be qualitative or quantitative (e.g., the number of citations of the 491 species in ecological literature). Since a few traits can nevertheless be easily measured (e.g., body size) and 492 likely to be available for all species, this represents a better strategy than dismissing many species simply 493 because they do not have sufficient traits measured. For analyses considering species abundance (e.g., 494 community weighted mean) Májeková et al., (2016) suggested including species with measured traits that 495 represent at least 80% of the abundance in the community. Had we followed this rule, we would have excluded 496 more than 75% of all Panamanian species from our analyses (see variable Abundance in Appendix S2). 497

498 With reference to Question 3, cryptic species represented 25% of all known BCI species. If we apply the same 499 ratio to the whole of the Panamanian fauna, then the regional pool size should be at least 184 cryptic and non-500 cryptic Dynastinae species for Panama. This is without accounting further collecting effort in Panama that 501 doubtlessly may increase the number of species. The high proportion of cryptic species on BCI may to some 502 extent reflect taxonomical efforts and knowledge. For example, on BCI the proportion of cryptic species of 503 butterflies to the local pool of resident species is much lower, 8.7% (Basset et al., 2015). In these conditions it is 504 not surprising to observe that cryptic species do influence the delineation of functional groups in Dynastinae, as 505 evidenced by differences between the two BCI dendrograms (Fig. 4b). Since cryptic species are ecologically 506 poorly known (no binomial name to access the ecological literature), most in this study (79%) were assigned to 507 Group 5. Thus, in line with our preceding recommendation, we advise including cryptic species for the 508 delineation of functional groups, even if many of them may eventually be classified into an artificial cluster. 509

Concerning Question 4, we first note that although the COI phylogeny showed strongly supported internal nodes
(bootstrap values >65%), the tribal classification and monophyly of many genera was poorly reflected. As
evidenced in multi-gene (Moore *et al.* 2015) and morphology-based phylogenetic studies, the tribe
Cyclocephalini does not appear to be monophyletic, and in general, phylogenetic placement within the group
remains unclear (Clark, 2011; Breeschoten *et al.* 2013). Although our COI phylogeny indicates the inconsistent
classification of tribes and that several genera are paraphyletic, a truly robust phylogenetic reconstruction for
Dynastinae falls beyond the scope of the present study.

518 The similarities between dendrograms of functional groups and phylogeny of all Panamanian species were the 519 lowest of the four tanglegrams that we evaluated. This suggests generally a low correspondence between 520 functional groups and phylogeny, and the difficulty to use phylogeny as a cheap surrogate for functional groups. 521 A few traits were phylogenetically conserved, so that imputation of variables could be guided by phylogeny to 522 improve the species-traits matrix. However, this concerned mostly body length (Gaston, 2011) and variation in 523 body surface, that can be easily measured. Still, for different datasets and groups, testing for trait conservatism 524 and taxonomical imputation may be advisable. For instance, larval habitat preferences appear to be 525 phylogenetically clustered (Table S3) so focusing on a wider range of habitat types captures phylogenetic 526 diversity, as opposed to pollinating adults which are closely related to each other. Similarly, the analysis of local 527 phylogenetic association (LIPA; Fig. S6) suggests a strong signal of body length along the entire phylogeny 528 implying a conserved trait for the whole group, while variation in body surface and spatial aggregation appear to 529 be stronger at specific nodes denoting evolutionary changes specifically along those branches.

530

531 Eventually, relating to Question 5, our results suggest that while differences in the distribution and species 532 assignment to functional groups may exist between Panama and BCI, the overall structure of these groups was 533 conserved in this comparison of regional vs. local data, as evidenced by the relatively high correlations between 534 dendrograms (cophenetic correlation; Fig. 4d). This suggests that functional groups may be relatively well 535 conserved at the local scale for a lowland tropical rainforest when compared to regional scale (country level). 536 We did not observe any loss of functional groups. The proportion of pollinator species to the total number of 537 species was roughly equivalent between BCI and Panama, also suggesting that the pollinating services of 538 Dynastinae may be conserved at the local scale when compared to country level, at least when considering 539 species richness. These observations suggest that for the Dynastinae in this study regional factors may be more 540 important in structuring communities than local factors (Lawton et al., 2003; Galitsky & Lawler, 2015).

541

542 Our results will be invaluable for modelling and forecasting population dynamics of Dynastinae on BCI, using 543 data from the ForestGEO Arthropod Initiative (Lamarre et al., 2020). We are now in a position to consider the 544 population dynamics of functional groups and to evaluate to which functional groups the effective 'winners or 545 losers' belong to, in face of climate change (Lamarre *et al.*, 2022). This approach can then be expanded to all 546 Panamanian and tropical Dynastinae, possibly with improved trait matrices building on our original selection.

548 In general, the ecological knowledge of tropical insects is very poor, compared to that of plants or vertebrates. 549 This prevents the inclusion of complex traits, limits the delineation of functional groups to only a few clusters 550 with rather broad features, and restricts the scope and interest of subsequent analyses. For example, compare this 551 study (147 species, 5 functional groups) to a bird study in the U.S.A., where 74 species were classified into 20 552 functional groups (Galitsky & Lawler, 2015). Collating species traits for tropical insects is time-consuming and 553 frustrating. In our analyses we used only a third of all traits originally measured. The time and effort used for 554 measuring traits that were eventually not selected could be used instead for documenting well the traits selected 555 a priori to avoid redundancy (Villéger et al., 2008). Alternatively, one may consider investing into field 556 observations or experiments to determine for a few species important but distinct traits that are more difficult to 557 acquire (e.g., clutch size, life span, voltinism and thermal tolerance, as discussed previously). In this context, the 558 inclusion of cryptic species in the analyses is important, so care should be taken to sequence (DNA barcode) 559 representative specimens to recognize these species. This information can then be used to build multigene 560 phylogenies that may help with careful taxonomic imputation (Penone et al., 2014) to complete the species-traits 561 matrices. This may be an arduous but necessary process to improve the ecological knowledge of tropical insects 562 and, ultimately, their conservation.

563

## 564 Acknowledgements

565

566 This article is dedicated to a giant of entomology, the late Professor Simon R. Leather. We thank ForestGEO 567 and the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute for logistical support. This study was supported by ForestGEO, 568 the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR 19-15645Y to GPAL and 20-31295S to YB) and the Hollister-Smith 569 Endowment Program (scholarship to GV). Grants from the Smithsonian Institution Barcoding Opportunity 570 FY013, FY014, FY018 and FY020 (to YB) and in-kind help from the Canadian Centre for DNA Barcoding 571 allowed to sequence beetle specimens. YB and HB were supported by the Sistema Nacional de Investigación, 572 SENACYT, Panama. STS acknowledges departmental support from Harper Adams University. Matthew R. 573 Moore helped refining the Dynastinae phylogeny. 574

575 **Conflict of interest** 

576

577 The authors declare no conflicts of interest in relation to this work.

578	
579	Authors' contributions
580	YB, HB and GPAL conceived the study; HB, JAR, FR, RB, YL identified species; GV, HB, RC, PS, AS
581	contributed data; YB, PB, DSV, STS analyzed the data; YB wrote the paper with substantial collaboration from
582	all authors.
583	
584	DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT
585	
586	Data available in article supplementary material.
587	
588	Supplementary Information
589	
590	Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the
591	article.
592	
593	Appendix S1. Supplementary methods.
594	
595	Appendix S2. List of species of Dynastinae reported from Panama and Barro Colorado Island and their species
596	traits.
597	
598	Appendix S3. Details about the final selection of species traits.
599	
600	Table S1. Weights used to downweigh the selected traits in Gower similarity matrices.
601	
602	Table S2. Goodness of fit between traits and constrained axes of the dbRDA.
603	Table S3. Phylogenetic signal for continuous traits calculated with various indices.
604	Table S4. Standardized effect sizes of mpd and mntd calculated from a phylogenetic distance matrix for
605	categorical traits.
606	Fig. S1. Distribution of the number of traits recorded for each of the 147 Panamanian species of Dynastinae.

- 608 Fig. S2. Correlation matrix of species trait variables. 609 610 Fig. S3. Selection of the number of clusters for all Panamanian species. 611 612 Fig. S4. Tanglegram comparing functional groups (including Group 5) vs. phylogenetic tree for Panamanian 613 species. 614 615 Fig. S5. Pruned Dynastinae phylogeny to include only Panamanian species, which have available traits. 616 617 Fig. S6. Local indicator of phylogenetic association (LIPA) based on Moran's I. 618 619 References 620 621 AntWeb (2021) AntWeb. Version 8.63.2. <a href="https://www.antweb.org">https://www.antweb.org</a> 2 August 2021. 622 Audino, L.D., Louzada, J. & Comita, L. (2014) Dung beetles as indicators of tropical forest restoration success: 623 Is it possible to recover species and functional diversity? Biological Conservation, 169, 248-257. 624 Anderson-Teixeira K.J., Davies S.J., Bennett A.C., ... & Zimmermann, J. (2015) CTFS-ForestGEO: a 625 worldwide network monitoring forests in an era of global change. Global Change Biology, 21, 528-549. 626 Andreazze, R. (2001). Dinastíneos (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae, Dynastinae) do Parque Nacional do Jaú, 627 Amazonas, Brasil. Acta Amazonica, 31, 431-431. 628 Barbaro, L. & Van Halder, I. (2009) Linking bird, carabid beetle and butterfly life-history traits to habitat 629 fragmentation in mosaic landscapes. Ecography, 32, 321-333. 630 Basset, Y., Barrios, H., Segar, S., ... & Ramirez, J.A. (2015) The butterflies of Barro Colorado Island, Panama: local extinction since the 1930s. PLoS ONE, 10, e0136623. 631 Basset, Y. & Lamarre, G.P.A. (2019) Toward a world that values insects. Science, 364, 1230-1231. 632
- 633 Basset, Y., Lamarre, G., Ratz, T., ... & Barrios, H. (2017) The Saturniidae of Barro Colorado Island, Panama: A
- 634 model taxon for studying the long-term effects of climate change? Ecology and Evolution, 7, 1-14.

- de Bello, F., Botta-Dukát, Z., Lepš, J. & Fibich, P. (2021) Towards a more balanced combination of multiple
- traits when computing functional differences between species. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 12, 443448.
- 638 Borcard, D., Gillet, F. & Legendre, P. (2011) Numerical Ecology with R. Springer, New York.
- 639 Breeschoten, T., Clark, D.R. & Schilthuizen, M. (2013) Evolutionary patterns of asymmetric genitalia in the
- beetle tribe Cyclocephalini (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Dynastinae). *Conytributions to Zoology*, 82, 95 –
  106.
- Brousseau, P.M., Gravel, D., & Handa, I.T. (2018) On the development of a predictive functional trait approach
  for studying terrestrial arthropods. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 87, 1209-1220.
- 644 Clark, D.R. (2011) Phylogenetic analysis of the scarab beetle tribe Cyclocephalini (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae:
- 645 *Dynastinae) based on adult morphological characters.* MSc Thesis, Department of Biological Sciences,
- 646 Wichita State University, Wichita, 68 pp.
- 647 Cramer, H. (1946) Mathematical Methods of Statistics. Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- 648 Deutsch C.A., Tewksbury J.J., Huey R.B., Sheldon K.S., Ghalambor C.K., Haak D.C. & Martin P. R. (2008)
- 649 Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy*650 *of Sciences*, **105**, 6668-6672.
- 651 Didham, R.K., Leather, S.R., & Basset, Y. (2016) Circle the bandwagons challenges mount against the
- theoretical foundations of applied functional trait and ecosystem service research. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, 9, 1-3.
- 654 Donnelly, T.W. (1992) The Odonata of Central Panama and their position in the neotropical odonate fauna, with
- a checklist, and descriptions of new species. *The Insects of Mesoamerica* (ed. by Quintero, D. & Aiello, A.),
- pp. 52-90, Oxford University Press. New York.
- 657 Eskildsen, A., Carvalheiro, L.G., Kissling, W.D., Biesmeijer, J.C., Schweiger, O. & Høye, T.T. (2015)
- Ecological specialization matters: long-term trends in butterfly species richness and assemblage
- 659 composition depend on multiple functional traits. *Diversity and Distributions*, **21**, 792-802.
- 660 Fountain-Jones, N.M., Baker, S.C., & Jordan, G.J. (2015) Moving beyond the guild concept: developing a
- practical functional trait framework for terrestrial beetles. *Ecological Entomology*, **40**, 1-13.
- 662 Gagic, V., Bartomeus, I., Jonsson, T., ... & Tscharntke, T. (2015) Functional identity and diversity of animals
- 663 predict ecosystem functioning better than species-based indices. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
- 664 *Biological Sciences*, **282**, 20142620.

- 665 Galili, T. (2015) dendextend: an R package for visualizing, adjusting and comparing trees of hierarchical
- 666 clustering. *Bioinformatics*, **31**, 3718-3720.
- Galitsky, C. & Lawler, J.J. (2015) Relative influence of local and landscape factors on bird communities vary by
  species and functional group. *Landscape Ecology*, **30**, 287-299.
- 669 García-López, A., Micó, E. & Galante, E. (2012) From lowlands to highlands: searching for elevational patterns
- of species richness and distribution of scarab beetles in Costa Rica. *Diversity and Distributions*, 18, 543553.
- 672 Gaston, K.J. (2011) Common ecology. *Bioscience*, 61, 354-362.
- 673 Gower, J.C. (1971) A general coefficient of similarity and some of its properties. *Biometrics*, 27, 857–874.
- 674 Greenleaf, S.S., Williams, N.M., Winfree, R. & Kremen, C. (2007) Bee foraging ranges and their relationship to
  675 body size. *Oecologia*, 153, 589–596.
- Hallmann, C.A., Sorg, M., Jongejans, E., ... & Goulson, D. (2017) More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in
  total flying insect biomass in protected areas. *PloS One*, 12, e0185809.
- Hebert, P.D., Penton, E.H., Burns, J.M., Janzen, D.H. & Hallwachs, W. (2004) Ten species in one: DNA
  barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly *Astraptes fulgerator*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101, 14812-14817.
- Kaspari M., Clay N.A., Lucas J., Yanoviak S.P. & Kay A. (2015) Thermal adaptation generates a diversity of
  thermal limits in a rainforest ant community. *Global Change Biology*, 21, 1092-1102.
- Keck, F., Rimet, F., Bouchez, A. & Franc, A. (2016) Phylosignal: an R package to measure, test, and explore
  phylogenetic signal. *Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 2774 2780.
- 685 Kelley, L.A., Gardner, S.P., Sutcliffe, M.J. (1996) An automated approach for clustering an ensemble of NMR-
- derived protein structures into conformationally-related subfamilies. *Protein Engineering*, 9, 1063-1065.
- Kembel, S.W. *et al.* (2010) Picante: R tools for integrating phylogenies and ecology. *Bioinformatics*, 23, 14631464.
- Kéry, M. & Royle, J.A. (2008) Hierarchical Bayes estimation of species richness and occupancy in spatially
   replicated surveys. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 45, 589-598.
- Koh, L.P., Sodhi, N.S., & Brook, B.W. (2004) Ecological correlates of extinction proneness in tropical
  butterflies. *Conservation Biology*, 18, 1571–1578.
- 693 Körner, C. (1994) Scaling from species to vegetation: the usefulness of functional groups. *Biodiversity and*
- 694 ecosystem function (ed. by Schulze, E.D. & Mooney, H.A.), pp. 117-140. Springer, Berlin.

- 695 Lamarre, G.P.A., Fayle, T.M., Segar, S.T., Laird-Hopkins, B., Nakamura, A., Souto-Vilarós, D., Watanabe, S. &
- Basset, Y. (2020) Monitoring tropical insects in the 21st century. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 62,
  295-330.
- Lamarre, G.P.A., Pardikes, N.A., Segar, S.T., ... & Basset, Y. (2022). More winners than losers over 12 years of
  monitoring tiger moths (Erebidae: Arctiinae) on Barro Colorado Island, Panama. *Biology Letters*, 18,
- **700** 20210519.
- 701 Lawton, J.H., Lewinsohn, T.M., Compton, S.G. (1993) Patterns of diversity for the insect herbivores on
- 702 bracken. Species Diversity in Ecological Communities: Historical and Geographical Perspectives (ed. By
- 703 Ricklefs R,E. & Schluter, D.). pp. 178–84. University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- Longino, J.T., Coddington, J. & Colwell, R.K. (2002) The ant fauna of a tropical rain forest: estimating species
   richness three different ways. *Ecology*, 83, 689-702.
- Luck, G.W., Lavorel, S., McIntyre, S. & Lumb, K. (2012) Improving the application of vertebrate trait-based
   frameworks to the study of ecosystem services. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 81, 1065-1076.
- Maechler, M., Rousseeuw, P., Struyf, A., Hubert, M. & Hornik, K. (2019) cluster: Cluster Analysis Basics and
   Extensions. R package version 2.1.0.
- 710 Maia, A.C.D., Schlindwein, C., Navarro, D.M.A.F. & Gibernau, M. (2010) Pollination of Philodendron
- 711 *acutatum* (Araceae) in the Atlantic forest of northeastern Brazil: a single scarab beetle species guarantees
- high fruit set. International Journal of Plant Sciences, 171, 740-748.
- 713 Májeková, M., Paal, T., Plowman, N.S., ... & Le Bagousse-Pinguet, Y. (2016) Evaluating functional diversity:
- missing trait data and the importance of species abundance structure and data transformation. *PloS One*, **11**,
  e0149270.
- Moore, M.R. & Jameson, M.L. (2013) Floral Associations of Cyclocephaline Scarab Beetles. *Journal of Insect Science*, 13, 1–43.
- 718 Moore, M.R., Cave, R.D. & Branham, M.A. (2018) Synopsis of the cyclocephaline scarab beetles (Coleoptera,
- 719 Scarabaeidae, Dynastinae). ZooKeys, 745, 1-99.
- 720 Moretti, M., Dias, A.T., De Bello, F., ... & Berg, M.P. (2017) Handbook of protocols for standardized
- measurement of terrestrial invertebrate functional traits. *Functional Ecology*, **31**, 558-567.
- 722 Münkenmüller, T., Laverge, S. Bzeznik, B., Dray, S., Jombart, T., Schiffers, K. & Thuiller, W. (2012) How to
- measure and test phylogenetic signal. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **3**, 743 756.

- Murtagh, F. & Legendre, P. (2014) Ward's hierarchical agglomerative clustering method: which algorithms
  implement Ward's criterion? *Journal of Classification*, 31, 274–295.
- Nickle, D.A. (1992) The katydids of Panama (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae). *The Insects of Mesoamerica* (ed. by
  Quintero, D. & Aiello, A.), pp. 142-184. Oxford University Press, New York.
- 728 Novotny, V. & Missa, O. (2000) Local versus regional species richness in tropical insects: one lowland site
- compared with the island of New Guinea. *Ecological Entomology*, **25**, 445-451.
- 730 Oksanen, J.F., Blanchet, G. Friendly, M., ... & Wagner, H. (2020) vegan: Community Ecology Package. R
- package version 2.5-7. <a href="https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>20">https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan>20</a> august 2021.
- 732 Orr, A.G. & Haeuser, C.L. (1996) Temporal and spatial patterns of butterfly diversity in a lowland tropical
- 733 rainforest. Tropical rainforest research current issues: Proceedings of the conference held in Bandar Seri
- 734 Begawan, April 1993 (ed. by Edwards, D.S., Booth, W.E. & Choy, S.C.), pp. 125-138. Kluwer Academic
- 735 Publisher, Dordrecht.
- Parr, C.L., Dunn, R.R., Sanders, N.J., ... & Gibb, H. (2017) GlobalAnts: a new database on the geography of ant
  traits (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Insect Conservation & Diversity*, 10, 5-20.
- Pakeman, R.J. & Stockan, J.A. (2014) Drivers of carabid functional diversity: abiotic environment, plant
  functional traits, or plant functional diversity? *Ecology*, 95, 1213-1224.
- Pau, S., Wolkovich, E.M., Cook, B.I., ... & Cleland, E.E. (2011) Predicting phenology by integrating ecology,
  evolution and climate science. *Global Change Biology*, 17, 3633-3643.
- Pavoine, S., Vallet, J., Dufour, A.-B., Gachet, S. & Daniel, H. (2009) On the challenge of treating various types
  of variables: application for improving the measurement of functional diversity. *Oikos*, 118, 391-402.
- 744 Penone, C., Davidson, A.D., Shoemaker, K.T., ... & Costa, G.C. (2014) Imputation of missing data in life-
- history trait datasets: Which approach performs the best? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **5**, 961-970.
- Petchey, O.L. & Gaston, K.J. (2006) Functional diversity: back to basics and looking forward. *Ecology Letters*,
  9, 741-758.
- Pey, B., Nahmani, J., Auclerc, A., ... & Briard, C. (2014) Current use of and future needs for soil invertebrate
  functional traits in community ecology. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 15, 194-206.
- 750 Philpott, S.M., Albuquerque, S., Bichier, P., Cohen, H., Egerer, M.H., Kirk, C. & Will, K.W. (2019) Local and
- 751 landscape drivers of carabid activity, species richness, and traits in urban gardens in coastal California.
- 752 *Insects*, 10, 112.

- **753** Raine, E.H., Gray, C.L., Mann, D.J. & Slade, E.M. (2018) Tropical dung beetle morphological traits predict
- functional traits and show intraspecific differences across land uses. *Ecology and Evolution*, **8**, 8686-8696.
- 755 Ratcliffe, B.C. (2003) The Dynastinae Scarab Beetles of Costa Rica and Panama (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae:
- 756 Dynastinae). Bulletin of the University of Nebraska State Museum, volume 16, University of Nebraska
- 757 State Museum, Lincoln, USA.
- 758 Ratcliffe, B.C. (2011) A review of the species of *Phileurus* Latreille (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae: Dynastinae:
- Phileurini) with quadridentate protibiae and description of a new species from Panama. *The Coleopterists Bulletin*, 65, 125-130.
- 761 Ratcliffe, B.C. & Cave, R.D. (2008) A biotic survey and inventory of the dynastine scarab beetles of
- 762 Mesoamerica, North America, and the West Indies: review of a long-term, multicountry project.
- 763 *Zoosystema*, **30**, 651-663.
- Ratnasingham, S. & Hebert, P.D.N. (2013) A DNA-Based Registry for All Animal Species: The Barcode Index
  Number (BIN) System. *PLoS ONE*, 8, e66213.
- R Core Team. (2020) *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical
  Computing, Vienna, Austria. <a href="https://www.R-project.org/>20">https://www.R-project.org/>20</a> August 2020.
- Roubik, D.W. & Hanson, P.E. (2004) Orchid bees of tropical America. Biology and field guide. INBio, Santo
  Domingo de Heredia, Costa Rica.
- 770 Rousseeuw, P.J. (1987) Silhouettes: A graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster analysis.
- Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, **20**, 53–65.
- Sánchez-Bayo, F. & Wyckhuys, K.A. (2019) Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers.
   *Biological Conservation*, 232, 8-27.
- Schuster, J.C. (2006) Passalidae (Coleoptera) de Mesoamérica: diversidad y biogeografía. *Biodiversidad de Guatemala Vol. 1* (ed. by Cano, E.B.), pp. 379-392. Universidad del Valle de Guatemala, Guatemala City.
- 776 Seymour, R.S., White, C.R. & Gibernau, M. (2009) Endothermy of dynastine scarab beetles (Cyclocephala
- *colasi*) associated with pollination biology of a thermogenic arum lily (*Philodendron solimoesense*).
- Journal of Experimental Biology, 212, 2960-2968.
- 779 Signorell, A., Aho, K., Alfons, A., Anderegg, N., Aragon, T. & Arppe, A. (2021) DescTools: Tools for
- 780 *descriptive statistics*. R package version 0.99.91 < https://cran.r-project.org/package=DescTools> 20
- 781 August 2021.

- 782 Slade, E.M., Mann, D.J., Villanueva, J.F. & Lewis, O.T. (2007) Experimental evidence for the effects of dung
- beetle functional group richness and composition on ecosystem function in a tropical forest. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 76, 1094-1104.
- 785 Slade, E.M., Merckx, T., Riutta, T., Bebber, D.P., Redhead, D., Riordan, P. & Macdonald, D.W. (2013) Life-
- history traits and landscape characteristics predict macro-moth responses to forest fragmentation. *Ecology*,
  94, 1519-1530.
- Stevens, V.M., Trochet, A., Van Dyck, H., Clobert, J. & Baguette, M. (2012) How is dispersal integrated in life
  histories: A quantitative analysis using butterflies. *Ecology Letters*, 15, 74–86.
- Sudhir K., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C. & Tamura. K. (2018) MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics
   Analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 35, 1547-1549
- 792 Symbiota. (2021) *Neotropical Arthropod Portal*. <a href="http://hasbrouck.asu.edu/neotrop/entomology/collections/">http://hasbrouck.asu.edu/neotrop/entomology/collections/</a>
  793 20 August 2021.
- Thomas, C.D. (1991) Habitat use and geographic ranges of butterflies from the wet lowlands of Costa Rica.
   *Biological Conservation*, 55, 269-281.
- 796 Usseglio-Polatera, P., Bournaud, M., Richoux P., & Tachet, H. (2000) Biological and ecological traits of benthic
   797 freshwater macroinvertebrates: relationships and definition of groups with similar traits. *Freshwater*
- 798 Biology, 43, 175-205.
- Villéger, S., Mason, N.W. & Mouillot, D. (2008) New multidimensional functional diversity indices for a
  multifaceted framework in functional ecology. *Ecology*, 89, 2290-2301.
- Violle, C., Navas, M.L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I. (2007) Let the concept of trait be
  functional! *Oikos*, 116, 882–892.
- Wagner, D.L., Grames, E.M., Forister, M.L., Berenbaum, M.R., & Stopak, D. (2021). Insect decline in the
  Anthropocene: Death by a thousand cuts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118,
  e2023989118.
- Wei, T. & Simko, V. (2017) *R package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix*. Version 0.84
   <a href="https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot">https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot</a> 20 August 2021.
- White, D. & Gramacy, R.B. (2012) *maptree: Mapping, pruning, and graphing tree models*. R package version
   1.4-7. <a href="https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maptree">https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maptree</a> 20 August 2021.
- 810 Wilson, J.J. (2012) DNA barcodes for insects. DNA Barcodes: Methods and Protocols (ed. by Kress, W.J. &
- Erickson, D.L.), pp. 17–46. Springer, New York.

- 812 Wolda, H. (1992) Trends in abundance of tropical forest insects. *Oecologia*, **89**, 47-52.
- 813 Wong, M.K., Guénard, B. & Lewis, O.T. (2019) Trait-based ecology of terrestrial arthropods. *Biological*
- 814 *Reviews*, **94**, 999-1022.

**Table 1.** Details of all species trait variables considered, listed by categories. Difficulty: if specimens or data are available, how easy/time consuming it is to measure/estimate this

816 variable (Easy - Difficult). The suffix "\_L" indicates that variables were logarithmically (log<sub>10</sub>) transformed for analyses. In this case, they are listed untransformed in Appendix

817 S2. All variables are further explained in Appendix S1 and detailed in Appendix S2. Variables greyed were selected as final traits for analysis (Appendix S3).

818

Category/Trait variable	Code	Units	Proxy of	Measurement/Source	Rationale	Difficulty
1. Spatial distribution						
Geographic distribution	GeoDist	Number	Habitat range	Geographic distribution from Ratcliffe (2003)	Related to commonness and dispersal	Easy
Elevational range	ElevRange	Meters	Thermal range	From Ratcliffe (2003)	Ability to withstand cooler habitats; ecological valence	Easy
No. provinces	NoProvinces_L	Number	Commonness/Rarity	No. provinces collected in Panama (max. 11), from Ratcliffe (2003)	Related to commonness and dispersal	Easy
Spatial aggregation	LloydSpatial_L	Index	Microhabitat use	Aggregation of adults in 10 locations on BCI	Microhabitat specialization (adults)	Easy
2. Temporal distribution						
Seasonal aggregation	SeasonRat	Index	Seasonal range	Aggregation of adults among months. Ratcliffe (2003)	Seasonal aggregation (adults)	Easy
Seasonal distribution	SeasonFG_L	Index	Seasonal range	Variance in the seasonal peak of abundance of adults.	Seasonal distribution (adults)	Easy
3. Adult ecology						
Specialization - genus	AdDBIG_L	Index	Host specialization	Specialization at the genus level	How specialized are species to host plant genus	Difficult
Specialization - family	AdDBIF_L	Index	Host specialization	Specialization at the family level	How specialized are species to host plant family	Difficult
Host growth form	AdHostGF	Categorical	Host specialization	From Ratcliffe (2003); Moore & Jameson (2013)	Preferences depending on growth form of host plant	Difficult
Pollinator	AdPollinator	Binary	Ecosystem service	From Ratcliffe (2003)	Whether pollinator/visiting flower parts or not	Difficult
Decaying wood	AdDecayingWood	Binary	Ecosystem service	From Ratcliffe (2003)	Whether present in decaying wood or not	Difficult
4. Larval ecology						
Larval habitat	LarHabitat	Categorical	Ecosystem service	From Ratcliffe (2003)	Larval substrate	Difficult
Larval food	LarFood	Categorical	Ecosystem service	From Ratcliffe (2003)	Larval food	Difficult

5. Morphometrical traits

Body length	LengthRat_L <sup>1</sup>	mm	Dispersal	Average between maximum and minimum body length, from Ratcliffe (2003)	Body size and dispersal	Easy
	LengthGer_L	mm	Dispersal	Average body length <sup>2</sup>	Body size and dispersal	Easy
Body width	WidthRat_L	mm	Dispersal	Average between maximum and minimum body width, from Ratcliffe (2003)	Body size and dispersal	Easy
	WidthGer_L	mm	Dispersal	Average body width <sup>2</sup>	Body size and dispersal	Easy
Body surface	SurfaceRat_L	mm <sup>2</sup>	Dispersal	Average length x average width, from Ratcliffe (2003)	Plasticity of larval development. High variation = high plasticity	Easy
Variation in body surface	VarSurfaceRat	%	Dispersal	% of variation in body surface, from Ratcliffe (2003)	Plasticity of larval development. High variation = high plasticity	Easy
Body height	HeightGer_L	mm	Dispersal	Average body height <sup>2</sup>	Body size and dispersal. Fossorial habits under bark	Easy
Body volume	VolumeGer_L	mm <sup>3</sup>	Dispersal	Average body volume <sup>2</sup>	Body size and dispersal. Pollinator foraging (size of flowers visited)	Easy
Variation in body volume	VarVolumeGer	%	Dispersal	% of variation in body volume <sup>2</sup>	Plasticity in body volume	Easy
Thorax width	ThoraxWidth_L	mm	Dispersal	Average thorax width <sup>2</sup>	Dispersal	Easy
Elytra length	$ForewingLength_L$	mm	Dispersal	Average elytra length <sup>2</sup>	Dispersal	Easy
Wing length	WingLength_L	mm	Dispersal	Average wing length <sup>2</sup>	Dispersal	Difficult
Wing width	WingWidth_L	mm	Dispersal	Average wing width <sup>2</sup>	Dispersal	Difficult
Wing loading	WingLoad	Ratio	Dispersal	Ratio of wing length to wing width	Dispersal	Difficult
Fore tibia length	ForeTibiaLength_L	mm	Microhabitat use	Average fore tibia length <sup>2</sup>	Related to climbing, holding, and the manipulation of flowers and fruits	Easy
Fore tarsi length	ForeTarsiLength_L	mm	Microhabitat use	Average fore tarsi length, measured in ForestGEO collections	Related to climbing, holding, and the manipulation of flowers and fruits	Easy
6. Body lightness						
Average lightness	Lightness	8-bit integers	Heat resistance, thermoregulation	Color measurements of pictures <sup>2</sup>	Darker species may capture more heat than lighter species	Difficult
Variation in lightness	LightnessCV	Ratio	Heat resistance	Coefficient of variation of color measurements	Polymorphism in degree of lightness	Difficult

<sup>1</sup>For cryptic species, the values of this variable were replaced by values of LengthGer\_L, which is the average body length measured in the ForestGEO collection.

<sup>2</sup>Measured in the ForestGEO collectio

## 824 CAPTION OF FIGURES

825

Fig. 1. Cluster dendrogram showing the five functional groups and the Panamanian species (n=147) that belong
to them. Species with names in black are present on Barro Colorado Island (n=56).

828

Fig. 2. Box-plots (median, quartiles, range) and bar-plots showing the distribution of the selected species traitsamong the five functional groups.

831

Fig. 3. Plot of the species (dots), species traits (numerical: black vectors; categorical: black dots) and functional
groups (95% prediction ellipses) in (a) axes 1,2 and (b) axes 3,4 of the dbRDA. Only traits with >25% of fit to at
least one axis were drawn into plots.

835

**Fig. 4.** Tanglegrams comparing (a) all Panamanian species (with few traits available) with species with most

traits available; (b) all BCI species vs. BCI species without cryptic species; (c) functional groups vs.

838 phylogenetic tree for Panamanian species (excluding Group 5); and (d) all Panamanian species vs. BCI species.

839 Species names are colorized according to functional groups based on all Panamanian species, extra species in

840 larger tree were trimmed. The associations between the two dendrograms were measured by cophenetic

correlation and Cramer's V.

842

843 Fig. 5. Phylogeny for Panamanian Dynastinae. Topology was inferred from COI barcodes by Maximum

- Likelihood algorithm as implemented in IQ-TREE. Black circles indicate bootstrap support > 70%. Names
- 845 include BOLD and Genbank Accession numbers when available. Tree visualization and annotation was done
- using the interactive tree of life (iTOL) online tool. A few Costa Rican and South American species are
- 847 included, indicated by an asterisk (\*). All pictures obtained from the BOLD repository.



Fig. 1. Cluster dendrogram showing the five functional groups and the Panamanian species that belong to them. Species with names in black are present on Barro Colorado Island.





**Fig. 2.** Box-plots (median, quartiles, range) and bar-plots showing the distribution of the selected species traits among the five functional groups.



870RDA 1RDA 3871Fig. 3. Plot of the species (dots), species traits (numerical: black vectors; categorical: black dots) and functional872groups (95% prediction ellipses) in (a) axes 1,2 and (b) axes 3,4 of the dbRDA. Only traits with >25% of fit to at873least one axis were drawn into plots.







Fig. 4. Tanglegrams comparing (a) all Panamanian species (with few traits available) with species with most
traits available; (b) all BCI species vs. BCI species without cryptic species; (c) functional groups vs.
phylogenetic tree for Panamanian species (excluding Group 5); and (d) all Panamanian species vs. BCI species.
Species names are colorized according to functional groups based on all Panamanian species, extra species in
larger tree were trimmed. The associations between the two dendrograms were measured by cophenetic
correlation and Cramer's V.





Fig. 5. Phylogeny for Panamanian Dynastinae. Topology was inferred from COI barcodes by Maximum
Likelihood algorithm as implemented in IQ-TREE. Black circles indicate bootstrap support > 70%. Names
include BOLD and Genbank Accession numbers when available. Tree visualization and annotation was done
using the interactive tree of life (iTOL) online tool. A few Costa Rican and South American species are
included indicated by an asterick (\*). All nictures obtained from the POLD repository.