

# Development and validation of four TaqMan real-time PCR diagnostics for the identification and quantification of *Pratylenchus crenatus*, *Pratylenchus neglectus*, *Pratylenchus penetrans* and *Pratylenchus thornei*

by Orlando, V., Roberts, D., Edwards, S.G., Prior, T., Neilson, R. and Back, M.A.

**Copyright, publisher and additional information:** This is the authors' accepted manuscript. The published version is available via Brill Academic Publishers.

Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher

[DOI link to the version of record on the publisher's site](#)



**Harper Adams  
University**

Orlando, V., Roberts, D., Edwards, S.G., Prior, T., Neilson, R. and Back, M.A. (2024) 'Development and validation of four TaqMan real-time PCR diagnostics for the identification and quantification of *Pratylenchus crenatus*, *Pratylenchus neglectus*, *Pratylenchus penetrans* and *Pratylenchus thornei*', *Nematology*, 1, pp.1-13.



27 **Summary**

28

29 *Pratylenchus crenatus*, *P. neglectus*, *P. penetrans* and *P. thornei* are globally the most  
30 commonly occurring species of root-lesion nematodes (RLN). Correct identification and  
31 quantification of these nematodes is important for strategic management interventions such as  
32 rotation choice and nematicide use. A real-time quantitative PCR can provide a fast and reliable  
33 alternative to morphological identification which requires significant taxonomic experience. A  
34 TaqMan hydrolysis probe method based on the 28S rDNA D2-D3 expansion region was developed  
35 and validated for the identification and quantification of these four root-lesion nematode species.  
36 Primers and TaqMan probes were designed for each species target. Standard curves for each target  
37 RLN species were generated by plotting known gene copy number, obtained by a 10-fold serial  
38 dilution of purified plasmids, with corresponding Ct values. Gene copy number ranged from  $10^7$  to  
39  $10^1$ , with a copy number of 10 being the lowest measurable standard. Each standard curve had a  
40 strong linear correlation ( $R^2 \geq 0.9901$ ) between Ct value and gene copy number. There was consistent  
41 amplification of samples with target species from different geographic locations within the UK,  
42 whereas a lack of amplification was noted for selected non-target species *P. coffeae*, *P.*  
43 *pseudocoffeae*, *P. vulnus*, *P. fallax*, *Globodera rostochiensis*, *Meloidogyne hapla*, *Trichodorus*  
44 *primitivus* and *Bitylenchus hispaniensis*. Specificity and sensitivity of the methods were confirmed  
45 by three experiments that explored different life stages, increasing the number of target species and  
46 had mixed *Pratylenchus* samples. Finally, estimates obtained by qPCR methods were compared with  
47 counting carried out by microscopy showing a good correlation ( $R^2 = 0.789$ ). The TaqMan real-time  
48 PCR developed in this study provides a highly specific, fast and accurate quantification of *P.*  
49 *crenatus*, *P. neglectus*, *P. penetrans* and *P. thornei*.

50

51 Keywords: copy-number, D2-D3 expansion fragment, probe, root-lesion nematode.

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 Root-lesion nematodes (*Pratylenchus* spp.) are migratory endoparasitic nematodes, infecting a  
60 wide range of globally grown crops, including potato (Castillo and Volvas, 2007; Orlando *et al.*,  
61 2020a). Classical morphological identification using microscopy is time consuming and requires  
62 specialized training (Powers, 2004; Palomares-Rius *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, morphological  
63 identification using light microscopy is not always possible due to minimal specimen recovered, intra-  
64 and inter-species taxonomic variability, and the presence of several *Pratylenchus* species isolated  
65 from the soil sample (Janssen *et al.*, 2017 a,b). Thus, to overcome these issues and speed up the  
66 identification process a DNA-based diagnostic offers a potential solution, especially important for a  
67 high sample throughput phytosanitary laboratory.

68 While TaqMan real-time PCR offers a highly specific diagnostic, there are known limitations  
69 including false-positive reactions, DNA fragments from unknown species, or false-negative reactions  
70 due to intra-individual variation (Schrader *et al.*, 2012). Several real-time PCR protocols for  
71 quantitative identification of several RLN species have been developed including for *P. crenatus*  
72 (Oliveira *et al.*, 2017), *P. penetrans* (Sato *et al.*, 2007, 2010; Yan *et al.*, 2008; Goto *et al.*, 2011;  
73 Mokrini *et al.*, 2013; Oliveira *et al.*, 2017; Bandoo *et al.*, 2017; Dauphinais *et al.*, 2018), *P. thornei*  
74 (Yan *et al.*, 2012; Mokrini *et al.*, 2014; Lin *et al.*, 2020), *P. neglectus* (Yan *et al.*, 2008, 2013; Oliveira  
75 *et al.*, 2017; Lin *et al.*, 2020), *P. vulnus* (Fanelli *et al.*, 2014), *P. scribneri* (Arora *et al.*, 2020) and *P.*  
76 *zeae* (Berry *et al.* 2008). The D2-D3 expansion segment of the large subunit 28S rDNA has previously  
77 been selected as a molecular marker for phylogenetic relationships to distinguish between closely  
78 related species of *Pratylenchus* giving a better resolution for species separation (Al-Banna *et al.*,  
79 1997, 2004; De Luca *et al.*, 2004, 2010, 2011; Subbotin *et al.*, 2008; Janssen *et al.*, 2017 a, b).  
80 Consequently, this region seems to be promising molecular marker for the detection of *Pratylenchus*  
81 species by real-time PCR (Bandoo *et al.*, 2017; Dauphinais *et al.*, 2017; Lin *et al.* 2020). The aim of  
82 this work was to develop and validate a TaqMan real-time PCR method focusing on the D2-D3  
83 expansion fragment for identification and quantification of *P. penetrans*, *P. crenatus*, *P. neglectus*  
84 and *P. thornei*.

85

## 86 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

87

88 *Nematode populations and cultures of Pratylenchus spp.*

89 Initial populations of *P. penetrans* (PP), *P. thornei* (PT) and *P. crenatus* (PC) were obtained  
90 from established carrot-disk cultures supplied by ILVO (Belgium). *Pratylenchus neglectus* (PN) was  
91 extracted from potato soils (Norfolk, UK) and *P. thornei* (PTU) from soil samples taken from a field  
92 site at Harper Adams University (UK), where winter beans (*Vicia faba*) were grown. Nematodes were

93 extracted from infested carrot discs and soil samples using the Whitehead tray extraction method  
94 (Hooper, 1986) and then sub-cultured following the method described by Speijer and De Waele  
95 (1997) for monoxenic culture of *Pratylenchus* spp. in carrot discs. Nematodes were morphologically  
96 identified under a light microscope (Zeiss Axiolab, ZEISS, Germany) at 100 X magnification using  
97 taxonomic keys and monographs (Castillo and Vovlas, 2007; Geraert, 2013). Photographs of each  
98 specimen were taken for identifying morphological key characteristics. Several other DNA samples  
99 and specimens, obtained from different locations and sources, were included for validation assays  
100 (Table 1). Single specimens from each population were then transferred into 1 mL Eppendorf tubes  
101 for DNA extraction and PCR.

#### 102 *DNA extraction*

103 Individuals of each *Pratylenchus* species were used for DNA extraction. Each specimen was  
104 hand-picked with a sterilised needle under a stereomicroscope and transferred into a 200 µl  
105 Eppendorf, containing 18 µl of 1X PCR buffer (GoTaq, Promega, UK). Four to five 1 mm glass beads  
106 were added into each tube and homogenised in a Retsch M300 tissue disruptor for 30 seconds at 30  
107 Hz. Then, 4 µl of proteinase K (100 µg ml<sup>-1</sup>) and 1 µl of 1X PCR buffer were added to each tube.  
108 Tubes were incubated at 60°C for 60 min, 95°C for 15 min and 10°C for 10 min. After DNA  
109 extraction, tubes were centrifuged at 16,000 g (Heraeus Pico 17 Ventilated Microcentrifuge, Thermo  
110 Fisher Scientific) and stored at -20°C.

#### 111 *Conventional PCR and direct sequencing*

112 To confirm the morphological identification of the target nematodes, the D2-D3 expansion  
113 fragment of 28S rDNA was amplified using the universal primers D2A (5'-ACA AGT ACC GTG  
114 AGG GAA AGT TG-'3) and D3B (5'-TCG GAA GGA ACC AGC TAC TA'3) (De Ley *et al.*, 1999)  
115 and sequenced. For direct sequencing, each PCR reaction contained: 5X PCR GoTaq Buffer  
116 (Promega, UK), 12.5 mM of each dNTP (Promega, UK), 0.4 mM of each primer, 1 unit of GoTaq  
117 Polymerase (Promega, UK), 2 µl of DNA and double sterile water for a total volume of 15 µl for each  
118 PCR reaction. PCR reactions were run on a G-Storm GS1 Thermal Cycler (Akribis Scientific  
119 Limited) and conditions were: denaturation at 95°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation  
120 at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 55°C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 1 min. A final extension was  
121 performed at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were separated and visualised with Sybr Safe  
122 (ThermoFisher) on a 1% agarose gel. ExoSAP-IT™ PCR Product Cleanup Reagent (Thermo Fisher  
123 Scientific) was used for enzymatic clean-up of amplified PCR products according to the  
124 manufacturer's instructions and incubated at 37°C for 30 min followed by 10 min at 80°C and 5 min

125 at 10°C. PCR products were sequenced by the James Hutton Institute in-house sequencing service, in  
126 one direction using the D2A forward primer.

### 127 *Conventional PCR and Cloning*

128 To generate products for cloning, PCR reactions were prepared with 1X PCR High Fidelity  
129 buffer (Invitrogen, UK), 2 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub> (Invitrogen, UK), 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Promega, UK), 0.4  
130 mM of each primer, 1 unit of High-Fidelity Platinum Taq polymerase (Invitrogen, UK), 2 µl of DNA  
131 and double sterile water for a total volume of 15 µl for each PCR reaction. PCR conditions were:  
132 denaturation at 95°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at  
133 55°C for 30 s and extension at 68°C for 1 min. A final extension was performed at 68°C for 5 min.  
134 PCR products were purified with gel purification using the QIAquick Gel extraction Kit (Qiagen)  
135 according to the protocol listed by the manufacturer. Before ligation, additional dATP was added to  
136 each purified PCR product to enhance the ligation into the vector: 2 µl GoTaq Buffer (5X), 2 µl dATP  
137 (0.2 mM), 1 µl GoTaq Flexi Polymerase, 4 µl purified PCR products and nuclease-free water to a  
138 final volume of 10 µl; samples were incubated at 72°C for 15 min. Two µl of purified PCR products  
139 were ligated into pGEM-T Easy® vector (Promega, UK) following the manufacturer's protocol.  
140 Resulting plasmids were transformed into *Escherichia coli* DH5α competent cells (Invitrogen, UK).  
141 White colonies with recombinant plasmids were selected and DNA purified using QIAprep Spin  
142 Miniprep kit (Qiagen, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Purified plasmids were  
143 sequenced using universal M13F and M13 primers (Messing, 1983), in forward and reverse direction  
144 by the James Hutton Institute in-house sequencing service. Contigs were assembled using DNA baser  
145 sequencing assembler (<http://www.dnabaser.com>). Each D2-D3 sequence obtained was then  
146 compared with published reference sequences, mainly from Subbotin *et al.*, (2008) and Janssen *et al.*,  
147 (2017a,b). Sequences had 98-100% similarity with reference sequences for each species.

### 148 *Primers and probe design*

149 All sequences generated in this study, along with sequences of D2-D3 expansion fragment of  
150 28S rDNA for *Pratylenchus* spp. are available in the GenBank database and were used to generate an  
151 alignment of 667 sequences (Supplementary results) using ClustalW ([http://www.genome.jp/tools-](http://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw)  
152 [bin/clustalw](http://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw)) for the detection of potential conserved regions useful for primers and probe design.  
153 New reference sequences (with associated GenBank accession numbers) are provided in  
154 Supplementary results (Table S1). Jalview Version 2 was used to visualize variable regions among  
155 species (Waterhouse *et al.*, 2009). Four primer/probe sets for each target species were designed using  
156 the genscript on-line tool for real-time PCR TaqMan primer design  
157 (<https://www.genscript.com/tools/real-time-pcr-tagman-primer-design-tool>) and synthesised by

158 PrimerDesign, Southampton, UK. Probes were labelled at the 5'-end with FAM reporter dye and at  
159 the 3'-terminal with TAMRA quencher.

#### 160 *Real time PCR optimisation*

161 A gradient of annealing temperatures from 58°C to 70°C was tested using a Biorad CFX96  
162 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Biorad). Combinations of different concentrations of  
163 primers (0.3 µM, 0.6 µM and 0.9 µM) and probe (0.25 µM and 0.5 µM) were also tested to determine  
164 the optimal conditions for specificity and efficiency of each assay.

#### 165 *Standard curves*

166 The DNA concentration of each plasmid was measured by spectrophotometer (Beckman  
167 Coulter DU 640 spectrophotometer) and used to calculate the corresponding number of copies of each  
168 target using an online tool gene copy number and dilution calculator ([http://scienceprimer.com/copy-  
169 number-calculator-for-realttime-pcr](http://scienceprimer.com/copy-number-calculator-for-realttime-pcr)) based on the sum of length of the p-GEMT vector (3015 bp) and  
170 our DNA inserts (~ 780 bp). Gene copy number for each plasmid was calculated with the following  
171 formula reported by Daniell *et al.* (2012):

$$172 \quad \text{Number of copies} = (\text{amount (ng)} * 6.022 \times 10^{23}) / (\text{length (bp)} * 1 \times 10^9 * 650)$$

173 A pre-dilution in 10mM Tris (pH 8.0) of each plasmid generated a concentration stock of  $1 \times 10^{10}$   
174 copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$ . Two microlitres of this pre-dilution stock was linearized by digestion with 2 µl of *NotI*  
175 enzyme (Promega, UK) in 2 µl of buffer and 16 µl sterile UV-treated water and incubated at 37°C  
176 for 90 min and at 65°C for 15 min (Daniell *et al.*, 2012), to obtain a final concentration of  $1 \times 10^9$   
177 copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$ , for each plasmid. A serial dilution ranging from  $10^8$  to  $10^1$  copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$  in 10mM Tris (pH  
178 8.0) was prepared in triplicate and used to generate standard curves for real time PCR. These standards  
179 were included in all real-time PCR reactions to allow quantification of the target nematodes.

180

#### 181 *Real-time PCR*

182 PCR amplifications were performed initially using a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied  
183 Biosystems) for development of methods, and thereafter a Biorad CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR  
184 Detection System (Biorad) for the optimization and validation of methods. Each reaction contained:  
185 10 µl SensiFast Probe Hi-Rox Mix (Bioline Reagents), 0.25 µM of probe, 0.6 µM of primers, UV-  
186 treated sterile distilled water to give a total volume of 18 µL. Additional primers were required to  
187 enhance the specificity of *P. penetrans* assay, using the same concentration 0.6 µM for each primer.  
188 Thereafter, 2 µL of template DNA were added to each reaction. The amplification conditions were:

189 95°C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles at 95°C for 10 s with 68-69°C for 60 s. Positive controls with  
190 plasmids and negative controls with sterilized water were included for each assay performed.

#### 191 *Specificity of primers and probe to detect each species target*

192 After optimization of annealing temperature, primer and probe sets were tested for their  
193 specificity. Eight different *Pratylenchus* spp. were used (*P. crenatus*, *P. penetrans*, *P. thornei*, *P.*  
194 *neglectus*, *P. coffae*, *P. pseudocoffae*, *P. vulnus* and *P. fallax*), as well as other non-target nematode  
195 species (*Globodera rostochiensis*, *Meloidogyne hapla*, *Trichodorus primitivus* and *Bitylenchus*  
196 *hispaniensis*). Each sample was tested in triplicate. Reactions and amplification conditions were as  
197 described above.

#### 198 *Specificity and sensitivity of each diagnostic PCR*

199 Three different tests were performed to test the specificity of primers and probe for detection of  
200 the four target RLM species, *P. crenatus*, *P. neglectus*, *P. penetrans* and *P. thornei*.

201 **Test 1.** The specificity of each target species in samples with mixed species was tested. One female  
202 of *P. penetrans*, *P. neglectus*, *P. crenatus* and *P. thornei* was hand-picked with a sterilised needle and  
203 transferred to a 500 µL Eppendorf tube. The same procedure was applied for preparing samples with  
204 10 females of each species in separate tubes per species and negative controls without species target.  
205 Positive controls were also prepared with one and ten females of pure species target per tube. Five  
206 replicates for each species were prepared for DNA extraction and real-time PCR. Negative controls  
207 (n=3) were included using 2 µL distilled water as a replacement for DNA template.

208 **Test 2.** The sensitivity of each diagnostic PCR was tested with different life stages of each target  
209 species. Each specimen was hand-picked with a sterilised needle and transferred individually to a 500  
210 µL Eppendorf tube containing 20 µL of 10X PCR buffer for DNA extraction. Ten replicates for each  
211 life stage (juveniles, females and males) were hand-picked with a sterilised needle as before and  
212 transferred into a 200 µl Eppendorf, containing 18 µl of 1X PCR buffer (GoTaq, Promega, UK). Real-  
213 time PCR was performed following the real-time PCR conditions as detailed above. Gene copy  
214 number per life stage was estimated by multiplying gene copy number obtained for each reaction with  
215 the total volume of each sample. Mean and standard error of the mean (S.E.M.) were calculated for  
216 adults and juveniles. Gene copy number per individual was estimated based on the average gene copy  
217 number for adults and juveniles. Negative controls were as described for Test 1.

218 **Test 3.** The sensitivity of each species diagnostic was tested with increasing numbers of target *P.*  
219 *penetrans*, *P. thornei* and *P. crenatus* in separate Eppendorf tubes, with three replicates of each. For



220 *P. penetrans* one, ten, 100 and 1000 females were prepared in separate tubes, whereas for *P. thornei*  
221 one, ten and 1000 and one, ten and 50 nematodes for *P. crenatus*. Negative controls were as described  
222 for Test 1.

### 223 *Comparison of real-time PCR quantification with microscope counts*

224 Fifteen soil samples from potato fields were used. Briefly, nematode suspensions were counted  
225 using a binocular microscope at 40 X magnification (Mazurek Optical Service, Meiji EMT) before  
226 the contents were transferred to a 2 mL Eppendorf tubes and freeze dried. DNA was extracted using  
227 a Purelink DNA (Invitrogen, UK) extraction kit. All four TaqMan PCR assays were performed on  
228 each DNA sample. The estimated total number of each species per sample was calculated by dividing  
229 the total DNA copy number per sample with the average DNA copy number per individual for each  
230 species. The total estimated number of *Pratylenchus* per sample was the sum of qPCR numbers for  
231 each of the 4 target-species present in the sample. Microscope counts were then compared with real-  
232 time PCR data.

### 233 *Statistical analysis*

234 Ct values and gene copy number for each test were subjected to a two-way ANOVA with  
235 Tukey's test ( $P < 0.05$ ). Data obtained by microscopy and by real-time PCR were analysed with a  
236 linear regression model. All statistical analyses were performed using Genstat (19<sup>th</sup> edition, VSN  
237 International).

## 238 **RESULTS**

### 239 *Primer/probe design for P. penetrans, P. thornei, P. neglectus and P. crenatus PCR diagnostic*

240 A total of 121 new sequences were generated in this study and coupled with sequences obtained  
241 from Genbank were used to form an alignment of 667 sequences. Based on this alignment, primer  
242 and probe sets for *P. crenatus*, *P. neglectus*, *P. penetrans* and *P. thornei* were designed (Table 2).  
243 Based on the Ct values, the optimal annealing temperature for *P. crenatus* and *P. penetrans* was 68°C,  
244 whereas it was 69°C for *P. neglectus* and *P. thornei* (data not shown). Standard curves for all four  
245 target species, *P. penetrans*, *P. neglectus*, *P. thornei* and *P. crenatus* had a strong linear correlation  
246 ( $R^2 > 0.9901$ ) between cycle threshold and gene copy number, with a limit of detection of 10 copies  
247  $\mu\text{L}^{-1}$  (Figure 1 A-D). No amplification was detected for either negative controls, samples with non-  
248 species targets or water (NTC).

249

250 *Specificity of primers and probe to detect each species target*

251

252 Based on Ct values no inter-population variability was detected for three of the target species,  
253 *P. penetrans*, *P. neglectus* and *P. thornei* ( $P > 0.05$ ). However, for *P. crenatus* a sample from Scotland  
254 (code 452) had a Ct value significantly lower ( $P = 0.037$ ;  $28.8 \pm 0.12$ ) than a population from England  
255 ( $30.1 \pm 0.3$ ; code PC). No significant differences were observed between sample 452 and another  
256 Scottish sample (Je2), nor between Je2 and PC. No amplification was detected for either non-target  
257 *Pratylenchus* spp. (*P. penetrans*, *P. thornei*, *P. neglectus*, *P. coffae*, *P. pseudocoffae*, *P. vulnus* and  
258 *P. fallax*) or other nematode species tested (*B. hispaniensis*, *G. rostochiensis*, *M. hapla*, *T. primitivus*).

259

260 *Specificity and sensitivity of diagnostic assays*

261 *Test 1 – Specificity of primers and probe in mixtures of Pratylenchus spp.*

262 A single female *P. penetrans* was detected (Ct =  $29.8 \pm 0.09$ ) in a mixture comprising of the other  
263 three target species (*P. crenatus*, *P. neglectus* and *P. thornei*), with no significant differences ( $P >$   
264  $0.05$ ) compared to samples of one individual *P. penetrans* (Ct =  $30.8 \pm 0.6$ ) (Table 4). The same  
265 pattern was also reflected for ten specimens of *P. penetrans* when combined with a mixture of 30  
266 individuals of the other three species (Table 4). Similar results were obtained for *P. thornei* and *P.*  
267 *neglectus* (Table 4). However, one female of *P. crenatus* in a mixture of *P. neglectus*, *P. thornei* and  
268 *P. penetrans* had Ct values that were significantly greater ( $P < 0.05$ ) than Ct values from a single *P.*  
269 *penetrans*. All samples with mixed non-target species and negative controls did not amplify.

270 *Test 2 – Amplification of different life stages*

271 Mean gene copy number per individual of each *Pratylenchus* species were:  $7775 \pm 199$  for *P.*  
272 *crenatus*,  $9555 \pm 297$  for *P. penetrans*,  $5292 \pm 266$  for *P. neglectus* and  $3624 \pm 109$  for *P. thornei*  
273 (Table 5). Ct values for each life stage were similar among the different species showing gene copy  
274 number in female specimens was significantly greater than juveniles ( $P < 0.05$ ). *Pratylenchus*  
275 *penetrans* was the only species with males and consequently their presence with the inclusion of  
276 sperm may have this increased the overall copy number for individuals compared to the other tested  
277 *Pratylenchus* species.

278

279 *Test 3 – Assessing the response of the quantitative assay over increasing target abundance*

280 The sensitivity and linearity of the assay was tested with increasing numbers of nematodes for  
281 *P. penetrans* (1, 10, 100, 1000 individuals) (Figure 2), and a strong linear relationship ( $R^2 = 0.998$ )  
282 was found between number of nematodes and cycle thresholds. Due to limited numbers of individuals

283 available from cultures, a maximum of 50 and 100 individuals were used in the same test for *P.*  
284 *crenatus* and *P. thornei*, respectively. As with *P. penetrans*, strong linear relationships were also  
285 found between nematode number and Ct values for *P. thornei* (Figure S2;  $R^2=0.97$ ) and *P. crenatus*  
286 (Figure S3;  $R^2=0.972$ ). *Pratylenchus neglectus* were not included in this test. All negative controls  
287 with water (NTC) did not amplify.

288

289 *Comparison of nematode counts obtained from microscopy with real-time PCR*

290

291 RLN abundance from fifteen soil samples collected as part of a ware potato survey across England  
292 (Orlando, 2021) were determined using traditional counts. Following quantification, the nematode  
293 suspensions in their entirety, from the same samples, were used for DNA extraction. Total  
294 *Pratylenchus* abundance was determined by real-time PCR and calculated as the sum of the four  
295 diagnostics. Soil samples contained between 4 and 343 *Pratylenchus* nematodes. All samples tested  
296 positive for the presence of *Pratylenchus* in both real-time PCR and microscopy. There was a  
297 significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) and strong relationship ( $R^2 = 0.789$ ) between real-time PCR estimates and  
298 microscopy counts (Figure 3) indicating that real-time PCR estimates were similar to results obtained  
299 from microscopy, although the analysis was limited to 15 observations. At low densities, counts by  
300 microscopy were slightly higher compared to real-time PCR estimates, whereas for six samples at  
301 densities greater than 100 nematodes  $200\text{ g}^{-1}$  soil, real time PCR overestimated compared to results  
302 obtained by microscopy.

## 303 DISCUSSION

304

305 Molecular diagnostic methods can help the rapid identification and quantification of nematodes  
306 including different species of *Pratylenchus* and enhance their management where infested fields  
307 occur. In the current study, four TaqMan real-time PCR protocols for the identification and  
308 quantification of *P. crenatus*, *P. penetrans*, *P. neglectus* and *P. thornei* based on the D2-D3 region of  
309 the large subunit rDNA were developed. After optimization of primer/probe concentration and  
310 annealing temperature, standard curves were constructed for identification and quantification of each  
311 species target, ranging from  $10^7$  to  $10^1$  copies  $\mu\text{l}^{-1}$ , showing a strong linearity ( $R^2 \geq 0.9901$ ) for all  
312 four diagnostics. The real-time PCR methods successfully discriminated each isolate of *P. penetrans*,  
313 *P. crenatus*, *P. neglectus* and *P. thornei* from seven non-target *Pratylenchus* species and four other  
314 non-target genera tested. Overall, the specificity of primers and probe for each diagnostic was  
315 confirmed by the absence of amplification for non-target species/genera. Adults of each target  
316 *Pratylenchus* species from several locations, mainly from UK and Europe, were tested with each of  
317 developed diagnostic primer/probe sets. While *P. penetrans*, *P. neglectus* and *P. thornei* showed no  
318 statistical differences ( $P > 0.05$ ) between Ct values from different populations, one *P. crenatus* isolate  
319 from Scotland (code 452) had lower Ct values ( $P < 0.05$ ) than the other tested isolates. This may be  
320 due to the presence of more than one individual/juvenile accidentally picked up during preparation  
321 of samples or the presence of a gravid female(s) with eggs or a full spermatheca that may have  
322 decreased Ct values compared to the other samples (Roberts *et al.*, 2016; Oliveira *et al.*, 2017; Bando  
323 *et al.*, 2017; Arora *et al.*, 2020). Although there is no evidence of this from the current sequences  
324 available, it is also possible that *P. crenatus* exhibits intra-specific variation for either the D2-D3  
325 fragment or copy-number among different populations. Kim *et al.* (2019) showed that several *P.*  
326 *crenatus* populations from Dokdo island (Korea) had a 3.7% variation in D2-D3 sequences. Each  
327 *Pratylenchus* species yielded a similar gene copy number, with only *P. thornei* having a lower copy  
328 number than the other species. Moreover, the presence of sperm in males or gravid females may  
329 increase the estimate of gene copy number of *P. penetrans* per individual. Additionally, the degree  
330 of inter-specific variation within the D2-D3 segment may differ the gene copy number per species.  
331 Lin *et al.* (2020) reported that the intraspecific variation of the D2-D3 expansion fragment for *P.*  
332 *neglectus* and *P. thornei* ranged from 0.1–2% and 0.0–1.7% respectively, while interspecific  
333 variations between these two species ranged from 14.7% to 20.3%. Furthermore, Sato *et al.* (2007)  
334 reported significantly lower Ct values of female ( $23 \pm 0.3$ ) and male ( $22 \pm 0.7$ ) *P. penetrans* than  
335 juveniles ( $25.1 \pm 0.4$ ), which agrees with our study. Juveniles of *P. thornei*, *P. neglectus* and *P.*  
336 *crenatus* were statistically different in terms of gene copy number compared to females. A possible

337 explanation might be that gravid females containing eggs within their body, or males with sperm, will  
338 generate lower Ct values than non-gravid females or males without sperm (Oliveira *et al.*, 2017).

339 No fluorescent signals were detected for non-target species, suggesting a high degree of  
340 specificity of the diagnostics developed in this study. For example, although *P. fallax* is a species  
341 closely related to *P. penetrans* (Ibrahim *et al.*, 1995; Waeyenberge *et al.*, 2000; Carta *et al.*, 2001;  
342 Handoo *et al.*, 2001; Janssen *et al.*, 2017b), and can be morphologically misidentified, this study  
343 showed that the real-time PCR diagnostic developed for *P. penetrans* was specific with no  
344 amplification for *P. fallax*. All four diagnostics allowed the detection and correct quantification of a  
345 single *Pratylenchus* in samples with a mixture of other non-target *Pratylenchus*, even at ten times as  
346 many *Pratylenchus* non-targets as target nematodes. Although it is indicative of its specificity in  
347 mixed samples of *Pratylenchus* species, a further test including samples with 2000-3000 nematodes  
348 might be performed to reproduce the field representative populations. Ct values were comparable  
349 with pure samples with one or ten females for each species, demonstrating the consistency of the  
350 method in mixed samples. The only exception was represented by the diagnostic for *P. crenatus* that  
351 showed Ct values for mixed species with one female of *P. crenatus* in a mixture of species ( $33.7 \pm$   
352  $0.15$ ) significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) higher than Ct values for one pure nematode ( $29.3 \pm 0.14$ ). A lack of  
353 amplification of four replicates of juveniles in test 2 also occurred, which may be due to a decrease  
354 of efficiency for the selected DNA extraction method. Similar to this study, Bandoo *et al.* (2017)  
355 reported a real-time PCR method for detection of a single *P. penetrans* juvenile in 1 g of soil, but the  
356 real-time PCR assay overestimated nematode numbers when compared to microscopy. Bandoo *et al.*  
357 (2017) considered this also may be due to a reduction of DNA extraction efficiency from soil and/or  
358 variation in DNA among different life stages. In contrast, a TaqMan real-time PCR method reported  
359 by Dauphinais *et al.* (2017) for identification and quantification of *Pratylenchus alleni* and *P.*  
360 *penetrans* showed high specificity and sensitivity, although the real-time PCR underestimated when  
361 compared to microscope counts.

362 Recently, Lin *et al.* (2020) developed a duplex real-time PCR assay based on the 28 rDNA D2-  
363 D3 expansion region for the simultaneous identification and quantification of *P. neglectus* and *P.*  
364 *thornei*. Two different species of *Pratylenchus* were detected and quantified simultaneously with a  
365 multiplex real-time PCR, and in samples with up to 100 non-target nematodes. In this regard, our  
366 diagnostic assays could be developed into a multiplex real-time PCR for the simultaneous detection  
367 of all four species in one reaction, subject to further optimisation and validation. . It is important to  
368 acknowledge that DNA extraction efficiency, especially from nematode suspensions or directly from  
369 soil, can vary according to the method selected (Orlando *et al.*, 2020b; Donn *et al.*, 2008), which may  
370 reduce the sensitivity of the molecular assay for nematode identification and quantification (Roberts

371 *et al.*, 2016). For example, Donn *et al.* (2008) found variation between samples when five different  
372 DNA extraction methods, including three commercial kits for nematode communities, were  
373 compared. In addition, several studies have reported an overestimation of real-time PCR Ct values  
374 compared to numbers estimated by microscopy after using a Whitehead tray extraction method (Sato  
375 *et al.*, 2010; Yan *et al.*, 2012, 2013; Bando *et al.*, 2017). Different approaches to nematode extraction  
376 may result in variations in extraction efficiency and therefore discrepancies in the final counts (EPPO,  
377 2013). For instance, results between laboratories can vary greatly due to differences in equipment  
378 used, specific adaptations in methodology made by each laboratory or different levels of experience  
379 in operators (EPPO, 2013). Ideally, a standardised protocol for nematode extraction should be used  
380 in all nematology laboratories around the world (Cesarz *et al.*, 2019). Implementing real-time PCR  
381 with DNA extraction directly from soil would reduce time and avoid potential variability between  
382 different nematode extraction methods. However, currently available methods for direct DNA  
383 extraction from soil are limited to samples of 1-10 g<sup>-1</sup> of soil, and this may not be comparable with  
384 the standard volume of 200-300 g<sup>-1</sup> soil used for nematode extraction (Wiesel *et al.*, 2015). Also,  
385 residual soil particles may inhibit the amplification of DNA template reducing real-time PCR  
386 efficiency (Schrader *et al.*, 2012). Indeed, Sato *et al.* (2007) tested two types of soils, andosol and  
387 clay soil, containing the same number of root-lesion nematodes and they found significant differences  
388 in Ct values, revealing that soil type may also influence the efficiency of the DNA extraction and  
389 quality of PCR products. An alternative would be to count specimens from the suspension under  
390 microscope and then use current diagnostics for a quick species identification. The four species-  
391 specific diagnostics developed in this study will facilitate discrimination and quantification of *P.*  
392 *penetrans*, *P. crenatus*, *P. neglectus* and *P. thornei* within mixed nematode extracts from soil,  
393 providing a tool for rapid diagnostics when required.

394

## 395 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

396 The authors declare no conflict of interest with the content of this study. The authors thank  
397 AHDB Potatoes for funding a Ph.D. studentship (Ref. 11120009) and the Scottish Government, Rural  
398 and Environment Science and Analytical Services Division for financial support at The James Hutton  
399 Institute under the 2022-2027 Strategic Research Programme. The authors also thank Dr. Nicole  
400 Viaene and Nancy de Sutter (ILVO, Belgium) for providing carrot discs and useful advice for  
401 *Pratylenchus* spp. cultures; Dr. Francesca De Luca (CNR, Italy) for the specimen of *P. vulnus*; Prof.  
402 Wim Bert (Ghent University, Belgium) and Dr. Toon Janssen (Ghent University, Belgium) for  
403 providing DNA samples of *Pratylenchus* spp. to support the validation of the four real-time PCR  
404 assays.

405 **REFERENCES**

- 406 Al-Banna, L., Williamson, V. & Gardner, S.L. (1997). Phylogenetic analysis of nematodes of  
 407 the genus *Pratylenchus* using nuclear 26S rDNA. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*  
 408 7, 94-102.
- 409 Al-Banna, L., Ploeg, A.T., Williamson, V.M. & Kaloshian, I. (2004). Discrimination of six  
 410 *Pratylenchus* species using PCR and species-specific primers. *Journal of Nematology* 36,  
 411 142-146.
- 412 Arora, D., Yan, G. & Baidoo, R. (2020). Developing a real-time PCR assay for direct detection  
 413 and quantification of *Pratylenchus scribneri* in field soil. *Nematology* 22, 1-12.
- 414 Bando, R., Yan, G., Nagachandrabose, S. & Skantar, A.M. (2017). Developing a real-time  
 415 PCR assay for direct identification and quantification of *Pratylenchus penetrans* in soil.  
 416 *Plant Disease* 101, 1432-1441.
- 417 Berry, S.D., Fargette, M., Spaull, V.W., Morand, S. & Cadet, P. (2008). Detection and  
 418 quantification of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne javanica*), lesion nematode  
 419 (*Pratylenchus zae*) and dagger nematode (*Xiphinema elongatum*) parasites of sugarcane  
 420 using real-time PCR. *Molecular and Cellular Probes* 22, 168-176.
- 421 Carta, L.K., Skantar, A.M. & Handoo, Z.A. (2001). Molecular, morphological and thermal  
 422 characters of 19 *Pratylenchus* spp. and relatives using the D3 segment of the nuclear LSU  
 423 rRNA gene. *Nematologica* 31, 193-207.
- 424 Castillo, P. & Vovlas, N. (2007). *Pratylenchus* (nematoda - Pratylenchidae) diagnosis, biology,  
 425 pathogenicity and management. *Nematology Monographs and Perspectives. ed. D.J. Hunt.;*  
 426 *R.N. Perry Brill* 6.
- 427 Cesarz, S., Schulz, R., Beugnon, A.E. & Eisenhauer, N. (2019). Testing soil nematode  
 428 extraction efficiency using different variations of the Baermann-funnel method. *Soil*  
 429 *Organisms* 91, 61-72.
- 430 Daniell, T.J., Davidson, J., Alexander, C.J., Caul, S. & Roberts, D.M. (2012). Improved real  
 431 time PCR estimation of gene copy number in soil extracts using an artificial reference.  
 432 *Journal of Microbiological Methods* 91, 38-44.
- 433 Dauphinais, N., Vandal, M., Gagnon, A.E., Bélair, G., Véronneau, Y.P. & Mimee, B. (2018).  
 434 Development and application of a multiplex qPCR method for the simultaneous detection  
 435 and quantification of *Pratylenchus alleni* and *P. penetrans* in Quebec, Canada. *Plant*  
 436 *Disease* 102, 970-976.
- 437 De Ley, P., Felix, M.A., Frisse, L.M., Nadler, S.A., Sternberg, P.W. & Thomas, W.K. (1999).  
 438 Molecular and morphological characterisation of two reproductively isolated species with  
 439 mirror-image anatomy (Nematoda: Cephalobidae). *Nematology* 1, 591-612.
- 440 De Luca, F., Fanelli, E., Di Vito, M., Reyes, A. & De Giorgi, C. (2004). Comparison of the  
 441 sequences of the D3 expansion of the 26S ribosomal genes reveals different degrees of  
 442 heterogeneity in different populations and species of *Pratylenchus* from the Mediterranean  
 443 region. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 110, 949-957.
- 444 De Luca, F., Reyes, A., Troccoli, A. & Castillo, P. (2011). Molecular variability and  
 445 phylogenetic relationships among different species and populations of *Pratylenchus*  
 446 (Nematoda: Pratylenchidae) as inferred from the analysis of the ITS rDNA. *European*  
 447 *Journal of Plant Pathology* 130, 415-426.

448 De Luca, F., Troccoli, A., Duncan, L.W., Subbotin, S.A., Waeyenberge, L., Moens, M. &  
449 Inserra, R.N. (2010). Characterisation of a population of *Pratylenchus hippeastri* from  
450 bromeliads and description of two related new species, *P. floridensis* n. sp and *P.*  
451 *parafloridensis* n. sp., from grasses in Florida. *Nematology* 12, 847-868.

452 Donn, S., Griffiths, B.S., Neilson, R. & Daniell, T.J. (2008). DNA extraction from soil  
453 nematodes for multi-sample community studies. *Applied Soil Ecology* 38, 20-  
454 26.

455 EPPO (2013). Nematode extraction. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin* 43, 471-495.

456 EPPO (2018). PM 7/76 (5) Use of EPPO diagnostic standards. *Bulletin OEPP/EPPO Bulletin*  
457 48, 373-377.

458 Fanelli, E., Troccoli, A., Picardi, E., Pousis, C. & De Luca, F. (2014). Molecular  
459 characterization and functional analysis of four  $\beta$ -1, 4-endoglucanases from the root-lesion  
460 nematode *Pratylenchus vulnus*. *Plant Pathology* 63, 1436-1445.

461 Geraert, E. (2013). The Pratylenchidae of the world - Identification of the family  
462 Pratylenchidae (Nematoda: Tylenchida). *Gent, Academia Press*.

463 Goto, K., Min, Y. Y., Sato, E. & Toyota, K. (2011). A multiplex real-time PCR assay for the  
464 simultaneous quantification of the major plant-parasitic nematodes in Japan. *Nematology*  
465 13, 713-720.

466 Handoo, Z.A., Carta, L.K. & Skantar, A.M. (2001). Morphological and molecular  
467 characterisation of *Pratylenchus arlingtoni* n. sp., *P. convallariae* and *P. fallax*  
468 (Nematoda: Pratylenchidae). *Nematology* 3, 607-618.

469 Hooper, D.J. (1986). Extraction of free-living stages from soil. In: *Southey, J.F. (Ed.),*  
470 *Laboratory Methods for Work with Plant and Soil Nematodes*. Her Majesty's Stationary  
471 Office, London, 5-30.

472 Ibrahim, S.K., Perry, R.N. & Webb, R.M. (1995). Use of isoenzyme and protein phenotypes to  
473 discriminate between 6 *Pratylenchus* species from Great Britain. *Annals of Applied*  
474 *Biology* 126, 317-327.

475 Janssen, T., Karssen, G., Couvreur, M., Waeyenberge, L. & Bert, W. (2017a). The pitfalls of  
476 molecular species identification: a case study within the genus *Pratylenchus* (Nematoda:  
477 Pratylenchidae). *Nematology* 19, 1179-1199.

478 Janssen, T., Karssen, G., Orlando, V., Subbotin, S. & Bert, W. (2017b). Molecular  
479 characterization and species delimiting of plant parasitic nematode of the genus  
480 *Pratylenchus* from the *penetrans* group (Nematoda: Pratylenchidae). *Molecular*  
481 *Phylogenetics and Evolution* 117, 30-48.

482 Kim, D., Hwang, H.S., Shim, J.K., Yang, J., Pak, J.H., & Lee, K.Y. (2019). Molecular  
483 biodiversity assessment of plant-parasitic nematodes in Dokdo Island, Korea. *Nematology*,  
484 21, 275-292.

485 Lin, B., Tao, L., Wang, H., Liao, J. & Zhuo, E. (2020). Duplex real-time quantitative PCR for  
486 simultaneous detection and quantification of *Pratylenchus neglectus* and *P. thornei*.  
487 *Journal of Plant Pathology* 157, 185-196.

488 Messing, J. (1983). New M13 vectors for cloning. *Methods in Enzymology* 101, 20-78.

489 Mokrini, F., Waeyenberge, L., Viaene, N., Andaloussi, F.A. & Moens, M. (2013). Quantitative  
490 detection of the root-lesion nematode, *Pratylenchus penetrans*, using qPCR. *European*  
491 *Journal of Plant Pathology* 137, 403-413.



492 Mokrini, F., Waeyenberge, L., Viaene, N., Andaloussi, F.A. & Moens, M. (2014). The  $\beta$ -1, 4-  
493 endoglucanase gene is suitable for the molecular quantification of the root-lesion  
494 nematode, *Pratylenchus thornei*. *Nematology* 16, 789-796.

495 Oliveira, C.M.G., Blok, V., Neilson, R., Mróz, T. & Roberts, D. (2017). Hydrolysis probe-  
496 based PCR for detection of *Pratylenchus crenatus*, *P. neglectus* and *P. penetrans*.  
497 *Nematology* 19, 81-91.

498 Orlando, V., Grove, G.I., Edwards, S.G., Prior, T., Roberts, D., Neilson, R. & Back, M.  
499 (2020a). Root-lesion nematodes of potato: current status of diagnostics, pathogenicity and  
500 management. *Plant Pathology* 69, 405-417.

501 Orlando, V., Edwards, S.G., Neilson, R., Prior, T., Roberts, D. & Back, M. (2020b). Comparing  
502 the efficiency of six common methods for DNA extraction from root-lesion nematodes  
503 (*Pratylenchus* spp.). *Nematology* 23, 415-423.

504 Orlando, V. (2021). The prevalence, detection and impact of root lesion nematodes  
505 (*Pratylenchus* spp.) found in potato growing land in Great Britain (Doctoral dissertation,  
506 Harper Adams University).

507 Palomares-Rius, J.E., Oliveira, C.M. & Blok, V.C. (2014). Plant parasitic nematodes of potato.  
508 In: *The Potato: Botany, Production and Uses*, Wallingford: Cabi, 148-166.

509 Powers, T. (2004). Nematode molecular diagnostics: from bands to barcodes. *Annual Review*  
510 *of Phytopathology* 42, 367-83.

511 Roberts, D., Oliveira, C.M.G., Neilson, R. & Blok, V. (2016). Diagnose molecular de  
512 nematoides parasitos de plantas. Molecular diagnostics of plant-parasitic nematodes. In:  
513 Oliveira, Santos, M.A.; Castro, L.H.S. Diagnose de fitonematoides. Campinas:  
514 Millennium Editora 14, 281-324.

515 Sato, E., Min, Y.Y., Shirakashi, T., Wada, S. & Toyota, K. (2007). Detection of the root-lesion  
516 nematode, *Pratylenchus penetrans* (Cobb), in a nematode community using real-time  
517 PCR. *Japanese Journal of Nematology* 37, 87-92.

518 Sato, E., Goto, K., Min, Y.Y., Toyota, K. & Suzuki, C. (2010). Quantitative detection of  
519 *Pratylenchus penetrans* from soil using soil compaction and real-time PCR.  
520 *Nematological Research* 40, 1-6.

521 Schrader, C., Schielke, A., Ellerbroek, A. L. & Johne, R. (2012). PCR inhibitors – occurrence,  
522 properties and removal. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* 113, 1014-1026.

523 Speijer, P.R. & De Waele, D. (1997). *Screening of Musa germplasm for resistance and*  
524 *tolerance to nematodes*. INIBAP Technical Guidelines N°1 INIBAP, Montpellier,  
525 France.

526 Subbotin, S.A., Ragsdale, E.J., Mullens, T., Roberts, P.A., Mundo-Ocampo, M. & Baldwin,  
527 J.G. (2008). A phylogenetic framework for root-lesion nematodes of the genus  
528 *Pratylenchus* (Nematoda): evidence from 18S and D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S  
529 ribosomal RNA genes and morphological characters. *Molecular Phylogenetics and*  
530 *Evolution* 48, 491-505.

531 Waeyenberge, L., Ryss, A., Moens, M., Pinochet, J. & Vrain, T.C. (2000). Molecular  
532 characterisation of 18 *Pratylenchus* species using rDNA Restriction Fragment Length  
533 Polymorphism. *Nematology* 2, 135-142.

534 Waterhouse, A.M., Procter, J.B., Martin, D.M., Clamp, M. & Barton, G.J. (2009). Jalview  
535 Version 2—a multiple sequence alignment editor and analysis workbench. *Bioinformatics*,  
536 25, 1189-1191.

537 Wiesel, L., Daniell, T.J., King, D. & Neilson, R. (2015). Determination of the optimal soil  
538 sample size to accurately characterise nematode communities in soil. *Soil Biology &*  
539 *Biochemistry*, 80, 89-91.

540 Yan, G., Smiley, R.W. & Okubara, P.A. (2012). Detection and quantification of *Pratylenchus*  
541 *thornei* in DNA extracted from soil using real-time PCR. *Phytopathology* 102, 14-22.

542 Yan, G., Smiley, R.W., Okubara, P.A., Skantar, A.M., and Reardon, C.L. (2013). Developing  
543 a real-time PCR assay for detection and quantification of *Pratylenchus neglectus* in soil.  
544 *Plant Disease* 97, 757-764.

545 Yan, G.P., Smiley, R.W., Okubara, P.A., Skantar, A., Easley, S.A., Sheedy, J.G. & Thompson,  
546 A.L. (2008). Detection and discrimination of *Pratylenchus neglectus* and *P. thornei* in  
547 DNA extracts from soil. *Plant Disease* 92, 1480-1487.

548

## 549 TABLES AND FIGURES

550

551 Table 1: Origin, host/sources and population codes of *Pratylenchus* spp. and other nematode  
552 genera used in this study

553 Table 2: Primer/probe sets for diagnostic PCR of *Pratylenchus crenatus*, *P. neglectus*, *P.*  
554 *penetrans* and *P. thornei*.

555 Table 3: Population codes, host/sources and origin of *Pratylenchus* spp. and other nematode  
556 species used in this study, together with the Ct values (mean  $\pm$  SEM). Each sample was tested  
557 in three replicates.

558 Table 4: Specificity of each diagnostic for detection and quantification of one (n=6) and ten  
559 (n=6) target species in a mixture of *Pratylenchus* spp. Ct values obtained by real-time PCR are  
560 reported by mean  $\pm$  SEM. Data with different letters are significantly different according to  
561 Tukey's test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ).

562 Table 5: Sensitivity of each assay for detection and quantification of different life stages of *P.*  
563 *penetrans* (n=10), *P. neglectus* (n=10), *P. thornei* (n=10) and *P. crenatus* (n=6 for juvenile,  
564 and n=10 for adults). Data with different letters in the same column are significantly different  
565 according to Tukey's test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ). Data for copy number per individual is the average of  
566 DNA copy number of the life stages analysed.

567 Figure 1: Standard linear curves of cycle threshold (Ct) values plotted against log-transformed  
568 DNA copy number of A: *P. crenatus*; B: *P. neglectus*; C: *P. penetrans* and D: *P. thornei*. The  
569 standard curves were run in triplicate for each *Pratylenchus* species.

570 Figure 2: Linear regression of the real-time PCR cycle threshold (Ct) for *Pratylenchus*  
571 *penetrans* against the log number of *P. penetrans* individuals (1, 10, 100, 1000) (n = 3).

572 Figure 3: The relationship between estimated total number of *Pratylenchus* spp. determined by  
573 microscopy (morphological characteristics) and real-time PCR for 15 soil samples collected  
574 from arable fields in England.

575

#### 576 **SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

577

578 Table S1: Clones code, host/sources, origin, GenBank accession numbers of *Pratylenchus*  
579 spp. used for the alignment.

580 Figure S2: Linear regression of the real-time PCR cycle threshold (Ct) for *Pratylenchus*  
581 *thornei* against the log number of *P. thornei* individuals (1, 10, 1000) (n = 3).

582 Figure S3: Linear regression of the real-time PCR cycle threshold (Ct) for *Pratylenchus*  
583 *crenatus* against the log number of *P. crenatus* individuals (1, 10, 50) (n = 3).