

Impact of dairy calf management practices on the intestinal tract microbiome pre-weaning

By Carroll, A., Bell, M.J., Bleach, E.C., Turner, D. and Williams, L.K.

Copyright, publisher and additional information: Publishers' version distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](#)

[DOI link to the version of record on the publisher's site](#)



**Harper Adams
University**

Carroll, A., Bell, M.J., Bleach, E.C., Turner, D. and Williams, L.K. (2025) 'Impact of dairy calf management practices on the intestinal tract microbiome pre-weaning', *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 74(1), article number 001957.

Impact of dairy calf management practices on the intestinal tract microbiome preweaning.

1.1 Author names

Aisling Carroll¹, Matt J. Bell¹, Emma C.L Bleach², Dann Turner³, Lisa K. Williams¹

1.2 Affiliation(s)

¹ Animal and Agriculture Department, Hartpury University, Gloucester, GL19 3BE, UK

² Animal Science Research Centre, Harper Adams University, Edgmond, Newport, Shropshire, TF10 8NB, UK

³ University of the West of England, Bristol, Coldharbour Lane, Bristol, BS16 1QY, UK

1.3 Corresponding author and email address

Aisling Carroll, aisling.carroll2@hartpury.ac.uk

1.4 Keywords

Dairy calves; microbiome; microbiota; health; husbandry

2. Abstract

Background: Microbiota in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) consisting of the rumen and hindgut (the small intestine, cecum, and colon) in dairy calves, plays a vital role in their growth and development. This review discusses the development of dairy calf intestinal microbiomes with an emphasis on the impact that husbandry and rearing management have on microbiome development, health and growth of pre-weaned dairy calves.

Discussion: The diversity and composition of the microbes that colonise the lower GIT (small and large intestine) can have a significant impact on growth and development of the calf, through influence on nutrient metabolism, immune modulation, resistance or susceptibility to infection, production outputs and behaviour modification in adult life. The colonisation of the calf intestinal microbiome dynamically changes from birth, increasing in microbial richness and diversity until weaning, where further dynamic and drastic microbiome change occur. In dairy calves, neonatal microbiome development prior to weaning is influenced by direct and indirect factors, some of which could be considered stressors, such as maternal interaction, environment, diet, husbandry, and weaning practices. The specific impact of these can dictate intestinal microbial colonisation, with potential lifelong consequences.

35 **Conclusion:** Evidence suggests the potential detrimental effect that sudden changes and stress may
36 have on calf health and growth due to management and husbandry practices, and the importance of
37 establishing a stable yet diverse intestinal microbiome population at an early age is essential for calf
38 success. The possibility of improving the health of calves through intestinal microbiome modulation
39 and using alternative strategies including probiotic use, faecal microbiota transplantation, and novel
40 approaches of microbiome tracking should be considered to support animal health and sustainability
41 of dairy production systems.

42

43 3. Data summary

44 Not applicable.

45

46 4. Introduction

47 Microbiota in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) consisting of the rumen and hindgut (the small intestine,
48 cecum, and colon) in calves, plays a vital role in the growth and development, GIT function and
49 fermentation, immunocompetence and behaviour of the calf (Dias *et al.*, 2018; Amin & Seifert, 2021;
50 Du *et al.*, 2023). Microbial establishment in the GIT of a newborn calf is influenced by exposure to
51 microorganisms from the mother, environment, milk and other feeds, and conspecific interactions
52 (Orihuela & Galina, 2019). The diversity and composition of the bacteria that colonise the rumen and
53 the small and large intestine influence nutrient metabolism, pathogenic defence, immune modulation,
54 resistance or susceptibility to infection, production outputs and behaviour in adult life (Diao, Zhang &
55 Fu, 2019). During the period before weaning, neonatal calves have a developing rumen, and milk
56 passes through a primarily monogastric digestive system. Hindgut microbial fermentation produces
57 numerous compounds (e.g. B vitamins and amino acids) that may help support neonatal growth,
58 development and immunity (Elohimy *et al.*, 2020).

59 The nutritional, metabolic, developmental and environmental changes that a dairy calf faces during
60 the eight to ten weeks prior to weaning can lead to disruption or change within the GIT (Meale *et al.*,
61 2017; Mir *et al.*, 2019). Dairy calves during this period undergo husbandry challenges such as changes
62 in surroundings and groupings (Neave, Weary & Von Keyserlingk, 2018), changes in diet (De La Cruz-
63 Cruz *et al.*, 2019), and stress events (De Paula Vieira, de Passillé & Weary, 2012; Cantor, Neave & Costa,
64 2019), such as disbudding (Mir *et al.*, 2019) and weaning (Neamt *et al.*, 2019). Any disruptions to the
65 growth and population of the GIT microbiome can have drastic and permanent effects on calf
66 development due to reduced weight gain (Costa, 2015), diarrhoea (Xie *et al.*, 2013), contraction of
67 infection or disease (Gaeta *et al.*, 2017), and potential for mortality (Diao, Zhang & Fu, 2019).

68 Studies of the development of the GIT microbial community to improve cattle health, welfare and
69 production efficiency have been ongoing for many decades (Celi *et al.*, 2017). High throughput
70 sequencing technologies allow examination of the structure and function of the bovine GIT microbiota
71 (McCann, Wickersham & Looor, 2014). Factors such as breed, sex, diet and heritable components have
72 been linked to the composition of the GIT microbiome (Li *et al.*, 2019a; Li *et al.*, 2019b). The mature
73 GIT microbiome has a great variety of microorganisms, dominated by the phyla Firmicutes and
74 Bacteroidetes (Fernando *et al.*, 2010; McCann *et al.*, 2016), that display redundancy among niches and

75 contribute to community resilience (Myer *et al.*, 2017). Consequently, reconstruction of the mature
76 GIT microbiome is difficult and mature animals primarily act as a donor source for microbial
77 transplantation in young ruminants (Li, Shi & Na, 2023). This has led to a focus on early life microbiome
78 development, due to its susceptibility to change at this stage and as a potential target for microbiome
79 manipulation, that could persist over the productive life of the animal (Yáñez-Ruíz, Abecia & Newbold,
80 2015). However, the dynamic nature of the GIT microbiome in neonatal calves is not fully understood
81 (Kim *et al.*, 2021a). Research in this area has largely focused on investigating sources of inoculation or
82 influence, such as the maternal microbiome (Barden *et al.*, 2020), diet (Dill-McFarland *et al.*, 2019) and
83 the environment (Zhu *et al.*, 2021). Less is known about how establishment of the bovine GIT
84 microbiome during early-life may be influenced by wider husbandry practices. An improved
85 understanding of the temporal dynamics of the GIT microbiome throughout life may facilitate
86 opportunities to enhance animal health, welfare, growth and development from neonate to maturity.

87 This review focuses on the development of dairy calf intestinal tract microbiomes and the impact that
88 husbandry and rearing management have on microbiome development, health and performance of
89 pre-weaning dairy calves.

90

91 **5. Calf Microbiome – Early life colonisation**

92 During the pre-weaning period, calves are considered pre-ruminant or monogastric while their rumen
93 is developing, with dynamic changes in intestinal microbiota occurring during this time (Song *et al.*,
94 2019). Commensal microbial colonisation of the intestinal tract occurs during and after birth,
95 influenced by the maternal microbiota, diet, environment, management practices and antibiotic
96 treatment (Fanaro *et al.*, 2003; Penders *et al.*, 2006; Adlerberth and Wold, 2009). Colonisation is a two-
97 way interaction between microorganisms and the host (Van den Abbeele *et al.*, 2011). Commensal
98 microorganisms support intestinal pH, food retention time and immune defence mechanisms (Hold &
99 Hansen, 2019; Michaudel & Sokol, 2020), while the host supports microbial adhesion, nutrient
100 absorption and can provide protection to the microbiota via intestinal mucus secretions and
101 antimicrobial peptides through the immune response (Júnior & Bittar, 2021; Welch *et al.*, 2022).
102 Although initial microbial communities are facultatively anaerobic or aerobic, the intestinal
103 environment transitions to support a rapid establishment of obligate anaerobes (such as Firmicutes,
104 *Bifidobacterium* and *Bacteroides*) which play a vital role in host health (Conroy, Shi & Walker, 2009;
105 Jost *et al.*, 2012; Figure 1). Recent findings identified a foetal GIT microbiome during gestation
106 containing Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria as the predominant phyla
107 present in meconium during months five to seven of gestation (Guzman *et al.*, 2020; Table 1). This has
108 dispelled the previous thinking of a sterile foetus until parturition (Adnane & Chapwanya, 2022). Prior
109 to weaning, Firmicutes are reported to be the predominant phylum in faecal samples of dairy calves,
110 including families such as *Ruminococcaceae* and *Lachnospiraceae* (Foditsch *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*,
111 2019), followed by the phylum Bacteroidetes, primarily dominated by the family *Prevotellaceae* (Klein-
112 Jöbstl *et al.*, 2014; Liu *et al.*, 2019). Despite observations of highly individualised microbial communities
113 of the developing calf microbiome, the pooling of samples has allowed an estimation of community-
114 level microbiome diversity (Ray *et al.*, 2019).

115 During gestation (between five to seven months into foetal development), the abundance of microbial
116 species across foetal tissues within the rumen and caecum change. Guzman *et al.* (2020) observed
117 over 500 bacterial species within the calf foetal GIT compartments. The differences observed across

118 the rumen and caecum indicate location-specific microbial colonisation likely to occur before the fifth
119 month of gestation. Caecal tissues were dominated by the phylum Actinobacteria, and caecal fluid was
120 dominated by Firmicutes (order *Lactobacillales*) and Proteobacteria (order *Enterobacteriales* and
121 *Pseudomonadales*). Although the foetal GIT microbiome shares several bacterial species from the
122 genera *Lactobacillus*, *Escherichia*, *Shigella* and *Streptococcus* with the mother, the inoculation source
123 remains unclear. Guzman *et al.* (2020) speculate that bacterial communities might be introduced to
124 the foetus via translocation from the mothers GIT epithelium.

125 Upon birth, the sections of the intestinal tract can have varying microbiome compositions due to the
126 specific interactions that take place across them. Phylum and genus level differences have been
127 reported across the dominant bacteria of the small and large intestine within the calf GIT and the
128 faecal microbiome (Dias *et al.*, 2018; Malmuthuge *et al.*, 2019). Analysis of commensal microbial
129 community composition identified the initial establishment of aerobic and facultative anaerobic
130 bacteria such as *Bifidobacteria* and *Lactobacillus* across the sections of the intestinal tract, which
131 provide an appropriate anaerobic environment for the gradual colonisation of Actinobacteria,
132 Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, via the removal of oxygen (Sprockett, Fukami & Relman,
133 2018). The small intestine (duodenum, jejunum, ileum) and large intestine (cecum, colon, rectum,
134 anus) have a high relative abundance of Firmicutes at 80% and 81%, respectively. Actinobacteria,
135 Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes are also found throughout the small and large intestine in varying
136 relative abundances from 6-13%, 5-22% and 1-33%, respectively (Myer *et al.*, 2017; Yeoman *et al.*,
137 2018). In the first week of life, the small intestine of the calf consists of 1 to 4% *Bifidobacterium*, 7 to
138 11% *Prevotella*, 9 to 27% *Bacteroides* and 17-24% *Lactobacillus* (Malmuthuge *et al.*, 2019). As the calf
139 ages, these genera decrease in relative abundance, potentially due to increasing diversity across the
140 intestinal tract (Malmuthuge *et al.*, 2019).

141 *Bifidobacterium* were found to be in higher abundance (60%) in the large intestine of 1-week old
142 calves. By six weeks of age, *Bifidobacterium* abundance in the large intestine decreases to
143 approximately 11%. *Bacteroides* maintain a relatively low abundance (4 to 9%) in the large intestine
144 compared to the small intestine (Song *et al.*, 2018). *Lactobacillus* was found to be a prominent genus
145 in the large intestine at between 20-22%. This genus decreases in relative abundance as the calf
146 consumes concentrate feed and forage in its diet (Song *et al.*, 2018).

147 The faecal microbiome is the most studied intestinal microbial composition due to the accessibility of
148 samples. The faecal microbiome can represent microbial activity across the GIT. Studies have shown
149 they most closely represent the bacterial composition within the large intestine and specifically the
150 colon (Malmuthuge, Griebel & Guan, 2014). Like the microbial composition of the large intestine of 1-
151 week-old calves, *Bifidobacterium* and *Lactobacillus* were found to be more abundant in faeces
152 compared to other species observed in the first week after birth. These species decreased to weaning
153 age (Uyeno, Sekiguchi & Kamagata, 2010). *Bacteroides* (16%), *Prevotella* (22%) and *Faecalibacterium*
154 (10%) increased in relative abundance between weeks one and three of life, before declining in
155 abundance with increasing age (Malmuthuge, Griebel & Guan, 2014; Amin *et al.*, 2023). As the calf
156 grows and consumes more forage and concentrate feeds (between weeks five to 12), the faecal
157 microbiota present is comprised of higher relative abundances of *Bacteroidales*, *Clostridia* and
158 *Ruminococcaceae* (Figure 1; Uyeno, Sekiguchi & Kamagata, 2010; Amin *et al.*, 2023).

159 Understanding the relative abundance of the microbiota during the pre-weaning period provides
160 insight into how the microbiome develops while interacting with a range of environmental factors
161 (diet, environment, conspecifics). The relative abundance of specific species during this time have been

162 identified as indicators of the health or diarrheic status of the calf. Slanzon *et al.* (2022) identified
163 *Eggerthella*, *Bifidobacterium*, and *Collinsella* as species associated with calves that did not experience
164 enteric disease. The presence of *E. coli* species in neonatal calves (up to three weeks of age) had the
165 highest association with enteric disease prediction (Slanzon *et al.*, 2022). Therefore, understanding
166 early intestinal colonisation and the factors that influence microbiome establishment could provide
167 opportunities to design specific interventions to manage calf gut health.

168

169 Figure 1: The progression of commensal intestinal microbiome bacterial orders from foetus to
170 weaning. Figure constructed from previous studies (Kišac *et al.*, 2011; Meale *et al.*, 2017; Liu *et al.*,
171 2019; Guzman *et al.*, 2020).

172

173 **5.1 The importance of early microbiota colonisation**

174 Early-life microbial colonisation plays an important role in neonatal growth, development and
175 immunity (Elolimy *et al.*, 2020). The development and differentiation of the intestine, immune system,
176 and further regulation of enteric innate and adaptive immune processes are supported by the
177 establishment of microbiota (Liang *et al.*, 2014; Liang *et al.*, 2016). Through the establishment of a
178 stable microbiome, the community structures act as a biological barrier that inhibits pathogenic
179 bacteria from colonising and contributes to maintaining calf health (Taschuk & Griebel *et al.*, 2012;
180 Malmuthuge *et al.*, 2019).

181 The role and importance of intestinal microbial colonisation has been assessed across a variety of germ-
182 free (GF) animal models, including mice, rats, guinea pigs, dogs, pigs, sheep, goats, and chickens (Al-
183 Asmakh & Zadjali, 2015). Aspects such as cell proliferation and intestinal mucosal layers were observed
184 to be of poorer functionality in GF mice compared to conventional mice (Nowacki *et al.*, 1993;
185 Petersson *et al.*, 2011). Gnotobiotic mice inoculated with whole mice microbiota resulted in an
186 increase in T helper cell responses, with *Clostridia*-related species possibly influencing the maturation
187 of T cell responses (Gaboriau-Routhiau *et al.*, 2009). Similarly, the presence of Bacteroidetes have been
188 shown to support the activation of regulatory T cells (Luu, Steinhoff & Visekruna, 2017). These T cells
189 enhance epithelial repair, promote tolerance to commensal microorganisms and regulate intestinal
190 immune processes in response to bacterial or self-antigens (Webb *et al.*, 2016; Lyons *et al.*, 2020).
191 Moreover, the development of gut-associated lymphoid tissues including Peyer's patches and
192 mesenteric lymph nodes, have been seen to be stimulated by postnatal microbial colonisation (Renz,
193 Brandtzæg & Hornef, 2012). Increasingly, the intestinal microbiota is recognised to play an important
194 role in maintaining intestinal function and immune defence (Li, Shi & Na, 2023).

195 Intestinal bacteria are also responsible for supporting feed utilisation and efficiency in the calf,
196 supporting the transition from liquid products (such as milk or colostrum) to solid feed (such as calf
197 starter) (Elolimy *et al.*, 2020), determining the ability of the animal to utilise energy from the diet
198 (Turnbaugh and Gordon, 2009; Yeoman and White, 2014). Increases in the relative abundance of
199 Bacteroidetes in the small intestine produce the enzyme glycoside hydrolase which is needed for the
200 degradation of glycan (Patrascu *et al.*, 2017; Lyons *et al.*, 2020). This enzyme is necessary to support
201 the weaning process when the calf is transitioning to solid feed and forage from milk. A recent study
202 examined feed efficiency and its correlation to different sections of the GIT microbiome (the rumen,
203 caecum, and faeces) in cattle. In caecal and faecal samples, several species including *Ruminococcaceae*

204 (r = -0.674 and r = -0.725 respectively) and *Mogibacteriaceae* (r = -0.647 and r = -0.494 respectively)
205 were negatively correlated with feed efficiency. Whereas *Succinivibrionaceae* in the caecum and
206 *Bifidobacteriaceae* in faeces were positively correlated with feed efficiency (r = 0.445 and r = 0.478
207 respectively; Welch *et al.*, 2020). Previous studies primarily focused on rumen fermentation as the
208 centre of microbial feed digestion processes (Dias *et al.*, 2018; Hao *et al.*, 2021). However, Welch *et al.*
209 (2020) provided evidence that in addition to the ruminal microbiome, the hindgut microbial
210 populations have a significant impact on feed efficiency and thus are an essential component to growth
211 and health, particularly in the pre-ruminant calf.

212

213 **6. Factors influencing colonisation**

214 A wide range of factors influence intestinal microbial colonisation and the stability of those
215 communities throughout the pre-weaning period. These include direct influences such as maternal
216 microbiomes, colostrum or milk/milk-replacer feeding, weaning, and the housed environment (Breen
217 *et al.*, 2023); while indirect influences include aspects such as disbudding and weaning readiness,
218 where husbandry practices that elicit a possible stress response may cause adverse consequences to
219 intestinal microbial community establishment and/or stability (Mir *et al.*, 2019).

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230 Table 1: Microorganism sources associated with management events during the pre-weaning period.

Event	Source of microorganisms	Direct/Indirect Source	Microorganisms from or influenced by source	Impact on calf microbiome development	Reference
Birth	Gestation - Communities suggested to be sourced from maternal placenta epithelium.	Direct	Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria.	Bacterial communities in GIT foetal samples at five, six & seven months of gestation.	Guzman <i>et al.</i> , 2020
	Vaginal		Proteobacteria, Firmicutes, Fusobacteria, Tenericutes.		
	Faecal		Fusobacteria, Firmicutes.		
	Oral		Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes.		
	Maternal Heat Stress	Indirect	Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Epsilonbacteraeota, Actinobacteria, Fusobacteria	Maternal heat stress alters intestinal microbiome β -diversity & composition in sows & their piglets.	He <i>et al.</i> , 2020
Prewaning diet	Colostrum	Direct	<i>Lactobacilli</i> , <i>Bifidobacterium</i> , reduced presence of Coliforms and <i>Enterococci</i> .	Better quality colostrum (>1.070 g/cm ³) promotes the intestinal microbiome development & daily liveweight gain.	Puppel <i>et al.</i> , 2020
	Milk replacer		<i>Ruminococcaceae</i> , <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> ,	Higher milk replacer intakes in calves increased faecal bacterial diversity. Increased abundances of beneficial	Kumar <i>et al.</i> , 2021b

			<i>Bacteroides</i> , <i>Bifidobacterium</i> , <i>Faecalibacterium</i> , Peptococcus, Blautia	bacteria such as <i>Faecalibacterium</i> , were observed, which may contribute to development & growth.	
Housing	Conventional/Single pen	Direct & Indirect	<i>Enterococcaceae</i> , <i>Lactobacillus</i>	<i>Enterococcaceae</i> & <i>Lactobacillus</i> was more abundant in the faecal samples of conventionally housed pigs.	Wen <i>et al.</i> , 2021
	Enriched		<i>Prevotella</i> , Christensenellaceae, Ruminococcus gauvreauii, Ruminiclostridium, Phascolarctobacterium, Peptostreptococcaceae	<i>Enterococcus</i> decreased & relative abundance of a variety of faecal bacteria increased in enriched housed pigs - these bacteria are known to support degradation of plant materials, the production of short-chain fatty acids. Suggesting enriched housing accelerated the maturation of early-life faecal microbiota composition.	Wen <i>et al.</i> , 2021
Disbudding	Body Weight - Light	Indirect	Higher abundance in lightweight calves: <i>Verrucomicrobiacea</i> , <i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i>	Lightweight calves had higher relative abundance of families like <i>Erysipelotrichaceae</i> & <i>Verrucomicrobiaceae</i> at Day 3 after disbudding. Procedure was conducted at 10 weeks of age when the faecal microbiome is still maturing & therefore could mask the effects/impact of the process.	Mir <i>et al.</i> , 2019
	Body Weight - Heavy		Higher abundance in heavy-weight calves: <i>Elusimicrobiaceae</i> , <i>Turicibacteraceae</i>	Heavy-weight calves had higher relative abundance of <i>Elusimicrobiaceae</i> and <i>Turibacteriaceae</i> , at Day 3 after disbudding. Procedure was conducted when faecal microbiome is still maturing & therefore could mask the effects/impact of the process.	Mir <i>et al.</i> , 2019

Weaning	Calf Starter	Direct	<i>Prevotella</i> , <i>Succinivibrio</i> , <i>Anaerovibrio Sharpea</i> , <i>Acidaminococcus</i> , <i>Megasphaera</i> , <i>Mitsuokella</i> , <i>Lactobacillus</i>	Inclusion of concentrate decreased Shannon, Simpson & Fisher's alpha diversity index in faecal samples. Promoting the abundance of possible starch degraders & reduced the presence of key species associated with fibre degradation.	Hartinger <i>et al.</i> , 2022
	Forage		<i>Ruminococcaceae</i> <i>Akkermansia</i> , <i>Lachnoclostridium</i>	No significant effect in faecal microbial diversity was found regarding hay quality (medium or high quality). Fewer changes in bacterial abundances in response to forage were observed at genus level.	Hartinger <i>et al.</i> , 2022
	Weaning readiness	Indirect	<i>Bacteroides</i> , <i>ParaBacteroides</i> , <i>Blautia</i>	Weaning at 17 weeks of age had a higher growth rate due to late weaning & a quick adaptability of the faecal microbiota to dietary changes during day 112. This suggests an age-dependent maturation of the intestinal microbiome supporting liquid to solid diet transition.	Amin <i>et al.</i> , 2023

232 6.1 Birth and Maternal Influence

233 Studies have identified that the microbiota within meconium at birth are representative of faecal
234 community structures 24 hours after birth, although changes are observed with increased microbial
235 diversity and relative abundance in this time period (Alipour *et al.*, 2018; Klein-Jöbstl *et al.*, 2019;
236 Wilczyńska, Skarżyńska & Lisowska-Myjak, 2019; Guzman *et al.*, 2020). The sources influencing this
237 development dictate initial microbiome functions in early life (Dias *et al.*, 2018). Owens *et al.* (2021)
238 found the maternal microbiota within samples from oral, placental, vaginal, faecal and colostrum
239 sources to be significant predictors of the calf faecal microbiome during pre-weaning (Table 1).
240 Interestingly, most of the abundant genera within meconium (*Ruminococcaceae*, *Acinetobacter*, *5-*
241 *7N15*) were closely related to genera within dam placental and faecal samples (Owen *et al.*, 2021). In
242 addition to direct influences from maternal sources, maternal stress during gestation and birth has a
243 direct effect on the calf (Kovács *et al.*, 2021). Cortisol, a glucocorticoid hormone, increases within the
244 dam and calf leading up to parturition in preparation for birth. The production of glucocorticoids
245 supports gestational and neonatal functions in the calf (Fischer *et al.*, 2014; Arfuso *et al.*, 2023).
246 Intestinal health and function is directly influenced by glucocorticoids due to their role in stimulating
247 tight junction formation and mucosal production (Fishman *et al.*, 2014; Tena-Garitaonandia *et al.*,
248 2022). In addition, glucocorticoids influence intestinal maturation via receptor activation that regulates
249 gene transcription controlling intestinal development (maturation of intestinal epithelium), supporting
250 the production of enzymes such as peptidase (Nanthakumar, Meng & Newbury, 2013), and supporting
251 the immune response (Lu *et al.*, 2006; Ahmed, Schmidt & Brunner, 2019). Difficult births have been
252 shown to result in a significant increase in the levels of glucocorticoids, specifically cortisol, in the
253 newborn calf up to 48 hours after birth compared to normal births (Kovács *et al.*, 2021; Arfuso *et al.*,
254 2023). Kovács *et al.* (2021) suggest that high cortisol concentrations at birth could increase
255 susceptibility to bacterial infection in calves. In other species, such as humans, stress exposure in
256 preterm infants has been observed to significantly affect the presence and relative abundance of
257 *Proteus* and *Veillonella* in the intestinal tract, with higher stress exposure increasing the abundance of
258 both families (D'Agata *et al.*, 2019).

259 The gestational environment can influence the success of the newborn calf in its development and
260 growth, affecting intestinal maturation (Abuelo, 2020). Human research has examined the impact
261 maternal stress, anxiety and depression has on the faecal microbiome in infants (Galley *et al.*, 2023).
262 Infants of mothers who reported higher anxiety and stress had a reduced alpha diversity and
263 reductions in beneficial bacteria essential for health and intestinal modulation (such as
264 *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus* and *Streptococcus*) in the faecal microbiome (Galley *et al.*, 2023). Within
265 cattle, heat stress has been the primary focus of maternal physiological stress on newborn
266 development. Dado-Senn *et al.* (2020), examined the long-term effects of late gestation prenatal heat
267 stress on growth and productivity in the dairy calf. Prenatal cooling was found to increase birth weight
268 and average daily liveweight gain compared to prenatal heat-stressed calves. Postnatal cooled calves
269 were found to have reduced fever and infection, with less medication events compared to postnatal
270 heat-stressed calves (Dado-Senn *et al.*, 2020). These findings suggest that providing a cool
271 environment for dams and calves pre- and post-birth might support the development of intestinal
272 maturation, which could lead to greater nutrition utilisation and supporting immunity, potentially
273 through the adequate development of intestinal microbiota. Although these results provide a
274 promising insight into strategies supporting newborn calf health and development, further exploration
275 is needed to assess the extent of these effects on postnatal intestinal maturation and function.

276

277 6.2 Prewearing diet

278 In pre-weaned calves on a milk-based diet, the small and large intestines are critical for digestion
279 (Castro *et al.*, 2016). Concurrent with physiological adaptations and changes within the forestomach
280 system during early life, the development of microbial compositions in the intestine of pre-weaned
281 calves is driven by rearing factors such as age, diet and environment (Malmuthuge & Guan, 2017;
282 O'Hara *et al.*, 2020). Typically, a gradual change from a liquid diet (milk or milk replacer) to solid feed
283 (concentrate and forage) occurs within eight weeks of life (Khan *et al.*, 2016). This shift in nutritional
284 sources also results in prominent effects on the calf intestinal microbiome. Due to the relative ease of
285 dietary manipulation, several studies have examined the influence of liquid and solid diets of varying
286 nutritional sources on the intestinal bacterial community composition in the neonatal calf. These have
287 included assessments of whole (Fouladgar *et al.*, 2016), waste (Deng *et al.*, 2017) or pasteurised milk
288 (Bach *et al.*, 2017), milk replacer (Amado *et al.*, 2019), calf concentrate and forage quality (Aragona *et*
289 *al.*, 2020; Hartinger *et al.*, 2022).

290 Feeding colostrum is essential soon after birth to establish immune protection within the calf via
291 colostrum-associated immunoglobulins (Mann *et al.*, 2020). Feeding colostrum supports the
292 development and function of the intestinal tract (Hammon *et al.*, 2020), promotes beneficial microbial
293 colonisation (Fischer *et al.*, 2018) and inhibits the growth of pathogens, ensuring a reduced risk of
294 diarrhoea and supporting calf health (Malmuthuge *et al.*, 2015; Hammon *et al.*, 2020). In humans, a
295 high abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* in infants resulted in increased protection against
296 enteric infection (Menchetti *et al.*, 2016). Due to calves being immunodeficient at birth, the
297 appropriate management of colostrum to ensure minimal microbial contamination is important (Barry
298 *et al.*, 2019). Heat-treated colostrum has been shown to inhibit pathogenic *Escherichia coli* and
299 *Shigella*, while increasing the growth of beneficial microorganisms such as *Bifidobacterium* (Fischer *et*
300 *al.*, 2018; Song *et al.*, 2019). Colostrum is a key microbiome inoculation source, as it shares abundant
301 bacteria with calf faeces within the first 24 hours of life (Cunningham *et al.*, 2018), contributing to
302 bacterial colonisation of the intestinal tract. Using quantitative real time-PCR, Malmuthuge *et al.*
303 (2015) found calves that did not receive colostrum had a reduced bacterial density within the jejunum
304 and ileum of the small intestine after 12 hours post-birth in comparison to calves that received
305 colostrum after birth (10^8 16S rRNA genes/g and 10^{10} 16S rRNA genes/g respectively).

306 Proteobacteria are a dominant phylum of the faecal microbiome within the first few days of life while
307 calves are being fed colostrum (Klein-Jöbstl *et al.*, 2019). Shifting the diet from colostrum to milk or
308 milk replacer increases the abundance of lactose-utilising bacteria such as *Lactobacillus* and
309 *Bacteroides* across the small and large intestine (Ma *et al.*, 2019; Song *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, as
310 the calf continues to consume milk, from two weeks of age *Ruminococcus* increases in relative
311 abundance in the faeces of calves (Meale *et al.*, 2016; Malmuthuge *et al.*, 2019), which suggests
312 cellulolytic bacteria use milk (specifically volatile fatty acids) as a substrate while calves transition to
313 consumption of solid feed through to weaning (Wei *et al.*, 2023). Similarly, high numbers of *Prevotella*
314 and *Faecalibacterium* groups were found in faecal samples from one to three-week-old calves fed milk
315 replacer, with feeding strategy (milk replacer allowances of 10%, 20% or *ad libitum*) resulting in
316 increased bacterial diversity as milk replacer intake increased (Alipour *et al.*, 2018; Kumar *et al.*,
317 2021b). Collectively, this demonstrates that both feed and feeding strategies in early life influence
318 microbiome composition in pre-weaned calves by providing different nutritional sources for bacterial
319 growth.

320 Water intake has also been observed to impact the intestinal microbial composition. Calves that had
321 access to drinking water immediately after birth demonstrated an increase of *Faecalibacterium*,
322 *Bacteroides* and *Bifidobacterium* in faecal samples (Wickramasinghe *et al.*, 2020). Calves consuming

323 water demonstrated greater feed efficiency, specifically fibre digestibility, and increased daily
324 liveweight gain compared to calves that consumed water after two weeks of age (Wickramasinghe,
325 Kramer & Appuhamy, 2019). This is potentially due to water stimulating rumen and intestinal
326 development, modulating microbial composition, thus increasing nutrient utilisation.

327 As the calf consumes more solid feed after birth, the abundance of proteobacteria in the faecal
328 microbiome decreases while the abundance of Bacteroidetes increases (Kim *et al.*, 2021a). In the lower
329 gut microbiome (jejunum, caecum and colon), *Lactobacillus* and *Faecalibacterium* decreases, and
330 there is an increase in the relative abundance of amylolytic and fibrolytic bacteria such as
331 *Prevotellaceae* during this time (Guzman *et al.*, 2015; Dill-Mcfarland, Beaker & Suen, 2017; Dias *et al.*,
332 2018). Hartinger *et al.* (2022), identified that carbohydrate composition in the form of calf concentrate
333 was the most influential dietary inclusion on the establishment of distinct niche-specific ruminal and
334 faecal microbial communities. The findings revealed two faecal enterotypes that were diet-dependent:
335 *Prevotella*, *Succinivibrio* and *Anaerovibrio* were associated with concentrate-supplemented animals;
336 whereas animals without concentrate were dominated by fibrolytic *Ruminococcaceae*. An important
337 factor to consider is the health implications of these dynamic changes. For example, higher prevalence
338 of *Prevotella* and *Ruminococcaceae* have been associated with calf faecal microbiome profiles from
339 apparently healthy animals for the prevention of calf diarrhoea (Ma *et al.*, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2022).
340 However, the exact health impact these enterotypes may have remains unclear.

341

342 **6.3 Housing**

343 The selection and implementation of different calf housing systems have been seen to influence calf
344 health and growth (Brown *et al.*, 2021). Weaning stress was reduced as a consequence of grouping
345 calves early in life, from five days of age (Vieira, Von Keyserlingk & Weary, 2010; Bolt *et al.*, 2017), and
346 those grouped or paired consumed greater intakes of calf concentrate feed (Overvest, 2018; Liu *et al.*,
347 2019) with increased growth rates due to social mimicry (Costa *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*, 2019). Group
348 size also appears to influence calf health. According to Svensson & Liberg (2006), calves in pens of 12
349 to 18 animals had a higher incidence of respiratory illness which impacted growth compared to calves
350 housed in groups of six to nine animals. These results were observed under an automatic milk-feeding
351 system, where close contact via shared feeding equipment likely played a role in transmission of
352 infection (Salem *et al.*, 2019; Zhang *et al.*, 2020). However, evidence suggests that groups of less than
353 10 calves gives the greatest opportunity to support calf health (Svensson & Liberg, 2006; Liu *et al.*,
354 2019).

355 From the perspective of intestinal microbiome development, there is conflicting information regarding
356 the influence individual, paired and group housing environments have on intestinal community
357 composition (Malmuthuge & Guan, 2017; Owen *et al.*, 2021). Zhu *et al.* (2021) reported homogeneity
358 in the faecal microbiota of calves and dams grouped together, whereas Beaver *et al.* (2021)
359 demonstrated only marginal similarities in the faecal microbiome of grouped calves that received
360 maternal contact. In other mammalian species such as humans (Guthrie *et al.*, 2022), chimpanzees
361 (Moeller *et al.*, 2016) and dogs (Song *et al.*, 2013), transmission of intestinal microbiota between
362 individuals has been evidenced. Transition modes influencing intestinal microbiome development are
363 not well understood, but it is hypothesised that shared environments would elicit homogenising
364 effects (Beaver *et al.*, 2021). However, Barden *et al.* (2020) identified no evincible difference in faecal
365 microbiome development between maternally reared and grouped beef calves with dairy calves that

366 were housed individually before being group housed, in groups of six until weaning. Research with
367 broiler chickens found that housing conditions affected the caecal microbiota composition and
368 functionality more than diet intervention (Kers *et al.*, 2019; Ramírez *et al.*, 2020a). The extent to which
369 the environment influences the intestinal microbiota in calves still remains unclear.

370

371 **6.4 Husbandry practices as potential stress events**

372 Early life stress, such as from environment exposure, can lead to potentially long-lasting health
373 problems (Laporta *et al.*, 2020). While some stressors during life may support adaptation, others may
374 become biologically embedded, potentially altering the future health of the individual (D'Agata *et al.*,
375 2019). Stress in animals can lead to decreased immune function, altered metabolism (reduced growth
376 and production), altered behaviour, or a combination of these (Endris & Feki, 2021; Niu *et al.*, 2022).
377 All these affect animal health, welfare, and productivity with a concurrent detrimental impact on the
378 livestock industry (Aich *et al.*, 2007; Chen *et al.*, 2015). Dairy calf production systems have several
379 events that have the potential to cause stress, including birth (Nagel, Aurich & Aurich., 2019; Kovács
380 *et al.*, 2021), housing and grouping (Bolt *et al.*, 2017), disbudding practices (Mir *et al.*, 2019), and
381 changing diets through weaning (Meale *et al.*, 2016; Meale *et al.*, 2017; Dill-McFarland *et al.*, 2019).

382 Acute stress experiences can alter eating habits, reducing dry matter intake, and changing the rate of
383 carbohydrate metabolism, which potentially results in hypoglycemia and increased glucose disposal
384 rates (Fisher *et al.*, 2001; Baumgard *et al.*, 2011). The effects of acute stress on the intestinal microbiota
385 can be due to these behavioural and dietary changes (Rajoka *et al.*, 2017; Kraïmi *et al.*, 2019).
386 Therefore, stress and factors such as diet, current intestinal microbiome structure, host genotype, and
387 environment can influence the composition of the microbiota resulting in adverse effects on nutrient
388 acquisition, metabolism, host immunity and disease resistance (Deng *et al.*, 2017; Chen *et al.*, 2018;
389 Rea, Dinan & Cryan, 2019; Liu *et al.*, 2021).

390 **6.4.1 Disbudding**

391 Dairy calves in the UK, Europe, America, and other developed countries are disbudded or dehorned as
392 horned animals pose a risk to human and animal health and safety, and to ease management (Kling-
393 Eveillard *et al.*, 2015). For example, cattle with horns have an increased risk of causing injury to
394 handlers and herd mates either through accidental interactions or because of aggressive behaviour
395 (Kling-Eveillard *et al.*, 2015; Knierim, Irrgang & Roth, 2015). Disbudding involves the removal of horn
396 germinal tissue in young calves to prevent horn growth, while dehorning involves the amputation of
397 the horn. Disbudding or dehorning can be performed using either chemical action (sodium or calcium
398 hydroxide), amputation (guillotine or scoop) or hot iron (cauterisation) (Marquette, Ronan & Earley,
399 2023). The age at which this procedure is conducted and whether local anaesthetic is provided will
400 influence the amount of pain and discomfort the animal experiences (Costa *et al.*, 2019; Steagall *et al.*,
401 2021). In the UK, under the Protection of Animals (Anaesthetics) Act 1954, it is an offense to disbud a
402 calf or dehorn a cow without anaesthetic unless performing chemical cauterisation within the first
403 week of life. The pain associated with disbudding when calves receive no form of pain relief, was
404 observed to have a negative impact on growth rates in three to six-week-old calves (Bates *et al.*, 2016).
405 Although pain relief was not administered to these animals during the study, there is a clear link
406 between pain and this management experience, with growth and development (Marti *et al.*, 2017).

407 Mir *et al.* (2019) identified that disbudding stress reduced microbial diversity of the intestinal
408 microbiota, using the assessment of faecal samples. Lighter-weight calves (those that weighed less
409 than 68kg at the time of disbudding) were found to display a more pronounced microbiota reduction
410 and had a more significant reduction in their Firmicute to Bacteroidete ratio when exposed to stress
411 (Mir *et al.* 2019; Table 1). These bacteria have previously been reported to indicate dysbiosis of the
412 intestinal microbiome (Auffret *et al.*, 2017). Although a reduction in Firmicute to Bacteroidete ratio
413 was also observed in heavy-weight calves, the reduction was significantly more pronounced in the
414 lighter-weight calves (Mir *et al.*, 2019). Furthermore, light-weight calves had higher relative
415 abundances of faecal bacterial families such as *Erysipelotrichaceae* and *Verrucomicrobiaceae*, while
416 heavyweight calves had a higher relative abundance of *Elucimicrobiaceae* and *Turibacteriaceae* (Mir
417 *et al.*, 2019). The specific role of these within the intestinal microbiome remains unclear, but members
418 of the *Erysipelotrichaceae* family are thought to be highly immunogenic, potentially having an
419 influence on immune function within the intestinal tract (Matthews *et al.*, 2023). This highlights the
420 need to understand the impact management events and procedures have on calf health and intestinal
421 microbiome development (Malmuthuge & Guan, 2017).

422

423 **6.4.3 Weaning**

424 Weaning strategy and weaning age can influence the success of dietary changes in a calf. Abrupt
425 weaning practices can reduce solid feed intake and average daily weight gain (Schwarzkopf *et al.*, 2019;
426 Scoley, Gordon & Morrison, 2019). However, the influence of either an abrupt or a gradual weaning
427 strategy on intestinal microbial communities showed no significant effect (Meale *et al.*, 2016; Li *et al.*,
428 2018). Thus, the age at which weaning takes place is likely more influential in ensuring calf readiness
429 for the transition than the strategy itself (Amin *et al.*, 2023). Weaning calves after eight weeks of age
430 improved average daily gains (Mao *et al.*, 2017) and rumen enzyme activity due to an increase in solid
431 feed intake, compared to those weaning more naturally but later in life at 34 weeks (Mao *et al.*, 2017;
432 Hao *et al.*, 2021). When calves were weaned at six weeks of age, a sudden change in β -diversity and
433 evenness of their faecal microbiota from a pre- to post-weaned state was observed, which was not
434 observed in calves weaning at a later age of eight weeks. Coupled with observed reductions in growth
435 rates (Eckert *et al.*, 2015; Meale *et al.*, 2016; Li *et al.*, 2018), suggesting pre-mature intestinal
436 development at the time of weaning. Weaning encourages the increased consumption of concentrate
437 feed and forage by the calf, which alters the microbial composition of the intestinal tract. The faecal
438 microbiome transitions to an increased number of Bacteroidetes, with a decrease in Firmicutes which
439 up until weaning were a dominant phylum (Amin *et al.*, 2023).

440 In the faecal microbiome, *Prevotella* was positively correlated with concentrate intake, and the
441 abundance of species such as *Prevotella*, *Ruminococcus* and *Blautia* were positively correlated with
442 average daily gain in calves weaned at 17 weeks of age (Meale *et al.*, 2017; Amin *et al.*, 2023). The
443 likely increase of *Prevotella* and *Ruminococcus* species is due to their cellulolytic capabilities, which is
444 reflective of activity identified within the maturing intestinal microbiome (Meale *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et*
445 *al.*, 2019). Faecal microbiome changes are likely due to the transition from intestinal to rumen
446 fermentation post-weaning (Meale *et al.*, 2017) and demonstrates how solid feed intake alters the
447 intestinal microbiome to resemble that of the mature animal.

448

449 The behaviour and stress response at weaning may influence calf immunity because of intestinal
450 microbiome disruption (Upadhaya & Kim, 2021; Welch *et al.*, 2022). Generally, the calf's readiness for
451 weaning is not measured by its consumption of concentrate feed or intestinal microbiome
452 development, but instead by its age and/or its body weight (Welk, Neave & Jensen, 2024). Age has
453 been shown to be a predictor of successful weaning transition, with weaning at a later age (after eight
454 weeks) demonstrating beneficial effects on the microbiota that can quickly adapt to dietary changes
455 (Amin *et al.*, 2023; Welk, Neave & Jensen, 2024; Table 1). A review by Whalin, Weary & Von Keyserlingk
456 (2021), reported that gradual or late weaning mimicked the natural behaviour of a calf as it ages,
457 culminating when the calf is seven to 14 months old. The practical application of this timeframe within
458 dairy calf rearing systems is challenging, but it should provide some consideration for age of weaning
459 to ensure calf preparedness to reduce drastic community shifts in the intestinal microbiome (Guo *et*
460 *al.*, 2021). Weaning practices are likely to present different experiences and severity of stressors for
461 individual calves, as well as for those being weaned from milk or milk replacer feeding systems or from
462 their dams (Hulbert & Moisé, 2016).

463

464 **7.0 Potential strategies to support dairy calf microbiome development**

465 Livestock species are often subject to management and environmental stressors that can result in an
466 imbalance in GIT microbiota homeostasis (O'Callaghan *et al.*, 2016). If dysbiosis occurs at a young age,
467 changes in key commensal and health conferring intestinal bacteria such as *Lactobacillus* (Fan *et al.*,
468 2021), *Faecalibacterium* (Oikonomou *et al.*, 2013) and *Bifidobacteria* (Vlková, Trojanová & Rada, 2006)
469 impact calf health and growth. An awareness of the possibility of this disruption occurring because of
470 management interactions as part of the calf rearing system is essential to provide the opportunity to
471 apply interventions prior to these experiences to support calf health.

472

473 **7.1 Probiotic supplementation**

474 A common additive to calf milk replacer that would provide some buffering towards intestinal
475 microbiome community disruptions are probiotics (Stefańska *et al.*, 2021). Probiotics are live
476 organisms that can provide the host with health benefits via supporting digestive processes and
477 pathogen defence, if administered in adequate amounts (O'Callaghan *et al.*, 2016; JinQiang *et al.*,
478 2018). Probiotics have been identified as an alternative treatment to maintain and support GIT
479 homeostasis (Fan *et al.*, 2021). Within the UK, probiotic products aimed at young ruminants primarily
480 contain bacterial species such as *Bifidobacterium*, *Lactobacillus* and *Enterococcus faecium* or live yeast
481 strains like *Saccharomyces*, which have all been shown to have some conferring health benefits to the
482 calf (Zábranský *et al.*, 2022; Maâmouri & Salem, 2022).

483 Initially, probiotics were investigated as alternatives to some antibiotic usage in livestock, particularly
484 in place of growth promoters (Cheng *et al.*, 2014; Grant, Gay & Lillehoj, 2018). In the past 10-15 years,
485 growth promoter use has been restricted or banned in the UK (but still in use in many nations) due to
486 concerns of increasing antibiotic resistance and food safety (O'Callaghan *et al.*, 2016). As a result of
487 changing antibiotic regulation and increased understanding of the influence of probiotic
488 supplementation, there has been a marked increase in probiotic use in farm and domestic animals in
489 the past 20 years (Chaucheyras-Durand & Durand, 2010; Yeoman & White, 2014). The use of probiotics
490 to support ruminant health during the pre-weaning period and stressful experiences have

491 demonstrated some beneficial effects, through the stimulation of beneficial microbiota, supporting
492 mucosal immunity, preventing enteric pathogens from colonising, controlling pH, and increasing
493 digestion (Uyeno, Shigemori & Shimosato, 2015).

494 Calf diarrhoea as a health challenge in young ruminants can be caused by a variety of infectious and
495 non-infectious factors (Whon *et al.*, 2021). Due to this, the administration of antibiotics is used as a
496 treatment option to control the potential pathogen proliferation that may be occurring within the calf
497 intestinal tract. The effectiveness of this treatment method is questionable (Kim *et al.*, 2021a) due to
498 the variety of causative agents and the likely use of broad-spectrum antibiotics. Eibl *et al.* (2021) found
499 farmers and veterinarians from Scotland (as a representative country of the UK) and Portugal, used
500 antibiotics for the treatment of neonatal calf diarrhoea significantly more frequently (always: 46%, n =
501 78; in some situations: 54%, n = 92) compared to other European countries (Austria and Belgium;
502 always: 20%, n = 46; in some situations: 80%, n = 188). The more frequent use of antibiotics could be
503 a result of untargeted approaches toward the treatment of calf diarrhoea and would negatively affect
504 the intestinal microbiome composition, impacting beneficial bacterial populations and potentially
505 increasing antibiotic resistance (Ramírez *et al.*, 2020b; Ali *et al.*, 2021).

506 Studies have examined probiotic usage to reduce diarrhoea in calves (Renaud *et al.*, 2019; Kayasaki
507 *et al.*, 2021). One of the most common probiotics administered to ruminants includes live yeasts,
508 particularly those containing *S. cerevisiae*. Several beneficial effects have been seen in animals
509 supplemented with live yeast, these include increased performance markers such as growth, dry
510 matter intake and milk production in beef and dairy cattle (Maâmourî & Salem, 2022; Zhang *et al.*,
511 2022). A reduction in diarrhoea was observed in calves fed milk containing *S. cerevisiae* NCD49 or *L.*
512 *acidophilus-15* (Renaud *et al.*, 2019; Kumar *et al.*, 2021a). Similarly, a marked improvement in the
513 severity (and prevention) of diarrhoea was observed in neonatal calves administered *E. coli* Nissle 1917
514 (Von Buenau *et al.*, 2005).

515

516 **7.2 Faecal microbiota transplantation**

517 A novel strategy for supporting and promoting intestinal microbiome development towards that of an
518 adult community structure is faecal microbiota transplantation (FMT). This method requires the
519 transfer of faecal material from a healthy donor into the GIT of a recipient to inoculate the intestinal
520 area with suitable commensal microorganisms (Rosa *et al.*, 2021). Recently, the efficacy of FMT for the
521 treatment of calf diarrhoea has been confirmed (Kim *et al.*, 2021b). Studies have shown a decrease in
522 the occurrence of diarrhoea for calves that have undergone FMT treatment, with an observed
523 intestinal shift from an imbalanced microbiome to a symbiotic state (Kim *et al.*, 2021b; Islam *et al.*,
524 2022; Li *et al.*, 2023). The resulting intestinal community composition resembles that of the healthy
525 donor after FMT treatment (Kim *et al.*, 2021b).

526 Although the findings in this area are promising in supporting microbiome establishment and calf
527 health, the effects of FMT as a treatment for potential intestinal microbiome dysbiosis remains a
528 challenge due to the inappropriate selection of donors and corresponding recipients. For FMT to be
529 successful, intestinal microbiota compositions of donor and recipient need to have a degree of
530 similarity. The intestinal microbiome structures vary even within healthy populations as a result of
531 factors such as farm management, environmental conditions, and calf age (Gómez *et al.*, 2017). These
532 aspects may increase the failure of FMT and impact the repeatability of research in practice.

533

534 **7.3 Microbiome tracking**

535 Similar to production measurements routinely collected such as feed intake and body weight tracking
536 to assess the health and development of the calf, routine intestinal microbiome community measures
537 could be a novel and potentially powerful tool in supporting calf health and welfare in a more
538 individualised and targeted manner. According to a review conducted by Allaband *et al.* (2019), this is
539 a strategy of interest for clinicians in human medicine due to the understanding of the importance of
540 the intestinal microbiome in human health and disease. Additionally, intestinal microbial profiling has
541 been identified to be paramount in monitoring livestock health to allow the appropriate
542 implementation of interventions or treatments to support intestinal microbiome health and prevent
543 the establishment of pathogens (Valerio *et al.*, 2019; Chen *et al.*, 2021).

544 Production systems could identify those individuals with intestinal microbiome communities that
545 confer resilience and contain a wide diversity of commensal beneficial bacterial populations (Weimer,
546 2015; Forcina *et al.*, 2022). These characteristics could be tracked across the herd and within genetic
547 lineages to assess the influence of these factors and how the microbiome community composition
548 relates to production, health and reproductive success (Welch *et al.*, 2022). Faecal samples would
549 provide an efficient and non-invasive means of analysing these aspects, which could be collected
550 individually or pooled to provide an overview of intestinal microbiota within different cohorts of calves
551 (Mott *et al.*, 2022; Monteiro *et al.*, 2022). The results could be compared to other production measures
552 already tracked on farm (e.g. feed, body weight, health) to provide a much more detailed picture of
553 calf development, suitability of management and husbandry practices, and likely success of the calf in
554 production as a future milk producing cow.

555 If this strategy was implemented on a national or international scale, the data provided along with
556 measures already tracked on farm would propel ruminant livestock microbiome research and the
557 applications to industry far beyond any other animal group. It would demonstrate the livestock
558 industry as pioneers in the advancement of animal health and welfare as well as financially benefit the
559 farming community through targeted management practices. The microbiome measures utilised to
560 assess health, and welfare could also provide greater detail and insight into the suitability of farm
561 management standards to inform food standard assessments initiatives.

562 This strategy is not without its challenges. Within ruminant microbiome research, there are still
563 considerable gaps in the knowledge. This is partly due to the large number of published studies that
564 contain small sample sizes (Owens *et al.*, 2021; Slanzon *et al.*, 2022), resulting in challenges in
565 generalising these results to the wider population of dairy cows and large-scale livestock production
566 systems; where differences in aspects such as genetic diversity, management, and husbandry would
567 need to be considered. Intestinal microbiome tracking as a strategy to support dairy cattle health
568 would only be possible if a coordinated effort was made across large-scale livestock producers for
569 nationwide monitoring of microbiome data alongside other production measures where this data
570 might be extracted and analysed to create guidance on its use.

571

572 **8. Conclusion**

573 The colonisation of the intestinal microbiota in calves in early life has attracted much attention due to
574 a growing body of evidence of its impact on calf health, development and influence on health and
575 welfare throughout the animal's lifetime. The composition and diversity of the intestinal microbiota
576 vary with age, diet, environment, and husbandry practices that may elicit a stress response. The
577 evidence provided within published work establishes the potential detrimental effect that sudden
578 changes and stress may have on calf health and growth due to management and husbandry practices,
579 and the importance of establishing a stable yet diverse intestinal microbiome population at an early
580 age is essential for calf success. However, the specific relationship that developmental markers such as
581 rearing systems and husbandry practices have with calf intestinal microbiome development linked to
582 the health, growth and performance of the animal in production remains unclear.

583 Research should focus on tracking microbiome development from birth through to the weaning
584 period, with consideration of the main variables that are included within the calf-rearing system
585 (individual/group housing, disbudding, weaning etc.) and should factor in calf development with other
586 measures of health and performance (feed intake and daily live-weight gain). This would provide a
587 holistic approach to calf rearing, supporting targeted neonatal interventions and informed calf
588 management practices.

589 Ensuring the application of this informed approach within the dairy industry will require an
590 understanding of how calf intestinal microbiome development influences the composition of the adult
591 microbial community and the effects of these outcomes on health, reproduction, and milk production
592 parameters within dairy production systems. A longitudinal approach to the tracking of intestinal
593 microbiome development would ensure research can be used to provide the opportunity for an
594 informed and targeted approach to calf health and welfare interventions to support the success of
595 dairy cows throughout their productive life. Such an approach has the potential to be of considerable
596 economic value to this livestock production industry.

597

598 **9. Author statements**

599 **9.1 Author contributions**

600 A.C & L.W conceived the review, A.C led its drafting, and managed the editing of the document. All
601 authors contributed to the drafting of the review and approved the final manuscript.

602

603 **9.2 Conflicts of interest**

604 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

605

606 **9.3 Funding information**

607 This work was funded by Hartpury University.

608

609 10. References

- 610 Abuelo, A. (2020). Symposium review: Late-gestation maternal factors affecting the health and
611 development of dairy calves. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 103(4), 3882-3893.
612 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17278>
- 613 Adlerberth, I., & Wold, A. E. (2009). Establishment of the gut microbiota in Western infants. *Acta*
614 *Paediatrica*, 98(2), 229–238. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2008.01060.x>
- 615 Adnane, M., & Chapwanya, A. (2022). Role of genital tract bacteria in promoting endometrial health in
616 cattle. *Microorganisms*, 10(11), 2238. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms1011>
- 617 Ahmed, A., Schmidt, C., & Brunner, T. (2019). Extra-Adrenal glucocorticoid synthesis in the intestinal
618 mucosa: between immune homeostasis and immune escape. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 10.
619 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.01438>
- 620 Aich, P., Jalal, S., Czuba, C., Schatte, G., Herzog, K. R., Olson, D., Ross, A. R. S., Potter, A., Babiuk, L. A.,
621 & Griebel, P. (2007). Comparative approaches to the investigation of responses to stress and viral
622 infection in cattle. *Omics a Journal of Integrative Biology*, 11(4), 413–434.
623 <https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2007.0023>
- 624 Al-Asmakh, M., & Zadjali, F. (2015). Use of Germ-Free animal models in Microbiota-Related research.
625 *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 25(10), 1583–1588.
626 <https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1501.01039>
- 627 Ali, A., Liaqat, S., Tariq, H., Abbas, S., Arshad, M., Li, W., & Ahmed, I. (2021). Neonatal calf diarrhea: A
628 potent reservoir of multi-drug resistant bacteria, environmental contamination and public health
629 hazard in Pakistan. *Science of the Total Environment*, 799, 149450.
630 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149450>
- 631 Alipour, M., Jalanka, J., Pessa-Morikawa, T., Kokkonen, T., Satokari, R., Hynönen, U., Iivanainen, A., &
632 Niku, M. (2018). The composition of the perinatal intestinal microbiota in cattle. *Scientific Reports*,
633 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28733-y>
- 634 Allaband, C., McDonald, D., Vázquez-Baeza, Y., Minich, J. J., Tripathi, A., Brenner, D. A., Loomba, R.,
635 Smarr, L., Sandborn, W. J., Schnabl, B., Dorrestein, P. C., Zarrinpar, A., & Knight, R. (2019). Microbiome
636 101: Studying, analyzing, and interpreting gut microbiome data for clinicians. *Clinical Gastroenterology*
637 *and Hepatology*, 17(2), 218–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2018.09.017>
- 638 Amado, L., Berends, H., Leal, L., Wilms, J., Van Laar, H., Gerrits, W., & Martín-Tereso, J. (2019). Effect of
639 energy source in calf milk replacer on performance, digestibility, and gut permeability in rearing calves.
640 *Journal of Dairy Science*, 102(5), 3994–4001. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15847>
- 641 Amin, N., & Seifert, J. (2021). Dynamic progression of the calf's microbiome and its influence on host
642 health. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 19, 989–1001.
643 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2021.01.035>
- 644 Amin, N., Schwarzkopf, S., Tröscher-Mußotter, J., Camarinha-Silva, A., Dänicke, S., Huber, K., Frahm, J.,
645 & Seifert, J. (2023). Host metabolome and faecal microbiome shows potential interactions impacted

646 by age and weaning times in calves. *Animal Microbiome*, 5(1). [https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-023-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-023-00233-z)
647 [00233-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-023-00233-z)

648 Aragona, K., Suarez-Mena, F., Dennis, T., Quigley, J., Hu, W., Hill, T., & Schlotterbeck, R. (2020). Effect of
649 starter form, starch concentration, and amount of forage fed on Holstein calf growth from 2 to 4
650 months of age. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 103(3), 2324–2332. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17474>

651 Arfuso, F., Minuti, A., Liotta, L., Giannetto, C., Trevisi, E., Piccione, G., & Lopreiato, V. (2023). Stress and
652 inflammatory response of cows and their calves during peripartum and early neonatal period.
653 *Theriogenology*, 196, 157–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.Theriogenology.2022.11.019>

654 Auffret, M., Dewhurst, R. J., Duthie, C., Rooke, J. A., Wallace, R. J., Freeman, T. C., Stewart, R. D.,
655 Watson, M., & Roehe, R. (2017). The rumen microbiome as a reservoir of antimicrobial resistance and
656 pathogenicity genes is directly affected by diet in beef cattle. *Microbiome*, 5(1).
657 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0378-z>

658 Bach, À., Arís, A., De Fátima Vidal, M., Fábregas, F., & Terré, M. (2017). Influence of milk processing
659 temperature on growth performance, nitrogen retention, and hindgut's inflammatory status and
660 bacterial populations in a calf model. *Journal of Dairy Research*, 84(3), 355–359.
661 <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022029917000401>

662 Barden, M., Richards-Rios, P., Ganda, E., Lenzi, L., Eccles, R., Neary, J. M., Oultram, J., & Oikonomou, G.
663 (2020). Maternal influences on oral and faecal microbiota maturation in neonatal calves in beef and
664 dairy production systems. *Animal Microbiome*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-020-00049-1>

665 Barry, J., Bokkers, E., Berry, D., De Boer, I., McClure, J. T., & Kennedy, E. (2019). Associations between
666 colostrum management, passive immunity, calf-related hygiene practices, and rates of mortality in
667 preweaning dairy calves. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 102(11), 10266–10276.
668 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-16815>

669 Bates, A., Laven, R., Chapple, F., & Weeks, D. S. (2016). The effect of different combinations of local
670 anaesthesia, sedative and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs on daily growth rates of dairy calves
671 after disbudding. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal*, 64(5), 282–287.
672 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00480169.2016.1196626>

673 Baumgard, L. H., Jb, W., Sanders, S. R., Moore, C., Green, H., Waldron, & Rhoads, R. P. (2011).
674 Postabsorptive carbohydrate adaptations to heat stress and monensin supplementation in lactating
675 Holstein cows. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 94(11), 5620–5633. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-4462>

676 Beaver, A., Petersen, C., Weary, D. M., Finlay, B. B., & Von Keyserlingk, M. A. G. (2021). Differences in
677 the fecal microbiota of dairy calves reared with differing sources of milk and levels of maternal contact.
678 *JDS Communications*, 2(4), 200–206. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jdsc.2020-0059>

679 Bolt, S., Boyland, N., Mlynski, D. T., James, R., & Croft, D. P. (2017). Pair housing of dairy calves and age
680 at pairing: Effects on weaning stress, health, production and social networks. *PLOS ONE*, 12(1),
681 e0166926. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166926>

682 Breen, M. J., Williams, D. R., Abdelfattah, E. M., Karle, B. M., Byrne, B. A., Lehenbauer, T. W., & Aly, S.
683 S. (2023). Effect of group housing of preweaned dairy calves: Health and fecal commensal antimicrobial
684 resistance outcomes. *Antibiotics*, 12(6), 1019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12061019>

685 Brown, A. J., Scoley, G., O'Connell, N., Robertson, J., Browne, A. E., & Morrison, S. (2021). Pre-Weaned
686 calf rearing on Northern Irish Dairy Farms: Part 1. A Description of calf management and Housing
687 design. *Animals*, 11(7), 1954. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11071954>

688 Cantor, M. C., Neave, H. W., & Costa, J. (2019). Current perspectives on the short- and long-term effects
689 of conventional dairy calf raising systems: a comparison with the natural environment. *Translational*
690 *Animal Science*, 3(1), 549–563. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txy144>

691 Castro, J., Gómez, A., White, B. A., Loften, J., & Drackley, J. (2016). Changes in the intestinal bacterial
692 community, short-chain fatty acid profile, and intestinal development of preweaned Holstein calves. 2.
693 Effects of gastrointestinal site and age. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(12), 9703–9715.
694 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11007>

695 Celi, P., Cowieson, A. J., Fru-Nji, F., Steinert, R. E., Klünter, A., & Verlhac, V. (2017). Gastrointestinal
696 functionality in animal nutrition and health: New opportunities for sustainable animal production.
697 *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 234, 88–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2017.09.012>

698 Chaucheyras-Durand, F., & Durand, H. (2010). Probiotics in animal nutrition and health. *Beneficial*
699 *Microbes*, 1(1), 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.3920/bm2008.1002>

700 Chen, H., Liu, Y., Huang, K., Yang, B., Zhang, Y., Yu, Z., & Wang, J. (2022). Fecal microbiota dynamics and
701 its relationship to diarrhea and health in dairy calves. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*,
702 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-022-00758-4>

703 Chen, S., Wang, J., Peng, D., Gan, L., Chen, J., & Gu, X. (2018). Exposure to heat-stress environment
704 affects the physiology, circulation levels of cytokines, and microbiome in dairy cows. *Scientific Reports*,
705 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-32886-1>

706 Chen, X., Su, X., Li, J., Yang, Y., Wang, P., Fang, Y., Yao, J., & Wu, S. (2021). Real-time monitoring of
707 ruminal microbiota reveals their roles in dairy goats during subacute ruminal acidosis. *Npj Biofilms and*
708 *Microbiomes*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-021-00215-6>

709 Chen, Y., Arsenault, R. J., Napper, S., & Griebel, P. (2015). Models and methods to investigate acute
710 stress responses in cattle. *Animals*, 5(4), 1268–1295. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani5040411>

711 Cheng, G., Hao, H., Xie, S., Wu, X., Dai, M., Huang, L., & Zhang, Y. (2014). Antibiotic alternatives: the
712 substitution of antibiotics in animal husbandry? *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5.
713 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00217>

714 Conroy, M., Shi, H. N., & Walker, W. A. (2009). The long-term health effects of neonatal microbial flora.
715 *Current Opinion in Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 9(3), 197–201.
716 <https://doi.org/10.1097/aci.0b013e32832b3f1d>

717 Costa, J., Cantor, M. C., Adderley, N. A., & Neave, H. W. (2019). Key animal welfare issues in
718 commercially raised dairy calves: social environment, nutrition, and painful procedures. *Canadian*
719 *Journal of Animal Science*, 99(4), 649–660. <https://doi.org/10.1139/cjas-2019-0031>

720 Costa, J., Meagher, R. K., Von Keyserlingk, M., & Weary, D. M. (2015). Early pair housing increases solid
721 feed intake and weight gains in dairy calves. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 98(9), 6381–6386.
722 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9395>

723 Cunningham, H., Austin, K. J., Powell, S. R., Carpenter, K. T., & Cammack, K. M. (2018). Potential
724 response of the rumen microbiome to mode of delivery from birth through weaning^{1,2}. *Translational*
725 *Animal Science*, 2(suppl_1), S35–S38. <https://doi.org/10.1093/tas/txy029>

726 D'Agata, A., Wu, J., Welandawe, M., Dutra, S. V. O., Kane, B., & Groër, M. (2019). Effects of early life
727 NICU stress on the developing gut microbiome. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 61(5), 650–660.
728 <https://doi.org/10.1002/dev.21826>

729 Dado-Senn, B., Acosta, L. V., Rivera, M., Field, S. L., Marrero, M. G., Davidson, B., Tao, S., Fabris, T. F.,
730 Ortiz-Colón, G., Dahl, G. E., & Laporta, J. (2020). Pre- and postnatal heat stress abatement affects dairy
731 calf thermoregulation and performance. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 103(5), 4822–4837.
732 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17926>

733 De La Cruz-Cruz, L. A., Bonilla-Jaime, H., Orozco-Gregorio, H., Tarazona-Morales, A. M., Ballesteros-
734 Rodea, G., Roldán-Santiago, P., Waytula, M., & Vargas-Romero, J. (2019). Effects of weaning on the
735 stress responses and productivity of water buffalo in different breeding systems: A review. *Livestock*
736 *Science*, 226, 73–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2019.05.020>

737 De Paula Vieira, A., De Passillé, A., & Weary, D. (2012). Effects of the early social environment on
738 behavioral responses of dairy calves to novel events. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 95(9), 5149–5155.
739 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2011-5073>

740 Deng, Y., Wang, Y. J., Zou, Y., Azarfar, A., Wang, X., Ji, S., Zhang, J., Wu, Z., Wang, S., Dong, S., Xu, Y.,
741 Shao, D., Xiao, J., Yang, K., Cao, Z., & Li, S. L. (2017). Influence of dairy by-product waste milk on the
742 microbiomes of different gastrointestinal tract components in pre-weaned dairy calves. *Scientific*
743 *Reports*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42689>

744 Diao, Q., Zhang, R., & Fu, T. (2019). Review of strategies to promote Rumen Development in Calves.
745 *Animals*, 9(8), 490. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9080490>

746 Dias, J., Marcondes, M. I., De Souza, S. M., Da Mata E Silva, B. C., Noronha, M. F., Resende, R. T.,
747 Machado, F. S., Mantovani, H. C., Dill-McFarland, K. A., & Suen, G. (2018). Bacterial Community
748 Dynamics across the Gastrointestinal Tracts of Dairy Calves during Prewaning Development. *Applied*
749 *and Environmental Microbiology*, 84(9). <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02675-17>

750 Dill-McFarland, K. A., Breaker, J. D., & Suen, G. (2017). Microbial succession in the gastrointestinal tract
751 of dairy cows from 2 weeks to first lactation. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1).
752 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40864>

753 Dill-McFarland, K. A., Weimer, P. J., Breaker, J. D., & Suen, G. (2019). Diet Influences Early Microbiota
754 Development in Dairy Calves without Long-Term Impacts on Milk Production. *Applied and*
755 *Environmental Microbiology*, 85(2). <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02141-18>

756 Du, Y., Gao, Y., Hu, M., Hou, J., Yang, L., Wang, X., Wang, D., Liu, J., & Xu, Q. (2023). Colonization and
757 development of the gut microbiome in calves. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 14(1).
758 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-023-00856-x>

759 Eckert, E., Brown, H., Leslie, K., DeVries, T., & Steele, M. (2015). Weaning age affects growth, feed
760 intake, gastrointestinal development, and behavior in Holstein calves fed an elevated plane of nutrition
761 during the preweaning stage. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 98(9), 6315–6326.
762 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-9062>

763 Eibl, C., Bexiga, R., Viora, L., Guyot, H., Félix, J. D. S. N., Wilms, J., Tichy, A., & Hund, A. (2021). The
764 antibiotic treatment of calf diarrhea in four European countries: a survey. *Antibiotics*, 10(8), 910.
765 <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10080910>

766 Elolimy, A. A., Alharthi, A. S., Zeineldin, M., Parys, C., & Loor, J. J. (2020). Residual feed intake divergence
767 during the preweaning period is associated with unique hindgut microbiome and metabolome profiles
768 in neonatal Holstein heifer calves. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 11(1).
769 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-019-0406-x>

770 Endris, M., & Feki, E. (2021). Review on effect of stress on animal productivity and response of animal
771 to stressors. *J Anim Vet Adv*, 20(1), 1-14.

772 Fan, P., Kim, M., Liu, G., Zhai, Y., Liu, T., Driver, J., & Jeong, K. C. (2021). The gut microbiota of newborn
773 calves and influence of potential probiotics on reducing diarrheic disease by inhibition of pathogen
774 colonization. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.772863>

775 Fanaro, S., Chierici, R., Guerrini, P., & Vigi, V. (2003). Intestinal microflora in early infancy: composition
776 and development. *Acta Paediatrica*, 92(s441), 48–55. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1651-2227.2003.tb00646.x>

778 Fernando, S. C., Purvis, H. T., Najjar, F. Z., Sukharnikov, L. O., Krehbiel, C. R., Nagaraja, T. G., Roe, B. A.,
779 & DeSilva, U. (2010). Rumen Microbial Population Dynamics during Adaptation to a High-Grain Diet.
780 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 76(22), 7482–7490. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.00388-10>

781 Fischer, A. J., Song, Y., He, Z., Haines, D. M., Guan, L. L., & Steele, M. (2018). Effect of delaying colostrum
782 feeding on passive transfer and intestinal bacterial colonization in neonatal male Holstein calves.
783 *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(4), 3099–3109. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13397>

784 Fischer, A., Gluth, M., Weege, F., Pape, U., Wiedenmann, B., Baumgart, D. C., & Theuring, F. (2014).
785 Glucocorticoids regulate barrier function and claudin expression in intestinal epithelial cells via MKP-
786 1. *American Journal of Physiology-gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology*, 306(3), G218–G228.
787 <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00095.2013>

788 Fisher, A., Knight, T., Cosgrove, G. P., Death, A. F., Anderson, C. B., Duganzich, D. M., & Lr, M. (2001).
789 Effects of surgical or banding castration on stress responses and behaviour of bulls. *Australian*
790 *Veterinary Journal*, 79(4), 279–284. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-0813.2001.tb11981.x>

791 Fishman, J. E., Levy, G., Alli, V. V., Zheng, X., Mole, D. J., & Deitch, E. A. (2014). The Intestinal Mucus
792 Layer is a Critical Component of the Gut Barrier that is Damaged During Acute Pancreatitis. *Shock*,
793 42(3), 264–270. <https://doi.org/10.1097/shk.0000000000000209>

794 Foditsch, C., Van Vleck Pereira, R., Ganda, E., Gomez, M. S., Marques, E., Santín, T., & Bicalho, R. (2015).
795 Oral Administration of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* Decreased the Incidence of Severe Diarrhea and
796 Related Mortality Rate and Increased Weight Gain in Preweaned Dairy Heifers. *PLOS ONE*, 10(12),
797 e0145485. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145485>

798 Forcina, A., Pérez-Pardal, L., Carvalheira, J., & Beja-Pereira, A. (2022). Gut microbiome studies in
799 livestock: Achievements, challenges, and perspectives. *Animals*, 12(23), 3375.
800 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12233375>

801 Fouladgar, S., Shahraki, A. D. F., Ghalamkari, G., Khani, M., Ahmadi, F., & Erickson, P. S. (2016).
802 Performance of Holstein calves fed whole milk with or without kefir. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(10),
803 8081–8089. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-10921>

804 Gaboriau-Routhiau, V., Rakotobé, S., Lécuyer, E., Mulder, I., Lan, A., Bridonneau, C., Rochet, V., Pisi, A.,
805 De Paepe, M., Brandi, G., Eberl, G., Snel, J., Kelly, D., & Cerf-Bensussan, N. (2009). The key role of
806 segmented filamentous bacteria in the coordinated maturation of gut helper T cell responses.
807 *Immunity*, 31(4), 677–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2009.08.020>

808 Gaeta, N. C., Lima, S., Teixeira, A., Ganda, E., Oikonomou, G., Gregory, L., & Bicalho, R. (2017).
809 Deciphering upper respiratory tract microbiota complexity in healthy calves and calves that develop
810 respiratory disease using shotgun metagenomics. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 100(2), 1445–1458.
811 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-11522>

812 Galley, J. D., Mashburn-Warren, L., Blalock, L. C., Lauber, C. L., Carroll, J., Ross, K. M., Hobel, C. J.,
813 Coussons-Read, M., Schetter, C. D., & Gur, T. L. (2023). Maternal anxiety, depression and stress affects
814 offspring gut microbiome diversity and bifidobacterial abundances. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*,
815 107, 253–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2022.10.005>

816 Gómez, D. E., Arroyo, L., Costa, M. P., Viel, L., & Weese, J. S. (2017). Characterization of the fecal
817 bacterial microbiota of healthy and diarrheic dairy calves. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*,
818 31(3), 928–939. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvim.14695>

819 Grant, A., Gay, C. G., & Lillehoj, H. S. (2018). Bacillus spp. as direct-fed microbial antibiotic alternatives
820 to enhance growth, immunity, and gut health in poultry. *Avian Pathology*, 47(4), 339–351.
821 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2018.1464117>

822 Guo, W., Van Niekerk, J., Zhou, M., Steele, M., & Guan, L. L. (2021). Longitudinal assessment revealed
823 the shifts in rumen and colon mucosal-attached microbiota of dairy calves during weaning transition.
824 *Journal of Dairy Science*, 104(5), 5948–5963. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-1925>

825 Guthrie, L., Spencer, S. P., Perelman, D., Van Treuren, W., Han, S., Yu, F. B., Sonnenburg, E. D., Fischbach,
826 M. A., Meyer, T. W., & Sonnenburg, J. L. (2022). Impact of a 7-day homogeneous diet on interpersonal
827 variation in human gut microbiomes and metabolomes. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 30(6), 863–874.e4.
828 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2022.05.003>

829 Guzman, C. E., Bereza-Malcolm, L., De Groef, B., & Franks, A. E. (2015). Uptake of milk with and without
830 solid feed during the monogastric phase: Effect on fibrolytic and methanogenic microorganisms in the
831 gastrointestinal tract of calves. *Animal Science Journal*, 87(3), 378–388.
832 <https://doi.org/10.1111/asj.12429>

833 Guzman, C. E., Wood, J. L., Egidio, E., White-Monsant, A., Semenec, L., Grommen, S. V., Hill-Yardin, E. L.,
834 De Groef, B., & Franks, A. E. (2020). A pioneer calf foetus microbiome. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1).
835 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74677-7>

836 Hammon, H., Liermann, W., Frieten, D., & Koch, C. (2020). Review: Importance of colostrum supply
837 and milk feeding intensity on gastrointestinal and systemic development in calves. *Animals*, 14, s133–
838 s143. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731119003148>

839 Hao, Y., Guo, C., Gong, Y., Sun, X., Wang, W., Wang, Y., Hu, Y., Cao, Z., & Li, S. (2021). Rumen
840 Fermentation, Digestive Enzyme Activity, and Bacteria Composition between Pre-Weaning and Post-
841 Weaning Dairy Calves. *Animals*, 11(9), 2527. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11092527>

842 Hartinger, T., Pacífico, C., Poier, G., Terler, G., Klevenhusen, F., & Zebeli, Q. (2022). Shift of dietary
843 carbohydrate source from milk to various solid feeds reshapes the rumen and fecal microbiome in
844 calves. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-16052-2>

845 He, J., Zheng, W., Tao, C., Guo, H., Xue, Y., Zhao, R., & Yao, W. (2020). Heat stress during late gestation
846 disrupts maternal microbial transmission with altered offspring's gut microbial colonization and serum
847 metabolites in a pig model. *Environmental Pollution*, 266, 115111.
848 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115111>

849 Hold, G. L., & Hansen, R. (2019). Impact of the Gastrointestinal Microbiome in Health and Disease: Co-
850 evolution with the Host Immune System. In *Current Topics in Microbiology and Immunology* (pp. 303–
851 318). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-15138-6_12

852 Hulbert, L., & Moisés, S. J. (2016). Stress, immunity, and the management of calves. *Journal of Dairy*
853 *Science*, 99(4), 3199–3216. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-10198>

854 Islam, J., Tanimizu, M., Shimizu, Y., Gotō, Y., Ohtani, N., Sugiyama, K., Tatezaki, E., Sato, M., Makino, E.,
855 Shimada, T., Ueda, C., Matsuo, A., Suyama, Y., Sakai, Y., Furukawa, M., Usami, K., Yoneyama, H., Aso,
856 H., Tanaka, H., & Nochi, T. (2022). Development of a rational framework for the therapeutic efficacy of
857 fecal microbiota transplantation for calf diarrhea treatment. *Microbiome*, 10(1).
858 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01217-4>

859 JinQiang, X., Li, Y., Yang, Z., Li, C., Hong-Yan, L., Wu, Z., & Pu, W. (2018). Yeast probiotics shape the gut
860 microbiome and improve the health of Early-Weaned piglets. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9.
861 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02011>

862 Jost, T., Lacroix, C., Braegger, C., & Chassard, C. (2012). New insights in gut microbiota establishment
863 in healthy breast fed neonates. *PLOS ONE*, 7(8), e44595.
864 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044595>

865 Júnior, G. F. V., & Bittar, C. M. M. (2021). Microbial colonization of the gastrointestinal tract of dairy
866 calves – a review of its importance and relationship to health and performance. *Animal Health*
867 *Research Reviews*, 22(2), 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1466252321000062>

868 Kayasaki, F., Okagawa, T., Konnai, S., Kohara, J., Sajiki, Y., Watari, K., Ganbaatar, O., Goto, S., Nakamura,
869 H., Shimakura, H., Minato, E., Kobayashi, A., Kubota, M., Terasaki, N., Takeda, A., Noda, H., Honma, M.,
870 Maekawa, N., Shiro, M., & Ohashi, K. (2021). Direct evidence of the preventive effect of milk replacer-
871 based probiotic feeding in calves against severe diarrhea. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 254, 108976.
872 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108976>

873 Kers, J. G., Velkers, F. C., Fischer, E., Hermes, G. D. A., Lamot, D., Stegeman, J., & Smidt, H. (2019). Take
874 care of the environment: housing conditions affect the interplay of nutritional interventions and
875 intestinal microbiota in broiler chickens. *Animal Microbiome*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-019-0009-z>
876

877 Khan, M. A., Bach, À., Weary, D. M., & Von Keyserlingk, M. (2016). Invited review: Transitioning from
878 milk to solid feed in dairy heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(2), 885–902.
879 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9975>

880 Kim, E., Lee, S., Kim, T., Lee, H., Atikur, R. M., Gu, B., Kim, D., Park, B., Son, J., & Kim, M. (2021a). Dynamic
881 changes in fecal microbial communities of neonatal dairy calves by aging and diarrhea. *Animals*, 11(4),
882 1113. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11041113>

883 Kim, H. S., Whon, T. W., Sung, H., Jeong, Y., Jung, E. J., Shin, N., Hyun, D., Kim, P. S., Lee, J., Lee, C. H., &
884 Bae, J. (2021b). Longitudinal evaluation of fecal microbiota transplantation for ameliorating calf
885 diarrhea and improving growth performance. *Nature Communications*, 12(1).
886 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-20389-5>

887 Kišac, P., Brouček, J., Uhrinčathacek, M., & Hanuš, A. (2011). Effect of weaning calves from mother at
888 different ages on their growth and milk yield of mothers. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 56(6), 261–
889 268. <https://doi.org/10.17221/1287-cjas>

890 Klein-Jöbstl, D., Quijada, N. M., Dzieciol, M., Feldbacher, B., Wagner, M., Drillich, M., Schmitz-Esser, S.,
891 & Mann, E. (2019). Microbiota of newborn calves and their mothers reveals possible transfer routes
892 for newborn calves' gastrointestinal microbiota. *PLOS ONE*, 14(8), e0220554.
893 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220554>

894 Klein-Jöbstl, D., Schornsteiner, E., Mann, E., Wagner, M., Drillich, M., & Schmitz-Esser, S. (2014).
895 Pyrosequencing reveals diverse fecal microbiota in Simmental calves during early development.
896 *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00622>

897 Kling-Eveillard, F., Knierim, U., Irrgang, N., Gottardo, F., Ricci, R., & Dockès, A. (2015). Attitudes of
898 farmers towards cattle dehorning. *Livestock Science*, 179, 12–21.
899 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2015.05.012>

900 Knierim, U., Irrgang, N., & Roth, B. A. (2015). To be or not to be horned—Consequences in cattle.
901 *Livestock Science*, 179, 29–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2015.05.014>

902 Kovács, L., Kézér, F. L., Bodó, S., Ruff, F., Palme, R., & Szenci, O. (2021). Salivary cortisol as a non-invasive
903 approach to assess stress in dystocic dairy calves. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1).
904 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-85666-9>

905 Kraïmi, N., Dawkins, M. S., Gebhardt-Henrich, S. G., Velge, P., Rychlík, I., Volf, J., Créach, P., Smith, A. L.,
906 Colles, F. M., & Leterrier, C. (2019). Influence of the microbiota-gut-brain axis on behavior and welfare
907 in farm animals: A review. *Physiology & Behavior*, 210, 112658.
908 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2019.112658>

909 Kumar, M., Kala, A., Chaudhary, L. C., Agarwal, N., & Kochewad, S. (2021a). Microencapsulated and
910 Lyophilized *Lactobacillus acidophilus* Improved Gut Health and Immune Status of Preruminant Calves.
911 *Probiotics and Antimicrobial Proteins*, 14(3), 523–534. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12602-021-09821-4>

912 Kumar, S., Khan, M. A., Beijer, E., Liu, J., Lowe, K. K., Young, W., Mills, D. A., & Moon, C. D. (2021b).
913 Effect of milk replacer allowance on calf faecal bacterial community profiles and fermentation. *Animal*
914 *Microbiome*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-021-00088-2>

915 Laporta, J., Ferreira, F. C., Ouellet, V., Dado-Senn, B., Almeida, A. K., De Vries, A., & Dahl, G. E. (2020).
916 Late-gestation heat stress impairs daughter and granddaughter lifetime performance. *Journal of Dairy*
917 *Science*, 103(8), 7555–7568. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18154>

918 Li, F., Li, C., Chen, Y., Liu, J., Zhang, C., Irving, B., Fitzsimmons, C., Plastow, G., & Guan, L. L. (2019a). Host
919 genetics influence the rumen microbiota and heritable rumen microbial features associate with feed
920 efficiency in cattle. *Microbiome*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-019-0699-1>

921 Li, J., Yousif, M. H., Li, Z., Wu, Z., Li, S., Yang, H., Wang, Y., & Cao, Z. (2019b). Effects of antibiotic residues
922 in milk on growth, ruminal fermentation, and microbial community of preweaning dairy calves. *Journal*
923 *of Dairy Science*, 102(3), 2298–2307. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15506>

924 Li, K., Shi, B., & Na, R. (2023). The colonization of rumen microbiota and intervention in Pre-Weaned
925 ruminants. *Animals*, 13(6), 994. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13060994>

926 Li, Y., Guo, Y., Wen, Z., Jiang, X., Ma, X., & Han, X. (2018). Weaning stress perturbs gut microbiome and
927 its metabolic profile in piglets. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33649-8>

928 Li, Y., Li, X., Nie, C., Wu, Y., Luo, R., Chen, C., Niu, J., & Zhang, W. (2023). Effects of two strains of
929 *Lactobacillus* isolated from the feces of calves after fecal microbiota transplantation on growth
930 performance, immune capacity, and intestinal barrier function of weaned calves. *Frontiers in*
931 *Microbiology*, 14. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1249628>

932 Liang, G., Malmuthuge, N., Bao, H., Stothard, P., Griebel, P., & Guan, L. L. (2016). Transcriptome analysis
933 reveals regional and temporal differences in mucosal immune system development in the small
934 intestine of neonatal calves. *BMC Genomics*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-016-2957-y>

935 Liang, G., Malmuthuge, N., McFadden, T., Bao, H., Griebel, P., Stothard, P., & Guan, L. L. (2014).
936 Potential Regulatory Role of MicroRNAs in the Development of Bovine Gastrointestinal Tract during
937 Early Life. *PLOS ONE*, 9(3), e92592. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092592>

938 Liu, K., Zhang, Y., Yu, Z., Xu, Q., Zheng, N., Zhao, S., Huang, G., & Wang, J. (2021). Ruminal microbiota–
939 host interaction and its effect on nutrient metabolism. *Animal Nutrition*, 7(1), 49–55.
940 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aninu.2020.12.001>

941 Liu, S., Ma, J., Li, J., Alugongo, G. M., Wu, Z., Wang, Y., Li, S., & Cao, Z. (2019). Effects of pair versus
942 individual housing on performance, health, and behavior of dairy calves. *Animals*, 10(1), 50.
943 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10010050>

944 Lu, N., Wardell, S. E., Burnstein, K. L., DeFranco, D. B., Fuller, P. J., Giguère, V., Hochberg, R. B., McKay,
945 L. I., Renoir, J. M., Weigel, N. L., Wilson, E. M., McDonnell, D. P., & Cidlowski, J. A. (2006). International
946 Union of Pharmacology. LXV. The Pharmacology and classification of the nuclear receptor superfamily:
947 glucocorticoid, mineralocorticoid, progesterone, and androgen receptors. *Pharmacological Reviews*,
948 58(4), 782–797. <https://doi.org/10.1124/pr.58.4.9>

949 Luu, M., Steinhoff, U., & Visekruna, A. (2017). Functional heterogeneity of gut-resident regulatory T
950 cells. *Clinical & Translational Immunology*, 6(9). <https://doi.org/10.1038/cti.2017.39>

951 Lyons, T., Jahns, H., Brady, J., O’Hara, E., Waters, S. M., Kenny, D. A., Doyle, E., & Meade, K. G. (2020).
952 Integrated analyses of the microbiological, immunological and ontological transitions in the calf ileum
953 during early life. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77907-0>

- 954 Ma, T., O'Hara, E., Song, Y., Fischer, A. J., He, Z., Steele, M., & Guan, L. L. (2019). Altered mucosa-
955 associated microbiota in the ileum and colon of neonatal calves in response to delayed first colostrum
956 feeding. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 102(8), 7073–7086. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-16130>
- 957 Ma, T., Villot, C., Renaud, D., Skidmore, A., Chevaux, E., Steele, M., & Guan, L. L. (2020). Linking
958 perturbations to temporal changes in diversity, stability, and compositions of neonatal calf gut
959 microbiota: prediction of diarrhea. *The ISME Journal*, 14(9), 2223–2235.
960 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-0678-3>
- 961 Maâmouri, O., & Salem, M. B. (2022). The effect of live yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as probiotic
962 supply on growth performance, feed intake, ruminal pH and fermentation in fattening calves.
963 *Veterinary Medicine and Science*, 8(1), 398–404. <https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.631>
- 964 Malmuthuge, N., & Guan, L. L. (2017). Understanding host-microbial interactions in rumen: searching
965 the best opportunity for microbiota manipulation. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 8(1).
966 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-016-0135-3>
- 967 Malmuthuge, N., Chen, Y., Liang, G., Goonewardene, L. A., & Guan, L. L. (2015). Heat-treated colostrum
968 feeding promotes beneficial bacteria colonization in the small intestine of neonatal calves. *Journal of*
969 *Dairy Science*, 98(11), 8044–8053. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2015-9607>
- 970 Malmuthuge, N., Griebel, P., & Guan, L. L. (2014). Taxonomic Identification of Commensal Bacteria
971 Associated with the Mucosa and Digesta throughout the Gastrointestinal Tracts of Prewaned Calves.
972 *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 80(6), 2021–2028. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.03864-13>
- 973 Malmuthuge, N., Liang, G., Griebel, P., & Guan, L. L. (2019). Taxonomic and functional compositions of
974 the small intestinal microbiome in neonatal calves provide a framework for understanding early life
975 gut health. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 85(6). [https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02534-](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02534-18)
976 [18hamm](https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.02534-18)
- 977 Mann, S., Curone, G., Chandler, T., Sipka, A., Cha, J. P., Bhawal, R., & Zhang, S. (2020). Heat treatment
978 of bovine colostrum: II. Effects on calf serum immunoglobulin, insulin, and IGF-I concentrations, and
979 the serum proteome. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 103(10), 9384–9406. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18619)
980 [18619](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-18619)
- 981 Mao, H., Xia, Y., Yan, T., Wang, C., & Diao, Q. (2017). Effects of various weaning times on growth
982 performance, rumen fermentation and microbial population of yellow cattle calves. *Asian-*
983 *Australasian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 30(11), 1557–1562. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.16.0981>
- 984 Marquette, G. A., Ronan, S., & Earley, B. (2023). Calf disbudding – animal welfare considerations.
985 *Journal of Applied Animal Research*, 51(1), 616–623.
986 <https://doi.org/10.1080/09712119.2023.2264912>
- 987 Marti, S., Meléndez, D. M., Pajor, E. A., Moya, D., Heuston, C. E. M., Gellatly, D., Janzen, E. D., &
988 Schwartzkopf-Genswein, K. S. (2017). Effect of band and knife castration of beef calves on welfare
989 indicators of pain at three relevant industry ages: II. Chronic pain. *Journal of Animal Science*, 0(0), 0.
990 <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2017.1763>
- 991 Matthews, C., Walsh, A. M., Gordon, S. V., Markey, B., Cotter, P. D., & O' Mahony, J. (2023). Differences
992 in Faecal Microbiome Taxonomy, Diversity and Functional Potential in a Bovine Cohort Experimentally

- 993 Challenged with *Mycobacterium avium* subsp. *paratuberculosis* (MAP). *Animals*, 13(10), 1652.
994 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13101652>
- 995 McCann, J. C., Luan, S., Cardoso, F., Derakhshani, H., Khafipour, E., & Loor, J. J. (2016). Induction of
996 subacute ruminal acidosis affects the ruminal microbiome and epithelium. *Frontiers in Microbiology*,
997 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00701>
- 998 McCann, J. C., Wickersham, T., & Loor, J. J. (2014). High-throughput Methods Redefine the Rumen
999 Microbiome and Its Relationship with Nutrition and Metabolism. *Bioinformatics and Biology Insights*,
1000 8, BBI.S15389. <https://doi.org/10.4137/bbi.s15389>
- 1001 Meale, S. J., Li, S., Azevedo, P., Derakhshani, H., Plaizier, J., Khafipour, E., & Steele, M. (2016).
1002 Development of ruminal and fecal microbiomes are affected by weaning but not weaning strategy in
1003 dairy calves. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00582>
- 1004 Meale, S.J., Chaucheyras-Durand, F., Berends, H., Guan, L.L., & Steele, M.A. (2017). From pre- to
1005 postweaning: Transformation of the young calf's gastrointestinal tract. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 100(7),
1006 5984–5995. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12474>
- 1007 Menchetti, L., Traina, G., Tomasello, G., Casagrande-Proietti, P., Leonardi, L., Barbato, O., & Brecchia,
1008 G. (2016). Potential benefits of colostrum in gastrointestinal diseases. *Frontiers in Bioscience*, 8(2),
1009 331–351. <https://doi.org/10.2741/s467>
- 1010 Michaudel, C., & Sokol, H. (2020). The gut microbiota at the service of immunometabolism. *Cell*
1011 *Metabolism*, 32(4), 514–523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2020.09.004>
- 1012 Mir, R. A., Kleinhenz, M. D., Allen, H. K., & Kudva, I. T. (2019). Fecal microbiota changes associated with
1013 dehorning and castration stress primarily affects light-weight dairy calves. *PLOS ONE*, 14(1), e0210203.
1014 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210203>
- 1015 Moeller, A. H., Foerster, S., Wilson, M. L., Pusey, A. E., Hahn, B. H., & Ochman, H. (2016). Social behavior
1016 shapes the chimpanzee pan-microbiome. *Science Advances*, 2(1).
1017 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500997>
- 1018 Monteiro, H. F., Zhou, Z., Gomes, M. S., Peixoto, P. M. G., Bonsaglia, E. C. R., Canisso, I. F., Weimer, B.
1019 C., & Lima, F. S. (2022). Rumen and lower gut microbiomes relationship with feed efficiency and
1020 production traits throughout the lactation of Holstein dairy cows. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1).
1021 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-08761-5>
- 1022 Mott, A. C., Schneider, D., Hünerberg, M., Hummel, J., & Tetens, J. (2022). Bovine Rumen Microbiome:
1023 Impact of DNA extraction methods and comparison of Non-Invasive Sampling Sites. *Ruminants*, 2(1),
1024 112–132. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ruminants2010007>
- 1025 Myer, P. R., Freetly, H. C., Wells, J. E., Smith, T., & Kuehn, L. A. (2017). Analysis of the gut bacterial
1026 communities in beef cattle and their association with feed intake, growth, and efficiency. *Journal of*
1027 *Animal Science*, 95(7), 3215. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas2016.1059>
- 1028 Nagel, C., Aurich, C., & Aurich, J. (2019). Stress effects on the regulation of parturition in different
1029 domestic animal species. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 207, 153–161.
1030 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anireprosci.2019.04.011>

- 1031 Nanthakumar, N. N., Meng, D., & Newburg, D. S. (2013). Glucocorticoids and microbiota regulate
1032 ontogeny of intestinal fucosyltransferase 2 requisite for gut homeostasis. *Glycobiology*, 23(10), 1131–
1033 1141. <https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwt050>
- 1034 Neamț, R. I., Ilie, D., Enculescu, M., Săplăcan, S., & Ciszter, T. L. (2019). The Weaning Stress Effect on
1035 Calf Behaviour and Performances. *Research Journal of Biotechnology*, 14(3).costaNeave, H. W., Weary,
1036 D. M., & Von Keyserlingk, M. (2018). Review: Individual variability in feeding behaviour of domesticated
1037 ruminants. *Animals*, 12, s419–s430. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731118001325>
- 1038 Neave, H. W., Weary, D. M., & Von Keyserlingk, M. (2018). Review: Individual variability in feeding
1039 behaviour of domesticated ruminants. *Animals*, 12, s419–s430.
1040 <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1751731118001325>
- 1041 Niu, X., Ding, Y., Chen, S., Gooneratne, R., & Ju, X. (2022). Effect of immune stress on growth
1042 performance and immune functions of livestock: Mechanisms and prevention. *Animals*, 12(7), 909.
1043 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12070909>
- 1044 Nowacki, M. R. (1993). Cell proliferation in colonic crypts of germ-free and conventional mice--
1045 preliminary report. *PubMed*, 31(2), 77–81. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8405572>
- 1046 O’Callaghan, T. F., Ross, R. P., Stanton, C., & Clarke, G. (2016). The gut microbiome as a virtual endocrine
1047 organ with implications for farm and *Domestic Animal Endocrinology*. *Domestic Animal Endocrinology*,
1048 56, S44–S55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.domaniend.2016.05.003>
- 1049 O’Hara, E., Kenny, D. A., McGovern, E., Byrne, C., McCabe, M. S., Guan, L. L., & Waters, S. M. (2020).
1050 Investigating temporal microbial dynamics in the rumen of beef calves raised on two farms during early
1051 life. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 96(2). <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiz203>
- 1052 Oikonomou, G., Teixeira, A., Foditsch, C., Bicalho, M., Machado, V., & Bicalho, R. (2013). Fecal Microbial
1053 Diversity in Pre-Weaned Dairy Calves as Described by Pyrosequencing of Metagenomic 16S rDNA.
1054 Associations of Faecalibacterium Species with Health and Growth. *PLOS ONE*, 8(4), e63157.
1055 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063157>
- 1056 Orihuela, A., & Galina, C. (2019). Effects of separation of cows and calves on reproductive performance
1057 and animal welfare in tropical beef cattle. *Animals*, 9(5), 223. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9050223>
- 1058 Overvest, M., Crossley, R., Miller-Cushon, E., & DeVries, T. (2018). Social housing influences the
1059 behavior and feed intake of dairy calves during weaning. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(9), 8123–8134.
1060 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-14465>
- 1061 Owens, C. E., Huffard, H. G., Nin-Velez, A. I., Duncan, J., Teets, C. L., Daniels, K., Ealy, A. D., James, R. E.,
1062 Knowlton, K. F., & Cockrum, R. (2021). Microbiomes of various maternal body systems are predictive
1063 of calf digestive bacterial ecology. *Animals*, 11(8), 2210. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082210>
- 1064 Patrascu, O., Béguet-Crespel, F., Marinelli, L., Chatelier, E. L., Abraham, A., Leclerc, M., Klopp, C.,
1065 Terrapon, N., Henrissat, B., Blottière, H. M., Doré, J., & Béra-Maillet, C. (2017). A fibrolytic potential in
1066 the human ileum mucosal microbiota revealed by functional metagenomic. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1).
1067 <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep40248>

- 1068 Penders, J., Thijs, C., Vink, C., Stelma, F., Snijders, B. E., Kummeling, I., Van Den Brandt, P. A., &
1069 Stobberingh, E. E. (2006). Factors influencing the composition of the intestinal microbiota in early
1070 infancy. *Pediatrics*, 118(2), 511–521. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-2824>
- 1071 Petersson, J., Schreiber, O., Hansson, G. C., Gendler, S. J., Velcich, A., Lundberg, J. O., Roos, S., Holm, L.,
1072 & Phillipson, M. (2011). Importance and regulation of the colonic mucus barrier in a mouse model of
1073 colitis. *American Journal of Physiology-gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology*, 300(2), G327–G333.
1074 <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00422.2010>
- 1075 Puppel, K., Gołębiewski, M., Konopka, K., Kunowska-Słószarz, M., Słószarz, J., Grodkowski, G., Przysucha,
1076 T., Balcerak, M., Madras-Majewska, B., & Sakowski, T. (2020). Relationship between the Quality of
1077 Colostrum and the Formation of Microflora in the Digestive Tract of Calves. *Animals*, 10(8), 1293.
1078 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10081293>
- 1079 Rajoka, M. S. R., Shi, J., Mehwish, H. M., Zhu, J., Li, Q., Shao, D., Huang, Q., & Yang, H. (2017). Interaction
1080 between diet composition and gut microbiota and its impact on gastrointestinal tract health. *Food*
1081 *Science and Human Wellness*, 6(3), 121–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fshw.2017.07.003>
- 1082 Ramírez, G. A., Richardson, E., Clark, J., Keshri, J., Drechsler, Y., Berrang, M. E., Meinersmann, R. J., Cox,
1083 N. A., & Oakley, B. B. (2020a). Broiler chickens and early life programming: Microbiome transplant-
1084 induced cecal community dynamics and phenotypic effects. *PLOS ONE*, 15(11), e0242108.
1085 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242108>
- 1086 Ramírez, J., Guarner, F., Fernández, L., Maruy, A., Sdepanian, V. L., & Cohen, H. (2020b). Antibiotics as
1087 major disruptors of gut microbiota. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 10.
1088 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2020.572912>
- 1089 Ray, K. J., Cotter, S. Y., Arzika, A. M., Kim, J., Boubacar, N., Zhou, Z., Zhong, L., Porco, T. C., Keenan, J. D.,
1090 Lietman, T. M., & Doan, T. (2019). High-throughput sequencing of pooled samples to determine
1091 community-level microbiome diversity. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 39, 63–68.
1092 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2019.09.002>
- 1093 Rea, K., Dinan, T. G., & Cryan, J. F. (2019). Gut Microbiota: a perspective for psychiatrists.
1094 *Neuropsychobiology*, 79(1), 50–62. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000504495>
- 1095 Renaud, D., Kelton, D., Weese, J. S., Noble, C., & Duffield, T. (2019). Evaluation of a multispecies
1096 probiotic as a supportive treatment for diarrhea in dairy calves: A randomized clinical trial. *Journal of*
1097 *Dairy Science*, 102(5), 4498–4505. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15793>
- 1098 Renz, H., Brandtzæg, P., & Hornef, M. W. (2012). The impact of perinatal immune development on
1099 mucosal homeostasis and chronic inflammation. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 12(1), 9–23.
1100 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3112>
- 1101 Rosa, F., Michelotti, T. C., St-Pierre, B., Trevisi, E., & Osorio, J. S. (2021). Early Life Fecal Microbiota
1102 Transplantation in Neonatal Dairy Calves Promotes Growth Performance and Alleviates Inflammation
1103 and Oxidative Stress during Weaning. *Animals*, 11(9), 2704. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11092704>
- 1104 Salem, E., Hagglünd, S., Cassard, H., Corre, T., Näslund, K., Foret, C., Gauthier, D. T., Pinard, A.,
1105 Delverdier, M., Zohari, S., Valarcher, J. F., Ducatez, M., & Meyer, G. (2019). Pathogenesis, host innate
1106 immune response, and aerosol transmission of influenza D virus in cattle. *Journal of Virology*, 93(7).
1107 <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.01853-18>

- 1108 Schwarzkopf, S., Kinoshita, A., Kluess, J., Kersten, S., Meyer, U., Huber, K., Dänicke, S., & Frahm, J.
1109 (2019). Weaning Holstein Calves at 17 Weeks of Age Enables Smooth Transition from Liquid to Solid
1110 Feed. *Animals*, 9(12), 1132. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9121132>
- 1111 Scoley, G., Gordon, A., & Morrison, S. (2019). Performance and behavioural responses of group housed
1112 dairy calves to two different weaning methods. *Animals*, 9(11), 895.
1113 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9110895>
- 1114 Slanzon, G. S., Ridenhour, B. J., Moore, D. A., Sischo, W. M., Parrish, L. M., Trombetta, S. C., & McConnel,
1115 C. S. (2022). Fecal microbiome profiles of neonatal dairy calves with varying severities of
1116 gastrointestinal disease. *PloS One*, 17(1), e0262317. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0262317>
- 1117 Song, S. J., Lauber, C. L., Costello, E. K., Lozupone, C., Humphrey, G., Berg-Lyons, D., Caporaso, J. G.,
1118 Knights, D., Clemente, J. C., Nakielny, S., Gordon, J. I., Fierer, N., & Knight, R. (2013). Cohabiting family
1119 members share microbiota with one another and with their dogs. *eLife*, 2.
1120 <https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.00458>
- 1121 Song, Y., Li, F., Fischer-Tlustos, A., Neves, A. C. M. D., He, Z., Steele, M., & Guan, L. L. (2021).
1122 Metagenomic analysis revealed the individualized shift in ileal microbiome of neonatal calves in
1123 response to delaying the first colostrum feeding. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 104(8), 8783–8797.
1124 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-20068>
- 1125 Song, Y., Malmuthuge, N., Li, F., & Guan, L. L. (2019). Colostrum feeding shapes the hindgut microbiota
1126 of dairy calves during the first 12 h of life. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 95(1).
1127 <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiy203>
- 1128 Sprockett, D. D., Fukami, T., & Relman, D. A. (2018). Role of priority effects in the early-life assembly of
1129 the gut microbiota. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, 15(4), 197–205.
1130 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrgastro.2017.173>
- 1131 Steagall, P. V., Bustamante, H., Johnson, C. B., & Turner, P. V. (2021). Pain management in farm animals:
1132 focus on cattle, sheep and pigs. *Animals*, 11(6), 1483. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11061483>
- 1133 Stefańska, B., Sroka, J., Katzer, F., Goliński, P., & Nowak, W. (2021). The effect of probiotics, phytobiotics
1134 and their combination as feed additives in the diet of dairy calves on performance, rumen
1135 fermentation and blood metabolites during the preweaning period. *Animal Feed Science and
1136 Technology*, 272, 114738. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2020.114738>
- 1137 Svensson, C., & Liberg, P. (2006). The effect of group size on health and growth rate of Swedish dairy
1138 calves housed in pens with automatic milk-feeders. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 73(1), 43–53.
1139 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2005.08.021>
- 1140 Taschuk, R., & Griebel, P. (2012). Commensal microbiome effects on mucosal immune system
1141 development in the ruminant gastrointestinal tract. *Animal Health Research Reviews*, 13(1), 129–141.
1142 <https://doi.org/10.1017/s1466252312000096>
- 1143 Tena-Garitaonandia, M., Arredondo-Amador, M., Mascaraque, C., Asensio, M., Marin, J. J. G.,
1144 Martínez-Augustin, O., & De Medina, F. S. (2022). Modulation of intestinal barrier function by
1145 glucocorticoids: Lessons from preclinical models. *Pharmacological Research*, 177, 106056.
1146 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phrs.2022.106056>

- 1147 Turnbaugh, P. J., & Gordon, J. I. (2009). The core gut microbiome, energy balance and obesity. *The*
1148 *Journal of Physiology*, 587(17), 4153–4158. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2009.174136>
- 1149 Upadhaya, S., & Kim, I. (2021). The impact of weaning stress on gut health and the mechanistic aspects
1150 of several feed additives contributing to improved gut health function in Weanling Piglets—A review.
1151 *Animals*, 11(8), 2418. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082418>
- 1152 Uyeno, Y., Sekiguchi, Y., & Kamagata, Y. (2010). rRNA-based analysis to monitor succession of faecal
1153 bacterial communities in Holstein calves. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 51(5), 570–577.
1154 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765x.2010.02937.x>
- 1155 Uyeno, Y., Shigemori, S., & Shimosato, T. (2015). Effect of Probiotics/Prebiotics on cattle health and
1156 productivity. *Microbes and Environments*, 30(2), 126–132. <https://doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.me14176>
- 1157 Valerio, A., Casadei, L., Giuliani, A., & Valerio, M. (2019). Fecal Metabolomics as a novel noninvasive
1158 method for Short-Term stress monitoring in beef cattle. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 19(2), 845–853.
1159 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jproteome.9b00655>
- 1160 Van Den Abbeele, P., Van De Wiele, T., Verstraete, W., & Possemiers, S. (2011). The host selects mucosal
1161 and luminal associations of coevolved gut microorganisms: a novel concept. *FEMS Microbiology*
1162 *Reviews*, 35(4), 681–704. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00270.x>
- 1163 Vieira, A.D.P., Von Keyserlingk, M., & Weary, D. M. (2010). Effects of pair versus single housing on
1164 performance and behavior of dairy calves before and after weaning from milk. *Journal of Dairy Science*,
1165 93(7), 3079–3085. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2516>
- 1166 Vlková, E., Trojanová, I., & Rada, V. (2006). Distribution of bifidobacteria in the gastrointestinal tract of
1167 calves. *Folia Microbiologica*, 51(4), 325–328. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02931825>
- 1168 Von Buenau, R., Jaekel, L. Z., Schubotz, E., Schwarz, Š., Stroff, T., & Krueger, M. (2005). *Escherichia coli*
1169 Strain Nissle 1917: Significant Reduction of Neonatal Calf Diarrhea. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 88(1),
1170 317–323. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302\(05\)72690-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.s0022-0302(05)72690-4)
- 1171 Wang, H., Li, H., Wu, F., Qiu, X., Yu, Z., Niu, W., He, Y., Su, H., & Cao, B. (2019). Effects of dietary energy
1172 on growth performance, rumen fermentation and bacterial community, and meat quality of Holstein-
1173 Friesians Bulls slaughtered at different ages. *Animals*, 9(12), 1123.
1174 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9121123>
- 1175 Webb, C. R., Koboziev, I., Furr, K. L., & Grisham, M. B. (2016). Protective and pro-inflammatory roles of
1176 intestinal bacteria. *Pathophysiology*, 23(2), 67–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pathophys.2016.02.002>
- 1177 Wei, X., Zou, J., Zhang, Y., Yang, J., Wang, J., Wang, Y., & Wang, C. (2023). Effects of milk, milk replacer,
1178 and milk replacer plus ethoxyquin on the growth performance, weaning stress, and the fecal
1179 microbiota of Holstein dairy calves. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14.
1180 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.111351>
- 1181 Weimer, P. J. (2015). Redundancy, resilience, and host specificity of the ruminal microbiota:
1182 implications for engineering improved ruminal fermentations. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6.
1183 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00296>
- 1184 Welch, C. B., Lourenço, J. M., Stewart, R. L., Krause, T., Carmichael, M. N., Rothrock, M. J., Pringle, T. D.,
1185 & Callaway, T. R. (2020). The impact of feed efficiency selection on the ruminal, cecal, and fecal

- 1186 microbiomes of Angus steers from a commercial feedlot. *Journal of Animal Science*, 98(7).
1187 <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skaa230>
- 1188 Welch, C. B., Ryman, V. E., Pringle, T. D., & Lourenço, J. M. (2022). Utilizing the Gastrointestinal
1189 Microbiota to Modulate Cattle Health through the Microbiome-Gut-Organ Axes. *Microorganisms*,
1190 10(7), 1391. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10071391>
- 1191 Welk, A., Neave, H. W., & Jensen, M. B. (2024). Invited review: The effect of weaning practices on dairy
1192 calf performance, behavior, and health – a systematic review. *Journal of Dairy Science*.
1193 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2024-24521>
- 1194 Wen, C., Van Dixhoorn, I., Schokker, D., Woelders, H., Stockhofe-Zurwieden, N., Rebel, J. M., & Smidt,
1195 H. (2021). Environmentally enriched housing conditions affect pig welfare, immune system and gut
1196 microbiota in early life. *Animal Microbiome*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42523-021-00115-2>
- 1197 Whalin, L., Weary, D. M., & Von Keyserlingk, M. (2021). Understanding behavioural development of
1198 calves in natural settings to inform calf management. *Animals*, 11(8), 2446.
1199 <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11082446>
- 1200 Whon, T. W., Kim, H. S., Shin, N., Sung, H., Kim, M., Kim, J. Y., Kang, W., Kim, P. S., Hyun, D., Seong, H.
1201 J., Sul, W. J., Roh, S. W., & Bae, J. (2021). Calf diarrhea caused by prolonged expansion of autochthonous
1202 gut enterobacteriaceae and their lytic bacteriophages. *MSystems*, 6(2).
1203 <https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00816-20>
- 1204 Wickramasinghe, J., Anast, J. M., Schmitz-Esser, S., Serão, N. V. L., & Appuhamy, J. (2020). Beginning to
1205 offer drinking water at birth increases the species richness and the abundance of *Faecalibacterium* and
1206 *Bifidobacterium* in the gut of preweaned dairy calves. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 103(5), 4262–4274.
1207 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17258>
- 1208 Wickramasinghe, J., Kramer, A., & Appuhamy, J. (2019). Drinking water intake of newborn dairy calves
1209 and its effects on feed intake, growth performance, health status, and nutrient digestibility. *Journal of*
1210 *Dairy Science*, 102(1), 377–387. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15579>
- 1211 Wilczyńska, P., Skarżyńska, E., & Lisowska-Myjak, B. (2019). Meconium microbiome as a new source of
1212 information about long-term health and disease: questions and answers. *Journal of Maternal-fetal &*
1213 *Neonatal Medicine*, 32(4), 681–686. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14767058.2017.1387888>
- 1214 Xie, G., Duff, G. C., Hall, L. S., Allen, J. D., Burrows, C. D., Bernal-Rigoli, J. C., Dowd, S. E., Guerriero, V.,
1215 & Yeoman, C. J. (2013). Alteration of digestive tract microbiome in neonatal Holstein bull calves by
1216 bacitracin methylene disalicylate treatment and scours¹. *Journal of Animal Science*, 91(10), 4984–
1217 4990. <https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2013-6304>
- 1218 Yáñez-Ruíz, D. R., Abecia, L., & Newbold, C. J. (2015). Manipulating rumen microbiome and
1219 fermentation through interventions during early life: a review. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6.
1220 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.01133>
- 1221 Yeoman, C. J., & White, B. A. (2014). Gastrointestinal tract microbiota and probiotics in production
1222 animals. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, 2(1), 469–486. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-022513-114149>
1223

- 1224 Yeoman, C. J., Ishaq, S. L., Bichi, E., Olivo, S. K., Lowe, J., & Aldridge, B. M. (2018). Biogeographical
1225 Differences in the Influence of Maternal Microbial Sources on the Early Successional Development of
1226 the Bovine Neonatal Gastrointestinal tract. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1). [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21440-8)
1227 [018-21440-8](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-21440-8)
- 1228 Zábanský, L., Poborská, A., Gálik, B., Šoch, M., Brož, P., Kantor, M., Kernerová, N., Řezáč, I., Rolinec, M.,
1229 Hanušovský, O., Strnad, L., & Havrdová, N. (2022). Influence of probiotic strains bifidobacterium,
1230 lactobacillus, and enterococcus on the health status and weight gain of calves, and the utilization of
1231 nitrogenous compounds. *Antibiotics*, 11(9), 1273. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11091273>
- 1232 Zhang, C., Zhang, J., Yu, Z., Zhou, G., & Yao, J. (2022). Effects of supplementation with *Saccharomyces*
1233 *cerevisiae* products on dairy calves: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 105(9), 7386–7398.
1234 <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2021-21519>
- 1235 Zhang, N., Chen, W., Chan, P. T., Yen, H., Tang, J. W., & Li, Y. (2020). Close contact behavior in indoor
1236 environment and transmission of respiratory infection. *Indoor Air*, 30(4), 645–661.
1237 <https://doi.org/10.1111/ina.12673>
- 1238 Zhu, H., Yang, M., Loo, J. J., Elolimy, A. A., Li, L., Xu, C., Wang, W., Yin, S., & Qu, Y. (2021). Analysis of
1239 Cow-Calf microbiome transfer routes and microbiome diversity in the newborn Holstein Dairy calf
1240 hindgut. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2021.736270>