

# Investigating the role of energy mix and sectoral decomposition on environmental sustainability in selected European countries

by Paparas, D., Ghosh, B. and Kostakis, I.

**Copyright, publisher and additional information:** Publishers' version distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#)

[DOI link to the version of record on the publisher's site](#)



**Harper Adams  
University**



# Investigating the role of energy mix and sectoral decomposition on environmental sustainability in selected European countries



Dimitrios Papadas<sup>a,\*</sup>, Bikramaditya Ghosh<sup>b</sup>, Ioannis Kostakis<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Food, Land and Agribusiness Management, Harper Adams University, UK

<sup>b</sup> Symbiosis Institute of Business Management, Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Bangalore, India

<sup>c</sup> Department of Economics and Sustainable Development, School of Environment, Geography and Applied Economics, Harokopio University of Athens, Greece

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

CO<sub>2</sub> emissions  
Renewable energy consumption  
Agriculture  
Panel data analysis  
Sustainability

## ABSTRACT

This study investigates the interactions between per capita CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, economic growth, energy consumption (renewable and nonrenewable), agricultural, industrial, and services value-added, and trade openness across a panel of 23 European countries from 1995 to 2022. The empirical analysis is based on panel data econometric approaches (fixed effects, fully modified ordinary least squares, dynamic ordinary least squares, quantile regression models). Empirical findings reveal that economic growth and renewable energy consumption negatively impact CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across all models, highlighting its role as a crucial mitigating factor in reducing air pollution. Conversely, fossil fuel energy consumption and trade openness positively influence CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, underscoring the urgent need to transition towards more sustainable sources and trade strategies. Agriculture, industry, and services value-added are also significantly associated with air pollution, indicating areas requiring targeted interventions to curb emissions effectively. These empirical insights contribute to the literature and provide actionable plans for policymakers.

## 1. Introduction

The study explores the interrelationship between air pollution and several economic and energy-related variables across 23 selected European countries. In light of escalating climate change concerns, investigating the nexus between energy consumption, economic progress, sectoral value added, openness, and environmental quality is paramount. More specifically, this research seeks to contribute significantly to this ongoing debate by investigating how energy sources, ranging from renewable to nonrenewable, influence CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic advancement across Europe. Evidence-driven research is crucial as Europe grapples with pressing environmental concerns and strives to align with global sustainability goals. By enlightening the drivers of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth across diverse European areas, the study tries to equip policymakers with facilitating the design and implementation of targeted policies to promote environmental sustainability while fostering economic growth.

The contributions of the study are three-fold. First, the current study explores the influence of detailed energy mix consumption on air pollution across EU nations. This disaggregated analysis investigates the impact of energy consumption on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, considering the diverse energy types utilized across various sectors. Renewable and

nonrenewable categories enable the determination of the percentage of sustainable energy derived from sources like wind, solar, hydropower, and nuclear, as opposed to finite resources like coal, oil, and natural gas. This data holds significant importance for sustainability efforts, supporting policymakers and energy stakeholders in evaluating progress toward a more sustainable energy blend and determining necessary measures to promote alternative energy adoption.

Second, the agricultural industry is often characterized as contributing to gas emissions due to unsustainable farming practices. These practices usually fail to enhance productivity and ensure food security (Li et al., 2014). Still, there is a widespread belief that agriculture could be crucial in reducing air pollution or ecological footprint (Manikas et al., 2020). Globally, it is responsible for approximately 20 % of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, with methane contributing around 70 % and nitrogen oxide accounting for approximately 90 % (World Bank, 2016). Hence, a vital challenge is enhancing agricultural productivity while mitigating environmental degradation. Unlike previous research, which typically focuses solely on agricultural value-added contributions, this analysis extends its scope to encompass critical sectors such as industry (including construction) and services. By examining the impact of these sectors on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions alongside renewable and nonrenewable energy factors, this paper offers a holistic understanding of the complex

\* Corresponding author at: Harper Adams University, Newport, Shropshire TF10 8NB, UK.

E-mail address: [dpaparas@harper-adams.ac.uk](mailto:dpaparas@harper-adams.ac.uk) (D. Papadas).

dynamics driving carbon emissions in the EU. As far as our knowledge extends, this study is a pioneering effort in the broader context of environmental economics, specifically within EU-level CO<sub>2</sub> emission analysis.

Third, many studies have aimed to research the impact of economic growth, energy usage, trade activities, and agriculture on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. However, many of these studies face limitations due to traditional empirical methodologies and generalized measurement approaches. Addressing these concerns, the importance of employing innovative econometric techniques to ensure unbiased analysis results is underscored (Sharif et al., 2021). The present study utilizes nonlinear and asymmetric specifications examining specific parameter variations within the conditional probability distribution of the environmental degradation variable. The current analysis primarily relies on econometric panel methods that address cross-sectional dependence and heterogeneity issues.

After this introductory section, the subsequent part (Section 2) reviews prior literature. Section 3 outlines the methodology employed and describes the utilized data. Section 4 illustrates the empirical findings of the analysis. Section 5 deliberates on these findings, while Section 6 wraps up with suggested policy recommendations.

## 2. Literature review

Understanding the complicated relationship between economic activities, energy consumption, and environmental sustainability is crucial to mitigating climate change and forward-moving to sustainable development. Most previous empirical studies examine the dynamics of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across diverse countries and focus on the pivotal roles of income status, energy mix consumption, agriculture, industry, services, and trade openness (see Appendix, Table A). The majority of the studies have focused their interest on the effect of economic growth on air pollution (Mardani et al., 2019; Kostakis et al., 2023). Also, there is another strand in the literature that has included the effect of globalization and trade openness on environmental degradation (see inter alia Aluwani, 2023; Khurshid et al., 2022; Balsalobre-Lorente et al., 2019; Jebli and Youssef, 2015). However, our focus in this study is on the impact of the energy mix and sectoral decomposition on air pollution. Below, the first subsection examines the impact of renewable and nonrenewable energy consumption on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Following this, we explore the complicated role of sectoral decomposition in contributing to or mitigating air pollution.

### 2.1. Renewable and nonrenewable energy consumption

Several researchers have investigated the complex relationship between energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across different countries and regions in a series of studies. For instance, Liu et al. (2017a) employed panel data analysis and observed a negative (positive) impact of renewable (nonrenewable) energy consumption on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the ASEAN-4 countries from 1970 to 2013. Similarly, Aydoğan and Vardar (2020) investigated the E7 countries (Brazil, China, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Russia, and Turkey) during 1990–2014 and found a positive relationship between air pollution and fossil fuel energy consumption. Conversely, a negative relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and renewable energy consumption was observed. Moreover, Khan et al. (2022) examined data from 22 developing and developed countries spanning from 1991 to 2010, focusing on per capita CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, agriculture, forestry, and fishing value-added, cereal yield, renewable energy consumption, GNI per capita, industry and manufacturing value-added, and trade. Their results revealed a negative association between economic growth, energy consumption, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Qiao et al. (2019) studied G20 countries from 1990 to 2014. Employing several econometric approaches (*cointegration*, *vecm*, *granger causality*), they found that renewable energy consumption is a mitigating factor of environmental degradation across all economies. Similarly, Nwaka

et al. (2020) conducted a comprehensive study from 1990 to 2015 across 15 ECOWAS countries. They revealed that renewable energy has a negative relationship with total CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Jebli and Youssef (2015) found that renewable energy exhibits a weak and negative impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Tunisia over the period 1980–2009. Waheed et al. (2018) employed ARDL in Pakistan (1990–2014) and estimated that there are adverse and significant effects on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from renewable energy consumption in the long run, suggesting that increasing renewable energy can effectively improve air quality. Also, Chiu-Lan Chang (2022) confirmed the renewable energy-led growth hypothesis in BRICS countries, but surprisingly, it does not exist in N-11 economies. Similar results were found by Wang et al. (2023) for 38 sub-Saharan African countries in 2000–2019 and Kostakis (2024) in selected ASEAN economies over the period 1996–2018.

### 2.2. Agriculture, industry, and services value added

The outcomes concerning the influence of agriculture on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions diverge considerably, attributed to the diverse characteristics of different countries, varying periods, and methodological approaches employed. Two strands in the literature exist concerning the correlation between agriculture and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The first strand suggests that agriculture contributes to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and air pollution. For instance, Liu et al. (2017b), estimated that agriculture positively affected air emissions in the BRICS nations from 1992 to 2013. Similarly, Waheed et al. (2018), utilizing ARDL and VECM approaches, observed that agricultural production positively and significantly impacted CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, indicating a potential area for targeted interventions to address emissions in the agricultural sector. Hafeez et al. (2020) investigated environmental degradation and emissions dynamics in economies participating in the OBORI initiative from 1980 to 2017. They focused their interest on energy demand, total energy use, finance, agriculture, forestry, fishing value-added per worker, and forest area. Employing Westerlund cointegration analysis and Panel causality tests, they found that agriculture contributes to increased environmental degradation, while forest expansion positively influences environmental quality. Agboola and Bekun (2019) analyzed data from Nigeria covering the period from 1981 to 2014. Using the Bayer and Hanck cointegration technique and Granger causality tests, their study found that agricultural activities contribute to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Similarly, Balsalobre-Lorente et al. (2019) applied DOLS, FMOLS, cointegration, and causality tests to BRICS nations from 1990 to 2014, discovering a positive correlation between agriculture and air pollution. Similar results have been confirmed by Aydoğan and Vardar (2020), Khan et al. (2022), Khurshid et al. (2022), Balogh (2022) and Aluwani (2023).

The alternative perspective asserts that agriculture leads to a reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Jebli and Youssef (2017) conducted an extensive study across five North African countries from 1980 to 2011. Utilizing DOLS, FMOLS, cointegration, and causality tests, they identified a bidirectional relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and agriculture. Their long-term parameter estimates indicated that increased agricultural value-added was linked to a reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu (2017) conducted a study in Ghana from 1971 to 2011. They used Partial Least Squares Regression and SIMPLS regression models and revealed that a 1 % increase in the crop production index reduced carbon dioxide emissions by 0.71 %. Raihan (2023) conducted a study in Vietnam covering 1984 to 2020, using the ARDL bounds test, VECM, FMOLS, and Toda-Yamamoto empirical approaches. Empirical results showed that improving agricultural value enhances environmental quality and reduces CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Moreover, certain studies examine the concerns surrounding industrial energy usage and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions through the lens of industrial value addition. Industrial value addition measures the extent, pace, effectiveness, and composition of industrial progress. Dong et al. (2020) undertook a detailed investigation focusing on China's economic

**Table 1**  
Documentation of variables and sources.

Variable	Definition	Measurement	Source	Expected sign
$CO2_{it}$	Carbon dioxide emissions	Metric tons per capita	WDI	
$NREN_{it}$	Nonrenewable energy consumption	Quad Btu per capita	EIA	+
$REN_{it}$	Renewable energy consumption	Quad Btu per capita	EIA	-
$AVA_{it}$	Agriculture, forestry, and fishing, value added (% of GDP)	Value added (% of GDP)	WDI	+/-
$AVI_{it}$	Industry (including construction), value added (% of GDP)	Value added (% of GDP)	WDI	+/-
$AVS_{it}$	Services, value added (% of GDP)	Value added (% of GDP)	WDI	+/-
$GDP_{it}$	Gross domestic product	Constant 2015 US\$, per capita	WDI	+/-
$TO_{it}$	Trade openness	Ratio between the sum of exports and imports and the GDP	WDI	+/-

Notes: WDI: World Development Indicators; EIA: Energy Information Administration

growth and carbon emissions from 2000 to 2017. They explored various sectors, including agriculture, industry, construction, transportation, retail, accommodation, and other industries' value-added contributions to GDP and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Using the STIRPAT decomposition model and the Tapio decoupling model, the study revealed an inverse relationship between value-added contributions from sectors like agriculture, industry, and transportation and GDP and carbon emissions. Conversely, a positive correlation was noted between the value-added contributions from construction, retail, accommodation, and other industries to GDP and carbon emissions. The study highlighted a dynamic pattern of decoupling and coupling between economic growth and carbon emissions across China's major industries.

Other researchers have also examined the impact of service sector value-added on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Rafiq et al. (2016) studied 53 countries, including 30 low-to-medium-income and 23 high-income nations, from 1980 to 2010. They analyzed factors such as CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, total population, GDP per capita, industrialization, and the contributions of the service and agricultural sectors to environmental degradation. Employing panel unit root tests with structural breaks, the Westerlund (2007) test, the Bai and Perron (2003) cointegration test, and Granger causality tests, their findings showed that industrialization increased pollution, while service and agricultural value-added were associated with reduced emissions.

Likewise, Jebli et al. (2020), found that for low-income countries, the industrial value-added statistically significantly and positively influences emissions. Conversely, in lower-middle-income countries, both industrial and service value added had positive effects, whereas within upper-income countries, services added were associated with reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Singh (2021) analyzed data from 21 European countries (2000–2018) and found bidirectional causality between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and each sector. Piaggio et al. (2015) employed input-output analysis, multiplicative decomposition, and additive decomposition to explore the dynamics of total carbon dioxide emissions in Uruguay. Their research revealed significant insights into the role of services in contributing to carbon emissions. More specifically, they found that transport-related sectors predominantly generate direct emissions from services. Also, the pollution from the service subsystem substantially impacts the overall economy. Interestingly, the study highlighted that almost all pollution attributed to the service subsystem originates from non-transport-related sectors. Xu and Lin (2016) conducted a comprehensive study across 30 Chinese provinces from 2000 to 2013 and suggested a U-shaped and an inverted U-shaped relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and the manufacturing and industrial sectors, respectively.

Ramos et al. (2018) examined sectoral value-added data from 11 economic sectors in Portugal from 1996 to 2013, finding both inverted-U and N-shaped relationships. Their analysis indicated that nearly all sectors contributed to increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, highlighting the broad impact of different sectors on Portugal's air pollution landscape during this period. Murshed et al. (2020) investigated 12 OPEC countries from 1992 to 2015 and discovered that income from the transportation

sector decreased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the short term, whereas income from the construction sector increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Interestingly, income from the tourism sector was associated with reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

### 2.3. Research gap

The current literature provides valuable insights into the relationships between economic activities, energy consumption, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, yet significant gaps remain. While existing studies form a strong basis for understanding these connections, there is a notable lack of research specifically examining how the agricultural sector in various European countries interacts with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Complementary, wide-ranging research on how other economic sectors, like industry and services, influence CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across Europe is lacking. Thus, by addressing these gaps and introducing a novel approach incorporating the agriculture, industry, and service sectors into our models, we can better understand the factors driving CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in Europe. Addressing these gaps will provide a more comprehensive understanding of the factors driving CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and inform evidence-based policy interventions for European environmental sustainability.

### 3. Data and methodology

Initially, the dataset encompassed the 27 EU countries and the United Kingdom. However, due to missing data values, five countries (Cyprus, Estonia, Czech Republic, Poland, and Lithuania) had to be omitted from the analysis. The countries in the study include Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. Table 1 presents a documentation of variables along with their definitions, measurements, and data sources. The variables include CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (metric tons per capita), nonrenewable energy consumption (quad Btu per capita), renewable energy consumption (quad Btu per capita), agriculture, forestry, and fishing value added (% of GDP), industry (including construction) value added (% of GDP), services value added (% of GDP), gross domestic product per capita (Constant 2015 US\$), and trade openness (the ratio between the sum of exports and imports and GDP). The measurements and sources of these variables are detailed, with data primarily sourced from the World Development Indicators (WDI) and the Energy Information Administration (EIA).

The selection of indicators for this study is grounded in their relevance to understanding the multifaceted dynamics of environmental sustainability and economic development. CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita reflect the carbon footprint associated with economic activities. Economic growth, represented by GDP, is a fundamental indicator of a country's economic performance and potential influence on environmental outcomes. Trade openness is included to examine how the degree of a country's integration into the global economy can affect environmental sustainability. The value-added by agriculture, industry, and services sectors is crucial for understanding the sectoral

contributions to environmental quality. Finally, renewable and non-renewable energy consumption indicators are selected to capture the differing effects of energy sources on air pollution. By examining these specific indicators, the study aims to comprehensively analyze the interactions between economic activities, energy use, and environmental quality, thus offering valuable insights for policymaking and sustainable development strategies.

Table 2 presents the average summary statistics for the sample of countries.

The descriptive statistics provide a snapshot of the dataset. For CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, the maximum value observed is 183.54, with a mean of 14.84 and a standard deviation of 34.41. The median value is 6.76, indicating that the distribution is skewed to the right, as evidenced by the higher mean than the median. Similarly, for GDP per capita in constant dollars, the mean value is 31,663 dollars. Trade as a percentage of GDP exhibits a mean value of 113 %, indicating a significant trade openness for this group of European countries. Renewable energy consumption, as expected, is deficient in per capita levels, while the nonrenewable energy consumption average is around 2.67 quad btu. The last three variables, AVA (Agriculture Value Added), AVI (Industry Value Added), and AVS (Services Value Added), represent different economic sectors' contributions. AVA has a mean of 2.66, AVI has a mean of 23.51, and AVS has the highest mean of 62.43, indicating that services contribute the most to the economy. These variables show varying degrees of contribution and variability within the dataset, providing insights into the economic structure and activity across different sectors.

In the empirical analysis, this study employs annual data from 1995 to 2022 to investigate the relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, economic growth, nonrenewable energy consumption, renewable energy consumption, agriculture, industry (including construction), services value-added, and trade openness in 23 European countries. The model can be constructed based on the following formula:

$$\ln CO_{2i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln GDP_{i,t} + \beta_2 \ln REN_{i,t} + \beta_3 \ln NREN_{i,t} + \beta_4 \ln AVA_{i,t} + \beta_5 AVS_{i,t} + \beta_6 AVI_{i,t} + \beta_7 TO_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \tag{1}$$

where,  $t$  denotes the time (1995 to 2022),  $i$  denotes the 23 countries,  $\varepsilon_{i,t}$  denotes a stochastic error, respectively.  $\ln CO_{2i,t}$  is the log-transformed CO<sub>2</sub> emissions per capita,  $\ln GDP_{i,t}$  is the log-transformed income per capita,  $\ln REN_{i,t}$  is the log-transformed renewable energy consumption per capita,  $\ln NREN_{i,t}$  is the log-transformed nonrenewable energy consumption per capita,  $\ln AVA_{i,t}$  is the log-transformed agriculture value added (% of GDP),  $\ln AVI_{i,t}$  is the log-transformed industry (including construction) value added (% of GDP),  $\ln AVS_{i,t}$  is the value of the log-transformed service added (% of GDP), and  $\ln TO_{i,t}$  is the log-transformed trade openness variable.

The particular set of countries comprises 23 selected European countries. That makes them to become more integrated. Thus, cross-section dependence should be tested. Failing to address this issue and assuming independence between cross-sections can lead to inaccurate, inconsistent, and biased results from estimators (Sarafidis and Wansbeek, 2012). To detect cross-section dependence, the study utilizes four CD tests (CD, CDW, CDW+, and CD\*).<sup>1</sup> Null hypotheses state that there is no weak cross-sectional dependency between cross-sections, so any shock in one variable of the countries does not affect the others. The next step is to examine the homogeneity of the slope coefficients between the countries of interest (Pesaran and Yamagata, 2008; Blomquist and Westerlund, 2016; Bersvansen and Ditzen, 2021). The classical panel data model is as follows:

<sup>1</sup> Pesaran (2015); Juodis & Reese (2022); Fan et al. (2015); Pesaran and Xie (2021).

**Table 2**  
Summary statistics.

	Max	Mean	SD	Median
CO <sub>2i,t</sub>	183.54	14.84	34.41	6.76
GDP <sub>i,t</sub>	112,417	31,663	21,244	30,688
TO <sub>i,t</sub>	393.14	113.00	67.88	88.22
REN <sub>i,t</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NREN <sub>i,t</sub>	0.03	2.27	3.00	13.01
AVA <sub>i,t</sub>	20.48	2.66	2.38	2.08
AVI <sub>i,t</sub>	40.21	23.51	5.25	23.50
AVS <sub>i,t</sub>	80.38	62.43	6.66	62.33

Notes: Renewable energy values are not zero but close to zero.

$$y_{i,t} = a_i + \beta'_{1,i}x_{1i,t} + \beta'_{2,i}x_{2i,t} + e_{i,t} \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } t = 1, 2, \dots, t \tag{2}$$

where  $i$  and  $t$  indicate the cross-section dimension and the time period, respectively. Null hypothesis is formulated as:

$$H_0: \beta_{2i} = \beta_2 \text{ for some } i, \text{ against the alternative.}$$

$$H_0: \beta_{2i} \neq \beta_2 \text{ for some } i \neq j,$$

Based on delta approach, the test statistic assumes that  $e_{i,t}$  and  $e_{j,t}$  are independently distributed for  $i \neq j$  and/or  $t \neq s$ . However, it allows for a heterogeneous variance. The test statistic is given by:

$$\tilde{\Delta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{d}_i - k_2}{\sqrt{2k_2}} \right) \tag{3}$$

Under the null hypothesis, slope coefficients are homogeneous across cross-sectional units. We should use the appropriate unit root tests to examine the stationarity hypothesis of the panel series employed in the present study. Using first-generation panel unit root tests that rely on cross-sectional independence and do not allow for a heterogeneous cross-sectional slope coefficient in modeling is inappropriate as these methods can lead to inaccurate conclusions.<sup>2</sup> To address these issues, this study employs the cross-sectionally augmented Dickey-Fuller (CADF) panel unit root tests developed by Pesaran (2007). Therefore, in the following step of analysis, the cross-sectional augmented Dickey-Fuller (CADF) and cross-sectional IPS (CIPS) unit root tests developed by Pesaran (2007) should be utilized. CIPS test is a modified IPS test specified as follows:

$$CIPS = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n CADF_i \tag{4}$$

where CADF is the individual augmented Dickey-Fuller test that is described below:

$$\Delta y_{i,t} = a_i + \rho_i y_{i,t-1} + \beta_i \bar{y}_{i-1} + \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_{ij} \Delta \bar{y}_{i-1} + \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_{ij} \Delta y_{i-1} + e_{i,t} \tag{5}$$

where  $a_i$ ,  $k$  and  $\bar{y}_i$  are the constant, the lag specification and the temporary defined cross-sectional average respectively. The null hypothesis assumes that variables lack stationarity. Should the variables be integrated, they might demonstrate cointegration over a long period. After that, this study employs several panel cointegration approaches, specifically the demeaned options of Pedroni (1999; 2004), Kao (1999), and the bootstrapping Westerlund (2005), to assess the possible long-run relationships between the variables in the model. As mentioned earlier, the cointegration tests can give robust results in possible heterogeneity and cross-sectional dependence following the bootstrapping and demeaning options.

After establishing a long-term equilibrium relationship between the variables, the econometric analysis progresses by employing cointegration models. In our study, we utilize first and second generation

<sup>2</sup> Under cross sectional dependency, first generation panel unit root tests have lower power and may lead to spurious outcomes.

models, starting with the fixed-effects (FE), fully modified ordinary least squares (FMOLS), and dynamic ordinary least squares (DOLS). More specifically, the current study estimates the fixed effects with Driscoll and Kraay (1998) standard errors (FE-DK) technique that provides robust estimates in the presence of heteroskedasticity, cross-sectional, and serial dependence (Sarkodie and Strezov (2019)). Moreover, we employ three alternative estimations since our data is an extended and heterogeneous panel dataset. First, the fully modified ordinary least squares (FMOLS) estimator suggested by Phillips and Hansen (1990) addresses endogenous and serial correlation errors. Second, the dynamic ordinary least squares (DOLS) estimator proposed by Saikkonen (1991) and Stock and Watson (1993) is employed as it addresses endogeneity while giving normally distributed estimators. Last, this study uses the innovative Machado and Silva (2019) quantile regressions (MMQR) method to find the distributional and heterogeneous effects across quantiles while addressing cross-sectional dependence.

As a final step, to ascertain the causal relationship between the predicted variable and different predictors, the study also incorporates the recent Granger causality method proposed by Juodis et al. (2021). The uniqueness of this technique arises due to the null hypothesis assumption. Meanwhile, individual effects and autoregressive parameters may vary among individuals, with the Granger-causation parameters uniformly set to zero, indicating homogeneity (Xiao et al., (2023)). The null hypothesis posits no causal relationship between variables across all cross-sections, while the alternative hypothesis suggests the potential for a causal relationship among variables within specific groups.

#### 4. Empirical results and discussion

Starting with the observations of cross-sectional dependence as illustrated in Table 3 (panel A), the empirical findings (CD, CD<sub>w</sub>, CD<sub>w+</sub>, and CD\*) reject the assumption of weak cross-sectional independence for all variables examined. Additionally, in Panel B, the outcomes of slope homogeneity tests indicate rejection of the null hypothesis of slope homogeneity, indicating the presence of cross-country differences in coefficients.

Given these findings, the panel unit root test should be based on the second-generation estimation technique (CADF), which accounts for country-specific heterogeneity in the data. The results of panel unit root tests are reported in Table 4.

The CADF test reveals that only renewable energy consumption exhibits stationarity at levels across countries. Conversely, the remaining variables are non-stationary at the 0.05 or 0.1 significance level. However, all variables demonstrate stationarity at the 1% significance level when differenced once. Consequently, we can infer that

**Table 4**  
Panel unit root tests.

Variables	CADF	Variables	CADF
LnCO2	1.100	ΔlnCO2	-2.909***
lnGDP	-2.198	ΔlnGDP	-2.295***
lnREN	-3.066***	ΔlnREN	-9.507***
lnNREN	2.955	ΔlnNREN	-3.161***
lnTO	-1.823	ΔlnTO	-2.175**
lnAVA	-0.210	ΔlnAVA	-7.054***
lnAVI	3.474	ΔlnAVI	-2.787***
lnAVS	2.698	ΔlnAVS	-2.544***

Notes: \*\*\*, and \*\* denote 1%, and 5% significance level, respectively. Δ denotes the first difference operator. All the variables in level were tested with intercept and trend. Pesaran's CADF test presents  $Z$   $t$ -bar values.

the variables are integrated at order one I(1). This section presents the findings regarding the cointegration relationship among the variables under consideration.

Table 5 reports the results of the applied cointegration tests and shows evidence of a long-run relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, real gross domestic product, renewable energy consumption, nonrenewable energy consumption, agriculture value-added, industry (including construction), services value-added, and trade openness. Since variables are cointegrated, we can estimate the long-run relationships between the variables of interest. Table 6 presents regression models utilizing different estimation techniques to explore the dynamics between various variables, particularly their impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

Empirical evidence shows that economic growth and renewable energy consumption are linked to lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, supporting the idea that transitioning from fossil fuels to alternative energy sources can enhance environmental quality and sustainability. These findings are consistent with studies by Aydoğan and Vardar (2020), Khan et al. (2022), and Liu et al. (2017b), but they contradict the findings of Qiao et al. (2019) and Raihan (2023). Nonrenewable energy consumption is positively correlated with higher CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, aligning with studies by Liu et al. (2017a), Liu et al. (2017b), and Nwaka et al. (2020), which have shown that fossil fuel consumption leads to greater environmental degradation. Regarding trade openness, empirical results indicate a positive relationship with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. That means that more open trade economies are linked to higher air pollution. This result is consistent with the findings of Khurshid et al. (2022) but opposes the findings of Aluwani (2023).

Furthermore, regarding the structure of the economies, findings confirm that agriculture, industry (including construction), and services value-added are significantly associated with increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. These results for agriculture align with Liu et al. (2017b), Hafeez et al.

**Table 3**  
Cross-section dependence (Panel A) and slope homogeneity tests (Panel B).

Panel A: Cross-section dependence				
Variables	CD	CD <sub>w</sub>	CD <sub>w+</sub>	CD <sub>w*</sub>
LnCO2	53.35***	0.93	941.73***	-1.15
lnGDP	69.66***	-2.06**	1104.36***	-1.59
lnREN	38.72***	0.78	706.40***	-2.93***
lnNREN	44.39***	2.39**	877.53***	-1.24
lnTO	70.97***	-1.83*	1127.00***	-3.29***
lnAVA	62.17***	-0.06	997.27***	4.67***
lnAVI	45.78***	-2.55***	807.00***	-1.59
lnAVS	57.74***	-1.80*	917.76***	-2.65***
Panel B: Slope homogeneity tests				
Statistic			Δ	Δ <sub>adj</sub>
			11.696***	14.577***
p-value			0.000	0.000

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\* and \* denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance level, respectively. CD (Pesaran, 2021; Pesaran, 2015); CD<sub>w</sub> (Juodis and Reese, 2022); CD<sub>w+</sub> (Fan et al., 2015) CD<sub>w\*</sub> (Pesaran and Xie, 2021). Δ denotes the first difference operator.

**Table 5**  
Panel cointegration tests.

Pedroni (1999; 2004) with constant and trend					
Statistics	Modified Phillips-Perron <i>t</i>	Phillips-Perron <i>t</i>	Augmented Dickey-Fuller <i>t</i>		
Sample value	3.4115***	-2.3709 ***	-2.3043**		
<i>p</i> -values	0.0003	0.0089	0.0106		
Kao (1999) with constant					
Statistics	Modified Dickey-Fuller <i>t</i>	Dickey-Fuller <i>t</i>	Augmented Dickey-Fuller <i>t</i>	Unadjusted modified Dickey-Fuller <i>t</i>	Unadjusted Dickey-Fuller <i>t</i>
Sample value	-10.7564 ***	-0.3318	-0.5427	-10.8940 ***	-0.3686
<i>p</i> -values	0.000	0.37	0.297	0.000	0.356
Westerlund (2005) with constant and trend					
Statistics	Variance ratio				
Sample value	-2.62 ***				
<i>p</i> -values	0.0044				

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\* and \* denote 1 %, 5% and 10 % significance level, respectively. Kao-ADF, Pedroni-PP, and Pedroni ADF indicate ADF based on Kao (1999) and PP-based and ADF-based tests Pedroni (1999; 2004). Variance ratio statistic stands for cointegration test of Westerlund (2005). Pedroni and Westerlund cointegration vectors include time trend. Kernel method was used to estimate the long-run variance of each panel's series.

**Table 6**  
Regression models.

Variables	FE	FE-with DK	FMOLS	DOLS
lnGDP	-0.0737** (0.264)	-0.0737** (0.289)	-0.0818*** (0.008)	-0.129* (0.051)
lnREN	-0.052*** (0.004)	-0.052 *** (0.015)	-0.0494*** (0.002)	-0.043* (0.026)
lnNREN	0.949*** (0.023)	0.949*** (0.030)	0.985*** (0.008)	1.043*** (0.045)
lnTO	0.113*** (0.027)	0.113*** (0.033)	0.117*** (0.009)	0.094* (0.049)
lnAVA	0.343** (0.013)	0.343* (0.019)	0.034*** (0.004)	-0.032 (0.044)
lnAVI	0.443*** (0.044)	0.443*** (0.051)	0.420*** (0.014)	1.401*** (0.044)
lnAVS	0.670*** (0.118)	0.670*** (0.137)	0.669*** (0.037)	3.477*** (0.282)

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\* and \* denote 1 %, 5% and 10 % significance level, respectively. Numbers in parentheses represent standard errors. All variables were transformed using natural logarithms. The heterogenous pooled estimation method was employed, incorporating a constant in level as the deterministic trend across all specifications. The long-run variance estimator, utilized for computing the coefficient covariance matrix, was determined using the Newey-West Automatic bandwidth. The FMOLS estimation method employed heterogenous long-run coefficients during the initial stage of the residual calculation. A fixed number of lags and leads was also chosen for the DOLS estimation method.

**Table 7**  
Quantile regression models (MMQR).

Variables	q = 0.25	q = 0.50	q = 0.75
lnGDP	-0.078* (0.044)	-0.073** (0.030)	-0.069* (0.038)
lnREN	-0.052** (0.021)	-0.052*** (0.015)	-0.052*** (0.018)
lnNREN	0.989*** (0.049)	0.948*** (0.034)	0.906*** (0.042)
TO	0.154 (0.057)	0.111*** (0.040)	0.067 (0.049)
lnAVA	0.043** (0.022)	-0.038** (0.0155)	-0.033* (0.019)
lnAVI	0.457*** (0.087)	0.443*** (0.060)	0.428*** (0.075)
lnAVS	0.720*** (0.190)	0.669*** (0.131)	0.616*** (0.162)

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\* and \* denote 1 %, 5%, and 10 % significance level, respectively.

(2020), Waheed et al. (2018), and Agboola and Bekun (2019) but contrast with Belbute, Pereira (2017) and Asumadu-Sarkodie and Owusu (2017). Similarly, the findings for industry and services follow

Rafiq et al. (2016) and Jebli et al. (2020) but are opposed by Dong et al. (2020). After that, Table 7 presents the quantile regression models (MMQR).

The quantile approach can reveal possible asymmetric associations between the variables of interest. As can be seen, higher economic growth seems to be consistently associated with lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across all quantiles, suggesting a negative relationship between economic growth and carbon emissions, but with a diminishing effect as we move towards higher quantiles. Renewable energy consumption exhibits negative coefficients across all quantiles, signifying that higher renewable energy consumption is consistently linked to lower CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, implying that greater reliance on renewable energy sources may lead to reduced air pollution irrespective of the level of consumption. On the contrary, nonrenewable energy consumption shows positive coefficients at all quantiles, implying that higher nonrenewable energy consumption is consistently associated with increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, diminishing the effect as we progress towards higher quantiles. Trade openness demonstrates varying coefficients across quantiles, suggesting that its impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions differs at different points in the distribution. However, at the 50th quantile, higher trade openness is linked with higher CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, indicating a potential positive association between trade openness and carbon emissions at median levels. The coefficient of agriculture-added value is positive for low quantiles but becomes negative beyond certain thresholds. This implies that agriculture can mitigate air pollution at higher levels of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Finally, industry and services all exhibit positive coefficients across all quantiles, indicating that higher value added in industry and services sectors, is associated with increased CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, highlighting the role of economic activities in driving carbon emissions regardless of their level. Lastly, the heterogenous panel causality test, which detects possible causality directions amid the considered variables, proposed by Juodis et al. (2021), is presented in Table 8.

The Juodis et al. (2021) Granger causality findings show a bidirectional relationship at a 5 % significance level between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and economic growth. Similarly, the feedback hypothesis is confirmed between CO<sub>2</sub> and trade openness at a 1 % significance level. There is a significant unidirectional causality from renewable energy consumption to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, while there is unidirectional causality from CO<sub>2</sub> emissions to nonrenewable energy consumption. Finally, a significant unidirectional causality is observed between AVA, AVI, AVS, and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the selected European countries, flowing from the AVA, AVS and AVI to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

## 5. Conclusions and policy implications

This study's primary objective was to investigate the intricate

**Table 8**  
Panel Granger causality tests.

<i>H<sub>0</sub></i>	<i>HPJ Wald-Stat</i>	<i>BIC selection</i>
lnGDP does not Granger-cause lnCO2	3.024*	-3049.81* (1 lag)
lnCO2 does not Granger-cause lnGDP	8.238**	-3629.64* (1 lag)
lnREN does not Granger-cause lnCO2	3.1248*	-2980.36* (1 lag)
lnCO2 does not Granger-cause lnREN	1.8090	-1214.46* (1 lag)
lnNREN does not Granger-cause lnCO2	3.88**	-3115.72* (1 lag)
lnCO2 does not Granger-cause lnNREN	0.826	-3040.35* (1 lag)
lnTO does not Granger-cause lnCO2	12.276***	-3028.45* (1 lag)
lnCO2 does not Granger-cause lnTO	9.2094**	-2938.76* (1 lag)
lnAVA does not Granger-cause lnCO2	17.42***	-3022.89* (1 lag)
lnCO2 does not Granger-cause lnAVA	0.613	-2233.02* (1 lag)
lnAVI does not Granger-cause lnCO2	13.96***	-3037.39* (1 lag)
lnCO2 does not Granger-cause lnAVI	0.0018	-3383.25* (1 lag)
lnAVS does not Granger-cause lnCO2	12.92***	-3034.15* (1 lag)
lnCO2 does not Granger-cause lnAVS	1.737	-4031.57* (1 lag)

Notes: \*\*\*, \*\* and \* denote 1%, 5% and 10% significance level, respectively. The bootstrapping approach is implemented.

relationships between economic, energy-related variables and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Employing various regression models, we sought to understand the drivers behind air pollution. Our empirical analysis yields many significant findings regarding the indicators influencing air pollution. Notably, economic growth must mitigate environmental sustainability. Renewable energy consumption consistently and negatively impacts CO<sub>2</sub> emissions across all models, highlighting its crucial role in reducing carbon emissions. Conversely, nonrenewable energy consumption showcased a positive relationship with air pollution, underscoring the pressing need for transitioning towards more sustainable energy sources. Furthermore, our results reveal that sectors such as agriculture, industry, and services value-added display significant associations with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, shedding light on areas that effectively necessitate targeted interventions to curb European emissions. Trade openness can also play a vital role in European air pollution levels.

The policy implications drawn from our study are multifaceted and profound. First, given the significant impact of renewable energy consumption on reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, policymakers are advised to prioritize investments in renewable energy infrastructure and promote energy efficiency measures. More specifically, shifting from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources offers a double success for environmental health. Burning fossil fuels is the dominant release of significant CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. In other words, policymakers and stakeholders must combat climate change by incentivizing renewable energy infrastructure that will drastically reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Feed-in tariffs, tax credits, and renewable portfolio standards are possible financial and structural measures to foster sustainability. In addition, stricter energy efficiency standards and public education campaigns could create a powerful force for a cleaner future.

Additionally, empirical results suggest that economic growth could mitigate air pollution in Europe, implying the need for new policies promoting sustainable economic growth. Emphasizing investments in green technologies and infrastructure can facilitate this goal. The added value in agriculture, industry, and services poses a complex challenge for policymakers. Findings indicate that while value-added in these sectors increases, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions may also rise. Therefore, policies promoting precision agriculture, energy-efficient technologies in industries, and low-carbon service industries like green finance are

**Appendix**

Table 1

crucial. By implementing these strategies, governments and stakeholders can achieve economic prosperity while maintaining environmental responsibility.

Despite the valuable policy implications of this study, future research must address several shortcomings. It should investigate the effectiveness of specific policy interventions in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and explore innovative strategies for sustainable emission reduction. Furthermore, examining the interactions between socioeconomic variables and environmental outcomes across diverse geographical contexts can provide essential insights for regional policy-making and climate change mitigation. Continuous research and informed policy-making are essential for a sustainable and resilient future for coming generation.

**Funding**

This research has not received any grant from public, private, or non-profit funding agencies.

**CRedit authorship contribution statement**

**Dimitrios Papadas:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Supervision, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. **Bikramaditya Ghosh:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis. **Ioannis Kostakis:** Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Investigation, Formal analysis.

**Data Availability**

Data will be made available on request.

**Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.



Summary of the literature regarding their region, methodology, and key findings.

#	Authors	Countries/ regions	Period	Variables	Methods	Findings
1	Jebli and Youssef (2015)	Tunisia	1980–2009	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, NREN, GDP, TR	ARDL, Cointegration, Granger Causality	In long-run estimations, NREN and TR positively influence CO <sub>2</sub> . Conversely, REN exhibits a weak and negative impact on CO <sub>2</sub> .
2	Piaggio et al. (2015)	Uruguay	2004	CO <sub>2</sub>	Input–output analysis	Services' direct emissions are primarily from transport-related sectors. Pollution generated by the service subsystem significantly impacts the rest of the economy. Nearly all pollution attributed to the service subsystem originates from non-transport-related sectors.
3	Xu and Lin (2016)	30 Chinese provinces	2000-2013	CO <sub>2</sub> , POP, GDP, Specific energy consumption, Urb, Ind, Energy consumption structure	Unit root, cointegration and concavity tests	Nonlinear effect of population growth on CO <sub>2</sub> in manufacturing industry: positive "U-shaped" pattern. Economic growth: inverted "U-shaped" effect, supporting the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC). Specific energy consumption: positive "U-shaped" pattern concerning CO <sub>2</sub> emissions. Nonlinear impact of industrialization: inverted "U-shaped" pattern, indicating increased CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in the early stages of rapid growth.
4	Rafiq et al. (2016)	53 countries	1980-2010	CO <sub>2</sub> , POP, GDP, AVA, AVS, AVI	cointegration test, Granger causality tests	Nonlinear estimations show that industrialization increases pollution levels; AVS, and AVA help reduce emissions. Linear panel estimates, affluence, NREN, and energy intensity are the major drivers behind pollutant emissions.
5	Liu et al. (2017a)	ASEAN-4 countries	1970-2013	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, NREN, GDP, AVA	cointegration tests, OLS, FMOLS, DOLS, Panel causality test	REN exerts a negative influence, whereas NREN positively impacts CO <sub>2</sub> emissions. Additionally, AVA to CO <sub>2</sub> has a negative effect. The study reveals unidirectional causalities exist in a unidirectional manner from NREN to AVA, from GDP to AVA, and directly from AVA to REN, while causal relationships extend from AVA and GDP to CO <sub>2</sub>
6	Liu et al. (2017b)	BRICS	1992-2013	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, NREN, GDP, AVA	cointegration and causality tests	GDP, REN negatively impact emissions, whereas NREN and AVA positively affect CO <sub>2</sub> .
7	Jebli and Youssef (2017)	five North Africa countries	1980-2011	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, NREN, GDP, AVA	DOLS, FMOLS, Cointegration tests, Causality test	Bidirectional causality exists between CO <sub>2</sub> and AVA, indicating mutual influences. Unidirectional causality is observed from AVA to GDP, from GDP to REN, and from REN back to AVA
8	Asumadu-sarkodie, and Owusu (2017)	Ghana	1971- 2011	CO <sub>2</sub> , AVI, POP, TR, GDP, Fossil fuel energy consumption, Livestock production index, Electricity production, Crop production index, biomass burned crop, Enteric Emissions, Methane Emissions, Nitrous Oxide Emissions	Partial Least Squares Regression, SIMPLS regression model,	A linear correlation exists between energy, agriculture, macroeconomic factors, human-induced indicators, and carbon dioxide emissions. Specifically, increasing the crop production index by 1% is associated with reducing CO <sub>2</sub> by 0.71%. Moreover, a 1% increase in GDP leads to a decrease in CO <sub>2</sub> by 0.46%, aligning with the environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis.
9	Waheed et al. (2018)	Pakistan	1990-2014	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, AVA and the covered forest area	ARDL, VECM	In the long run, there are adverse and significant effects on CO <sub>2</sub> from REN and forest coverage, suggesting that increasing REN and expanding forest areas can effectively reduce CO <sub>2</sub> . Conversely, AVA has a positive and significant impact on CO <sub>2</sub> .

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

#	Authors	Countries/ regions	Period	Variables	Methods	Findings
10	Ramos et al. (2018)	Portugal	1996-2013	CO <sub>2</sub> , Agriculture; Extractive; Manufacturing; Electricity, gas, water; Construction; Wholesale and retail trade; Transport; Accommodation, catering; Financial and insurance; Real estate; Human health	OLS	Nearly every sector of activity demonstrates a notable and favourable trend, indicating their contribution to the rise in CO <sub>2</sub>
11	Qiao et al. (2019)	G20 countries	1990-2014	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, GDP, AVA	cointegration test, VECM Granger causality test	EKC indeed exists in all economies. AVA significantly increases CO <sub>2</sub> , REN reduces CO <sub>2</sub> in all economies, while GDP only positively impacts CO <sub>2</sub> for developing economies.
12	Agboola and Bekun (2019)	Nigeria	1981–2014	GDP, AVA, TO, FDI, CO <sub>2</sub> , and EC.	Bayer and Hanck cointegration technique, Granger causality test	Inverted U-shape relationship between environmental degradation and income level, AVA contribute to CO <sub>2</sub> , FDI attraction helps mitigate CO <sub>2</sub>
13	Balsalobre-Lorente et al. (2019)	Brazil, Russia, India, China and South Africa	1990-2014	GDP, Electricity consumption, CO <sub>2</sub> , Agricultural sector, TO,	DOLS, FMOLS, Cointegration and causality tests	A positive connection between electricity consumption, the agricultural sector, TO, and CO <sub>2</sub> . The impact of mobile use is harmful. Inverted U-Shape between GDP and CO <sub>2</sub>
14	Nwaka et al. (2020)	15 ECOWAS countries	1990-2015	CO, Liquid CO <sub>2</sub> , AVA, GNI, REN, AVI, TO	Random, Fixed Effects, FMOLS, Panel quantile regression, cointegration tests	REN is negatively correlated with total CO <sub>2</sub> , while TR also shows a negative correlation. AVA reduces CO <sub>2</sub> from liquid sources but increases CO <sub>2</sub> , suggesting a transition from mechanized to traditional farming methods and the use of agricultural biomass for energy.
15	Hafeez et al. (2020)	OBORI economies	1980-2017	CO <sub>2</sub> , AVA, Energy demand, Total Energy use, Forest area	cointegration Test, FMOLS, DOLS, Panel causality test	Agriculture and energy demand contribute to environmental degradation, while forests enhance environmental quality. There's a bidirectional causality inferred between environmental degradation, finance, agriculture, and energy demand.
16	Dong et al. (2020)	China	2000-2017	agriculture, industry, construction, transportation, retail and accommodation and other industries value-added, GDP, CO <sub>2</sub>	STIRPAT decomposition model	The share of agriculture, industry, and transportation value added to GDP correlates negatively with CO <sub>2</sub> . Conversely, the share of construction, retail, accommodation, and other industries value added to GDP correlates positively with CO <sub>2</sub>
17	Jebli et al. (2020)	102 countries	1990–2015	CO <sub>2</sub> , GDP, REN, AVI, AVS	Generalized Method of Moments system and Granger causality test	In low-income countries, GDP and AVI positively affect CO <sub>2</sub> emissions. However, in lower-middle-income countries, GDP has a negative impact on CO <sub>2</sub> , while both AVI and AVS contribute positively to CO <sub>2</sub> . Conversely, GDP is positively associated with CO <sub>2</sub> in upper-income countries. However, REN and AVS lead to reduced CO <sub>2</sub> levels in these countries.
18	Aydođan and Vardar (2020)	E7	1990-2014	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, NREN, GDP, AVA	cointegration tests, OLS, FMOLS, DOLS, Panel causality test	Over time, a positive relationship exists between CO <sub>2</sub> and GDP, NREN and AVA. Conversely, a negative correlation exists between CO <sub>2</sub> and the square of GDP and REN
19	Murshed et al. (2020)	12 OPEC countries	1992-2015	CO <sub>2</sub> , Gross value added, EC, TO, Urb, AVS	dynamic SDM model	EC decreases CO <sub>2</sub> . TR increases CO <sub>2</sub> . Urb increases CO <sub>2</sub> . National income from the transportation sector decreases CO <sub>2</sub> in the short run. National income from the construction sector increases CO <sub>2</sub> . National income from the tourism sector decreases CO <sub>2</sub> .

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

#	Authors	Countries/ regions	Period	Variables	Methods	Findings
20	Singh (2021)	21 European countries	2000-2018	CO <sub>2</sub> , FDI, GDP, Gross Value Added (GVA) of agriculture, service, manufacturing, and resource extensive industries	3SLS CGVA, AGVA, SGVA models	The relationship between CO <sub>2</sub> and each industrial sector exhibits bidirectional causality. There exists a bidirectional relationship between CO <sub>2</sub> and GDP. The relationship between CO <sub>2</sub> and FDI yields heterogeneous findings.
21	Khan et al. (2022)	22 developing and developed countries	1991- 2010	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, AVA, GNI, AVI, TR	FMOLS, random effect (RE), fixed effect (FE), and quantile regression	AVA has a positive impact on overall CO <sub>2</sub> but a negative impact, specifically on CO <sub>2</sub> from liquid fuel usage. A negative correlation was observed between CO <sub>2</sub> and GDP in developing countries and their energy consumption.
22	Khurshid et al. (2022)	Pakistan	1971- 2021	CO <sub>2</sub> , GDP, Energy use, TR, Globalization Index, Urb	ECM, NARDL,	Agriculture production, GDP, and Energy use, are significant contributors to CO <sub>2</sub> ; however, TR decreases CO <sub>2</sub> , and the impact of globalization and economic globalization is insignificant to CO <sub>2</sub>
23	Balogh (2022)	152 Non-EU countries	2000-2018	CO <sub>2</sub> , GDP, Agricultural machinery, AVA, Agricultural raw materials exports.	Dumitrescu and Hurlin causality test, FMOLS, DOLS, Kao cointegration test.	AVA leads to increased CO <sub>2</sub> , while agricultural exports have a diminishing effect on greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.
24	Chang and Fang (2022)	BRICS and N-11 economies	1995–2019	GDP,REN, TO, labor force, gross fixed capital formation.	Augmented Mean Group and Methods of Moments Quantile Regression (MMQR)	The renewable energy-led growth hypothesis applies to BRICS countries across all quantiles in the AMG model, but it surprisingly does not hold for N-11 economies in either estimation.
25	Tagwi (2022)	South Africa	1972- 2021	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, AVA, Mean annual temperature, Annual precipitation	ARDL bounds test, Error Correction, Granger Causality Tests	Climate change hampers agricultural economic growth, while CO <sub>2</sub> rise with agricultural economic growth.
26	Aluwani (2023)	South Africa	1990 - 2021	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, AVA, TO	Cointegration and causality tests	The impact of REN was negligible in both short and long terms. Expansion in the agricultural sector contributes to environmental degradation, while TR benefits this sector. Additionally, the pace of renewable energy supply growth has hindered the agricultural economy.
27	Adebayo et al. (2023)	Pakistan	1965- 2021	CO <sub>2</sub> , GDP, EC, Urb, AVA	Causality in continuous wavelet transform	Urb, AVA, EC, and CO <sub>2</sub> positively influence GDP. There's a feedback relationship between GDP and the factors (CO <sub>2</sub> , Urb, EC and AVA)
28	Raihan (2023)	Vietnam	1984 - 2020	CO <sub>2</sub> , GDP, Energy use, AVA	ARDL bounds test, VECM, FMOLS, Toda-Yamamoto causality test	GDP and energy use drive up CO <sub>2</sub> , while improving AVA adds quality and reduces CO <sub>2</sub> .
29	Wang et al. (2023)	38 sub-Saharan African countries	2000-2019	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, GDP, Urb, EI, agricultural land	FGLS, GMM	REN lowers CO <sub>2</sub> s, whereas agriculture increases them. The reduction in CO <sub>2</sub> due to REN is more significant in low-income countries compared to high-income countries.
30	Kostakis et al. (2023)	OECD countries	2014-2019	Global sustainable competitiveness (Index), Coal use, Petroleum use, Natural gas use, Renewable energy use, HDI, GDP, TO, Urb	OLS, FGLS, Quantile regressions, GMM	fossil fuels decrease sustainability, renewable and nuclear energy contribute positively to sustainable socioeconomic development, alternative energy sources strongly influence socioeconomic sustainability in the lower and upper quantiles, the HDI and TO improve sustainability

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

#	Authors	Countries/ regions	Period	Variables	Methods	Findings
31	Kostakis et al. (2023)	Middle East and North Africa-MENA	1994-2014	CO <sub>2</sub> , GDP, REN, NREN, TO, Population Density, Globalization Index.	Cointegration tests, FGLS, FMOLS, DOLS, and Granger causality	Energy consumption has a notable negative impact on environmental quality. Globalization exacerbates environmental degradation, while trade openness and population density could potentially mitigate CO <sub>2</sub> .
32	Ghosh et al. (2024)	Global	1961–2023	Total Renewable Water resources per capita (TRW), Total Internal Renewable Water resources per capita (TIRW), Total Water Withdrawal per capita (TWW), Global Food Consumption per capita (GFC), Global Crop Production (GCP), and Global Electricity Consumption (GEC).	Quantile Vector Auto-Regression (QVAR)	Positive shocks have a more pronounced effect on variables than negative shocks. Crop production primarily responds to shocks. Renewable water consistently emits more than it absorbs in all scenarios, whereas water withdrawal is significant during negative shocks and neutral periods.
33	HAMED et al., (2024)	Selected MENA countries	1990-2015	CO <sub>2</sub> , AVA, EC, Crop water productivity	Westerlund cointegration, CCE-MG regression, VECM Granger Causality test.	Short-term: AVA influences CO <sub>2</sub> and water productivity affects CO <sub>2</sub> unilaterally, without reciprocal effects. A mutual relationship exists between EC and CO <sub>2</sub> . Long-term: CO <sub>2</sub> emissions, AVA, water productivity, and EC exhibit feedback causality.
34	Kostakis (2024)	Selected ASEAN economies	1996-2018	CO <sub>2</sub> , REN, capital account openness index,	Cointegration tests, FE, Quantile regression	REN enhances environmental quality, while financial openness contributes to environmental degradation. Quantile analysis demonstrates that GDP impact diminishes with rising CO <sub>2</sub> , whereas financial openness has a stronger effect in countries with higher air pollution levels.

## References

- Adebayo, T.S., Alola, A.A., Ullah, S., Abbas, S., 2023. The growth impacts of agriculture value-added, energy utilization, and environmental degradation in Pakistan: causality in continuous wavelet transform approach (Available from:). *Nat. Resour. Forum*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-8947.12306>
- Agboola, M.O., Bekun, F.V., 2019. Does agricultural value added induce environmental degradation? empirical evidence from an agrarian country (Available from:). *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 26 (27), 27660–27676. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05943-z>
- Aluwani, T., 2023. Agricultural economic growth, renewable energy supply and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions nexus. *Economies* 11 (3).
- Asumadu-Sarkodie, S., Owusu, P.A., 2017. The impact of energy, agriculture, macro-economic and human-induced indicators on environmental pollution: evidence from Ghana (Available from:). *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 24 (7), 6622–6633. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-016-8321-6>
- Aydoğan, B., Vardar, G., 2020. Evaluating the role of renewable energy, economic growth and agriculture on CO<sub>2</sub> emission in E7 countries (Available from:). *Int. J. Sustain. Energy* 39 (4), 335–348. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2019.1686380>
- Bai, J., Perron, P., 2003. Computation and analysis of multiple structural change models. *J. Appl. Economet.* 18 (1), 1–22.
- Balogh, J.M., 2022. The impacts of agricultural development and trade on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions? Evidence from the non-European union countries. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 137, 99–108. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1462901122002532>
- Balsalobre-Lorente, D., Driha, O.M., Bekun, F.V., Osundina, O.A., 2019. Do agricultural activities induce carbon emissions? the BRICS experience (Available from:). *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 26 (24), 25218–25234. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05737-3>
- Belbute, J.M., Pereira, A.M., 2017. Do global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil-fuel consumption exhibit long memory? a fractional-integration analysis (Available from:). *Appl. Econ.* 49 (40), 4055–4070. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2016.1273508>
- Bersvendsen, T., Ditzgen, J., 2021. Testing for slope heterogeneity in stata. *Stata J.* 21 (1), 51–80.
- Blomquist, J., Westerlund, J., 2016. Panel bootstrap tests of slope homogeneity. *Empirical Econ.* 50, 1359–1381.
- Chang, C., Fang, M., 2022. Renewable energy-led growth hypothesis: new insights from BRICS and N-11 economies. *Renew. Energy* 188, 788–800. (Available from:). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S096014812200194X>
- Dong, B., Ma, X., Zhang, Z., Zhang, H., Chen, R., Song, Y., Shen, M., Xiang, R., 2020. Carbon emissions, the industrial structure and economic growth: evidence from heterogeneous industries in China. *Environ. Pollut.* 262, 114322. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0269749119363456>
- Driscoll, J.C., Kraay, A.C., 1998. Consistent covariance matrix estimation with spatially dependent panel data. *Rev. Econ. Stat.* 80 (4), 549–560.
- Fan, J., Liao, Y., Yao, J., 2015. Power enhancement in high-dimensional cross-sectional tests. *Econometrica* 83 (4), 1497–1541.
- Ghosh, B., Gubareva, M., Ghosh, A., Paparas, D., Vo, X.V., 2024. Food, energy, and water nexus: a study on interconnectedness and trade-offs. *Energy Econ.* 133, 107521. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140988324002299>
- Jebli, B.M., Youssef, B.S., 2015. The environmental kuznets curve, economic growth, renewable and non-renewable energy, and trade in Tunisia. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 47, 173–185. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1364032115001379>
- Jebli, B.M., Youssef, B.S., 2017. The role of renewable energy and agriculture in reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: evidence for north Africa countries. *Ecol. Indic.* 74, 295–301. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1470160X16306690>
- Hafeez, M., Yuan, C., Shah, W., Ul Hassan, Mahmood, M.T., Li, X., Iqbal, K., 2020. Evaluating the relationship among agriculture, energy demand, finance and environmental degradation in one belt and one road economies. *Carbon Manag.* 11 (2), 139–154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17583004.2020.1721974>
- HAMED, L.M.M., Dhaouadi, L., Zehri, F., Tiba, S., Besser, H., Karbout, N., EMARA, E.I.R., 2024. Examining the relationship between the economic growth, energy use, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and water resources: evidence from selected MENA countries. *J. Saudi Soc. Agric. Sci.* <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1658077x24000286>
- Jebli, B.M., Farhani, S., Guesmi, K., 2020. Renewable energy, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and value added: empirical evidence from countries with different income levels. *Struct. Change Econ. Dynam.* 53, 402–410. (Available from:). <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S09543449x19303637>
- Juodis, A., Karavias, Y., Sarafidis, V., 2021. A homogeneous approach to testing for granger non-causality in heterogeneous panels. *Empir. Econ.* 60 (1), 93–112. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00181-020-01970-9>
- Juodis, A., Reese, S., 2022. The incidental parameters problem in testing for remaining cross-section correlation. *J. Bus. Economic Stat.* 40 (3), 1191–1203.
- Kao, C., 1999. Spurious regression and residual-based tests for cointegration in panel data. *J. Economet.* 90 (1), 1–44.
- Khan, R., Zhuang, W., Najumuddin, O., Butt, R.S., Ahmad, I., Al-Faryan, M., 2022. The impact of agricultural intensification on carbon dioxide emissions and energy consumption: a comparative study of developing and developed nations. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 10. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1036300>
- Khurshid, N., Khurshid, J., Shakoor, U., Ali, K., 2022. Asymmetric effect of agriculture value added on CO<sub>2</sub> emission: does globalization and energy consumption matter for

- pakistan. *Front. Energy Res.* 10. <<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fenrg.2022.1053234>>.
- Kostakis, I., 2024. An empirical investigation of the nexus among renewable energy, financial openness, economic growth, and environmental degradation in selected ASEAN economies. *J. Environ. Manag.* 354, 120398. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479724003840>>.
- Kostakis, I., Armaos, S., Abeliotis, K., Theodoropoulou, E., 2023. The investigation of EKC within CO<sub>2</sub> emissions framework: empirical evidence from selected cross-correlated countries. *Sustain. Analyt. Model.* 3, 100015. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2667259623000012>>.
- Kostakis, I., Paparas, D., Tsagarakis, K.P., 2023. Disaggregated energy use and socio-economic sustainability within OECD countries. *J. Environ. Manag.* 334, 117475. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479723002633>>.
- Liu, X., Zhang, S., Bae, J., 2017a. The impact of renewable energy and agriculture on carbon dioxide emissions: investigating the environmental kuznets curve in four selected ASEAN countries. *J. Clean. Prod.* 164, 1239–1247. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652617315147>>.
- Liu, X., Zhang, S., Bae, J., 2017b. The nexus of renewable energy-agriculture-environment in BRICS. *Appl. Energy* 204, 489–496. (Available from). <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0306261917309480>>.
- Machado, J.A., Silva, J.S., 2019. Quantiles via moments. *J. Economet.* 213 (1), 145–173.
- Manikas, I., Malindretos, G., Abeliotis, K., 2020. Sustainable Cities through alternative urban farming: the case of floriculture. *J. Int. Food Agribus. Mark.* 32 (3), 295–311.
- Mardani, A., Streimikiene, D., Cavallaro, F., Loganathan, N., Khoshnoudi, M., 2019. Carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions and economic growth: a systematic review of two decades of research from 1995 to 2017. *Sci. Total Environ.* 649, 31–49. (Available from). <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969718331930>>.
- Murshed, M., Nurmakhanova, M., Elheddad, M., Ahmed, R., 2020. Value addition in the services sector and its heterogeneous impacts on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions: revisiting the EKC hypothesis for the OPEC using panel spatial estimation techniques (Available from). *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 27 (31), 38951–38973. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-09593-4>
- Nwaka, I.D., Nwogu, M.U., Uma, K.E., Ike, G.N., 2020. Agricultural production and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from two sources in the ECOWAS region: new insights from quantile regression and decomposition analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* 748, 141329. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969720348580>>.
- Pedroni, P., 2004. Panel cointegration: asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis. *Economet. Theory* 20 (3), 597–625.
- Pedroni, P., 1999. Critical values for cointegration tests in heterogeneous panels with multiple regressors. *Oxford Bull. Econ. Stat.* 61 (S1), 653–670.
- Pesaran, M.H., 2021. General diagnostic tests for cross-sectional dependence in panels. *Empir. Econ.* 60 (1), 13–50.
- Pesaran, M.H., 2015. Testing weak cross-sectional dependence in large panels. *Economet. Rev.* 34 (6-10), 1089–1117.
- Pesaran, M.H., 2007. A simple panel unit root test in the presence of cross-section dependence. *J. Appl. Economet.* 22 (2), 265–312.
- Pesaran, M.H. and Xie, Y., 2021. A bias-corrected CD test for error cross-sectional dependence in panel data models with latent factors. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2109.00408*, [Online]. Available from:
- Pesaran, M.H., Yamagata, T., 2008. Testing slope homogeneity in large panels. *J. Economet.* 142 (1), 50–93.
- Phillips, P.C.B., Hansen, B.E., 1990. Statistical inference in instrumental variables regression with I(1) processes (Available from:). *Rev. Econ. Stud.* 57 (1), 99–125. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2297545>
- Piaggio, M., Alcántara, V., Padilla, E., 2015. The materiality of the immaterial: service sectors and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in uruguay. *Ecol. Econ.* 110, 1–10. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S092180091400370X>>.
- Qiao, H., Zheng, F., Jiang, H., Dong, K., 2019. The greenhouse effect of the agriculture-economic growth-renewable energy nexus: evidence from G20 countries. *Sci. Total Environ.* 671, 722–731. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969719313348>>.
- Rafiq, S., Salim, R., Apergis, N., 2016. Agriculture, trade openness and emissions: an empirical analysis and policy options. *Austr. J. Agric. Resour. Econ.* 60 (3), 348–365. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8489.12131>
- Raihan, A., 2023. An econometric evaluation of the effects of economic growth, energy use, and agricultural value added on carbon dioxide emissions in vietnam. *Asia-Pacific J. Reg. Sci.* 7 (3), 665–696. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41685-023-00278-7>
- Ramos, A.H., Madaleno, M. and Amorim Varum, C. 2018. 2018 15th international conference on the european energy market (EEM).
- Saikkonen, P., 1991. Asymptotically efficient estimation of cointegration regressions. *Economet. Theory* 7 (1), 1–21. : <<http://www.jstor.org/stable/3532106>>.
- Sarafidis, V., Wansbeek, T., 2012. Cross-sectional dependence in panel data analysis. *Economet. Rev.* 31 (5), 483–531.
- Sarkodie, S.A., Strezov, V., 2019. Effect of foreign direct investments, economic development and energy consumption on greenhouse gas emissions in developing countries. *Sci. Total Environ.* 646, 862–871. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969718328602>>.
- Singh, D. and European, A. 2021. *The linkage between CO<sub>2</sub>, FDI, economic growth, and value-added: A european perspective.* [Online]. Available from:
- Stock, J.H., Watson, M.W., 1993. A simple estimator of cointegrating vectors in higher order integrated systems. *Econometrica* 61 (4), 783–820. Available from: <<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2951763>>.
- Tagwi, A., 2022. The impacts of climate change, carbon dioxide emissions (CO<sub>2</sub>) and renewable energy consumption on agricultural economic growth in south africa: ARDL approach. *Sustainability* 14 (24).
- Waheed, R., Chang, D., Sarwar, S., Chen, W., 2018. Forest, agriculture, renewable energy, and CO<sub>2</sub> emission. *J. Clean. Prod.* 172, 4231–4238. <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652617325891>>.
- Wang, J., Jiang, C., Li, M., Zhang, S., Zhang, X., 2023. Renewable energy, agriculture, and carbon dioxide emissions nexus: implications for sustainable development in sub-saharan african countries. *Sustain. Environ. Res.* 33 (1), 31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42834-023-00193-8>
- Westerlund, J., 2007. Testing for error correction in panel data. *Oxford Bull. Econ. Stat.* 69 (6), 709–748.
- Westerlund, J., 2005. New simple tests for panel cointegration. *Economet. Rev.* 24 (3), 297–316.
- World Bank. 2016. World development indicators: Agricultural methane emissions.
- Xiao, J., Karavias, Y., Juodis, Artūras, Sarafidis, V., Ditzén, J., 2023. Improved tests for granger noncausality in panel data (Available from:). *Stata J.* 23 (1), 230–242. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1536867x231162034>
- Xu, B., Lin, B., 2016. Reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in china's manufacturing industry: evidence from nonparametric additive regression models. *Energy* 101, 161–173. (Available from). <<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0360544216300494>>.